To Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company:
We have examined the balance sheets of Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (a Connecticut corporation) as of December 31, 1980 and 1979 and the related statements of income, retained earnings and sources of funds for gross property additions for the years then ended. Our examinations were made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and, accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our auditors' report dated March 21, 1980, our opinion on the 1979 financial statements was qualified as being subject to the effect on the financial statements of adjustments, if any, that might result from the final outcome of a pending rate matter. As explained in Note 2 of Notes to Financial Statements, the matter was resolved during 1980 with no material effect on the financial statements. Accordingly, our present opinion on the 1979 financial statements, as presented herein, is unqualified.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly the financial position of Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company as of December 31, 1980 and 1979, and the results of its operations and the sources of funds for gross property additions for the years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN \& CO.
Hartford, Connecticut,
March 17, 1981.

## Stockholders and Board of Directors Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company

We have examined the balance sheet of Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company as of December 31, 1978 (not included herein) and the related statements of income, retained earnings and sources of funds for gross property additions for the year then ended. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standar $s$ and, accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairl the financial position of Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company at December 31, 1978 and the results of its operations and the sources of funds for gross property additions for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis.

MAIN HURDMAN \& CRANSTOUN

New York, New York, March 9, 1979.

# CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY 

Balance Sheets

December 31, 1980 and 1979

## Assets

|  | $\frac{1980}{\text { (Thousands }}$ | $\text { Dol } \frac{1979}{1 \mathrm{lars})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Plant, at original cost: |  |  |
| Electric plant | \$144,053 | \$126,367 |
| Less: Accumulated provision for depreciation | 50,591 | 47,429 |
|  | 93,462 | 78,938 |
| Construction work in progress | 10,521 | 9,084 |
| Nuclear fuel, net of amortization | 68,904 | 55,538 |
| Total net utility plant | 172,887 | 143,560 |
| Current Assets: |  |  |
| Cash | 4,374 | 1,391 |
| Accounts receivable: |  |  |
| Associated companies | 6,503 | 9,009 |
| Other | 193 | 68 |
| Refundable income taxes | 3,575 | - |
| Materials and supplies, at average cost | 1,342 | 1,369 |
| Prepayments and other | 441 | 413 |
|  | 16,428 | 12,250 |
| Deferred Ch-rges: |  |  |
| Unamortized debt expense | 199 | 243 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 2,021 | 4,206 |
| Other | 1,472 | 555 |
|  | 3,692 | 5,004 |

# CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY <br> Balance Sheets (Continued) 

December 31, 1980 and 1979
Capitalization and Liabilities

|  | $\frac{1980}{\text { (Thousands }}$ | $\frac{1979}{\left.\frac{19 r^{r}}{}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitalization: |  |  |
| Common stock - \$100 par value; authorized |  |  |
| 700,000 shares; 350,000 shares outstanding 1980, 1979 and 1978 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 35,000 |
| Capital surplus, paid in (no change during years 1980, 1979 and 1978) (Note 4) | 2,964 | 2,964 |
| Retained earnings (Note 5) | 13,165 | 11,716 |
| Total common stockholders ${ }^{\text {' }}$ equity | 51,129 | 49,680 |
| Long-term debt, net (Note 5) | 55,145 | 62,056 |
| Total capitalization | 106,274 | 111,736 |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Notes payable to banks (Note 6) | 19,000 | - |
| Commercial paper (Note 6) | - | 12,950 |
| Long-term debt due within one year | 8,775 | 1,375 |
| Nuclear fuel payable | 11,575 | 11,000 |
| Revenues to be refunded to Sponsor companies (Note 2) | 10,719 | - |
| Accounts payable: |  |  |
| Associsted companies | 4,013 | 1,168 |
| Other | 19,807 | 3,517 |
| Accrued taxes | 2,686 | 8,929 |
| Accrued interest | 1,700 | 1,733 |
| Other | 67 | 21 |
|  | 78,342 | 40,693 |
| Deferred Credits: |  |  |
| Unamortized gain on reacquired debt | 2,798 | 2,513 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 5,547 | 1,920 |
| Reserve for revenues subject to possible refund (Note 2) | - | 3,920 |
| Other | 46 |  |
|  | 8,391 | 8,385 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9) |  |  |
| Total Capitalization and Liabilities | \$193,007 | \$160,814 |

urrent Liabilities:
Commercial paper (Note 6)
Long-term debt due within one year
Nuclear fuel payable
Revenues to be refunded to Sponsor companies (Note 2)

1,168
Associsted companies
4,013
3,517
Accrued taxes
2,686 8,929
Accrued interest
Other

2,513
Unamortized gain on reacquired debt
2,798
1,920
Reserve for revenues subject to possible refund (Note 2)
ther
\$193,007
$\$ 160,814$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.


The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY
Statements of Sources of Funds for Gross Property Additions
For the Years Ended December 31,
Funds Generated From Operations
Net income
Principal noncash items:
Depreciation and nuclear fuel amortization
Deferred income taxes, net
Change in reserve for revenues subject
to possible refund
Amortization of deferred charges and other
noncash items

Other Sources (Uses) of Funds
Decrease (increase) in net current assets (excluding short-term debt, long-term debt due within one year and nuclear fuel payable):

Cash and special deposits (693)
390

Total Funds For Construction From Above Sources Allowance For Equity Fuids Used During
Construction
GROSS PROPERTY ADDITIONS
Composition of Gross Property Additions:
Utility plant
Nuclear fuel
Total

2,381
$(3,575)$
27
10, 719
19,135
$(6,243)$
$-\frac{(15)}{19,446}$
$\begin{array}{r}(709) \\ \hline 18,737 \\ \hline\end{array}$
52,588

93
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 52,681 \\ \hline \$ 20,893 \\ 31,788 \\ \hline \$ 52,681 \\ \hline\end{array}$

1,460
(204)
-
$(1,652)$
$(2,811)$
(248)
$(3,065)$
$(2,990)$

29,108
$(5,560)$
-
(325)

$$
2,886
$$

6,437
$\frac{161}{2,906}$

| 12 |
| ---: |
| 2,918 |

33,985

14
$\$ 33,999$
$\$ 29,112$
$\$ 2,317$
$\begin{array}{r}26,795 \\ \$ 29,112 \\ \hline\end{array}$

The accompanying noces are an integral part of these financial statements.

## CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY

Notes to Financial Statements

## (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General - The Company owns and operates a single unit nuclear-power electric generating plant of the pressurized water type, having a gross capability of 580,000 kilowatts. The plant commenced commercial operation on January 1, 1968. The Company's common stock is owned by elev an New England electric utilities.

The Company has entered into power contracts with its eleven stockholders (Sponsors) for the sale to them of the entire output of the plant for a thirty-year period commencing January $1,1968$. Under the terms of the contract each Sponsor is required to pay the Company an amount equal to its entitlement percentage of the Company's total costs, including a return on net investment.

Regulatory Commissions - The Company and each of its Sponsors is a public utilisy under Part II of the Federal Power Act and is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Cominission (FERC) with respect to, among other things, wholesale rates and accounting procedures. The income statement and balance sheet submitted as part of this annual report are in accordance with the the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by FERC.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction - The allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) represents the estimated cost of capital funds used to finance the Company's construction and nuclear fuel program. The total capitalized costs of construction are not recognized as part of the rate base for ratemaking purposes until facilities are brought into service. AFUDC is recovered over the service life of plant in the form of increased revenue collected as a result of higher depreciation expense. AFUDC is also recovered as nuclear fuel is consumed in the reactor in the form of increased revenue collected as a result of higher nuclear fuel expense. The AFUDC rate was 9.5 percent for 1980 and 8.5 percent for 1979 and 1978. Both 1980 and 1979 include the effect of semiannual compounding of AFUDC. The following section discusses capitalized financing costs applicable to nuclear fuel financed by a fuel supply trust agreement.

Nuclear Fuel - The cost of nuclear fuel is amortized on a unit-ofproduction method at rates based on estimated kilowatt-hours of energy to be provided. Commencing January 1, 1979, a provision for estimated spent fuel disposal costs was included in nuclear fuel expense. This includes a provision for recovery over a ten-year period of the estimated disposal $\cos t$ of previously accumulated spent nuclear fuel, plus a current year provision for fuel assemblies in the reactor. Storage for such spent fuel at the Company's plant is sufficient until at least the mid-1990s.

A portion of the Company's nuclear fuel is being financed pursuant to a fuel supply trust agreement, entered into during 1979, under which the trust owns and finances the natural uranium prior to the fuel being fabricated. The trust obtains funds by the sale of commercial paper and/or through bank loans. The Company will be obligated to purchase the natural uranium and reimburse the trust for payments made by the trust and for financing costs, just prior to delivery of the fuel for fabrication. Interest costs of $\$ 2,326,000$ in 1980 and $\$ 342,000$ in 1979, incurred in connection with financing this nuclear fuel, were capitalized by the Company. The weighted average interest rate charged by the trust was 14.2 percent in 1980 and 18.9 percent in 1979.

Depreciation - The provision for depreciation is computed using the straight-line remaining life method at rates which are lased on the estimated service lives of the deprecialie utility plan in service and estimated removal costs less expected salvage, except for the net cost of removal values for nuclear production plant as stated below. The depreciation rates for the various classes of property, which are equivalent to a composite rate of 4.2 percent in 1980 and 1979 and 4.9 percent in 1978 , are applied to the depreciable plant in service at the beginning of the year. At the time depreciable property is retired from service, the original cost of such property, plus cost of removal less salvage, is charged to the accumulated provision for depreciation.

A study completed in 1980 indicates that complete removal commencing at the time of retirement of the unit, with an estimated cost of $\$ 65$ million, is the most viable and economic method of decommissioning this unit. The depreciation rates currently recognized on the books and for regulatory rate setting are those rates allowed in the November 1980 FERC decision (see Note 2) and are based on a total recovery of $\$ 7.8$ million of decommissioning costs. If rates allowed by FERC had been based on the $\$ 65$ million, rather than the $\$ 7.8$ million, depreciation expense and revenues in 1980 would have increased by approximately $\$ 3.5$ million.

Maintenance - The cost of maintenance, repairs and replacements of minor items is charged to maintenance expense. Replacements and renewals of items considered to be units of property are charged to the utility plant accounts.

## (2) RATE MATTERS

In : $\quad$ the Compary filed with FERC Supplementary tower Contracts $c i$ gned to increase the overall rate of return 1 :ovided to the Company on its power sales from 6 percent to 10 pt cent. FERC accepted the filing and suspended its effectiveness until November 5, 2978. Since that date, the Company had been filling under the iupplementary Power Contract subject to refund pending the fina: lecision of FERC: On November 21, 198). FERC issued its final decision which allowed an overall 9.74 pe. cont rate of return, based upon an 11.5 percent return on common equity, and which reduced other elements of the Company's revenue requirements. The
final FERC decision will require the Company to refund approximately \$11 million (including interest) which will be refunded to Sponsors within thirty days of receipt of the approved Supplementary Power Contract from FERC. The Company presently expects this to occur in the second quarter of 1981.

On August 29, 1980, the Company submitted for filing with FERC contracts amending the Supplementary Power Contracts between the Company and its Sponsors. The amendment provides for a variable, rather than fixed, rate of return on net unit investment, based on a 17 percent return on conmon equity, which would fluctuate with changes in long-term interest costs and preferred stock dividends, if applicable, paid by the Company. These rate amendments are expected to increase annual revenues on a test year basis by approximately $\$ 13$ million above the revenue level allowed in the November 21, 1980 FERC rate decision. On October 28, 1980, FERC accepted the filing and suspended it for five months, making the rate changes effective on April 1, 1981, subject to refund pending the final decision of FERC. On March 6, 1981, the Company filed a arttlement proposal with FERC. The Company's proposed settlement agreement would result in a reduction of $\$ 6.5 \mathrm{million}$ from the proposed rate increase of $\$ 13$ million.

## (3) INCOME TAXES

The detail of federal and state income tax provisions is set forth below:
1980 (Thousands of Do1lars) $\frac{1978}{}$


Such provisions (credits) are included in the accompanying statements of income as follows:

| Operating expenses | $\$ 4,023$ | $\$ 4,485$ | $\$ 1,277$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other income | $\frac{5}{5}$ | $(8)$ | $\frac{5}{1,282}$ |
| Total income taxes | $\$ 4,028$ | $\$ 4,477$ | $\$ 1$ |

Deferred income taxes are comprised of the tax e'fects of timing differences as follows:

$$
\frac{1980}{(T h o u s a n d s} \frac{1979}{\text { of Dollars) }} \frac{1978}{}
$$

| Investment tax credits | $\$ 3,627$ | $\$$ | 155 | $\$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest capitalized | 1,150 | 117 | 4 |  |
| Spent nuclear fuel storage accruals | $(2,684)$ | $(2,162)$ | - |  |
| Provision for revenues subject to |  |  |  |  |
| possible refund | 2,098 | $(?, 098)$ | - |  |
| Liberalized depreciation | 1,617 | - | - |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred income taxes, net | $\$ 5,813$ | $\boxed{\$(3,988)}$ | $\boxed{5}$ |  |

The reasons for the difference between total tax expense and the amounts calculated by applying the federal income tax rate to pretax income are as follows:

$$
1980
$$

1979
1978

Expected tax at $46 \%$ of pretax income in 1980 and 1979 and $48 \%$ in 1978
$\$ 3,807$
\$ 4,732
$\$ 2,087$

Tax effect of differences:
Book depreciation over tax depreciation $452 \quad 41$
Amortization of gain on redemption of bonds deferred in prior years

| $(99)$ | $(85)$ | $(71)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| - | - | $(932)$ |
| $(549)$ | $(775)$ | $(459)$ |
| 441 | 506 | 167 |
| $(24)$ | 8 | - |
| $\$ 4,028$ | $\$ 4,477$ | $\boxed{\$ 1,282}$ |

Effective income tax rate

| $49 \%$ |
| :--- |

Tax returns through 1976 have been audited by the Internal
Revenue Service. Tax provisions no longer needed subsequent to the examination were reversed in 1978.
(4) CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

The Sponsor companies are obligated under the terms of the Capital Funds Agreements entered into with the Company in 1964 to provide their percentage ownership of capital to the Company either
through common stock purchases or loans or advances. The total obligation of the Sponsor companies under this Agreement is limited to an aggregate amount of $\$ 70,000,000$, of which approximately $\$ 32,000,000$ was available at December 31, 1980.

The Company has also entered into Five-Year Capital Contribution Agreements with each of the Sponsor companies as of November l, 1980. The Agreements allow the Company to borrow (and to repay and reborrow) from the Sponsor companies, based upon their percentage ownership of the Company's common stock, an aggregate amount up to $\$ 40,000,000$. In December 1980 , the Company borrowed $\$ 5,000,000$ from the Sponsor companies pursuant to these Agreements at an interest rate of cime rate plus $\mathrm{i}^{-1 / 2}$ percent.
(5) LONG-TERM DEBT

Details of long-term debt outstanding are as follows:
$\frac{1980}{\text { (Thousands of Dollars) }}$

First mortgage iunds, Series A, 4-1/2\% due January 1, 1993

| $\$ 14,730$ | $\$ 17,861$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 13,800 | 13,800 |
| 4,000 | 5,000 |
| 6,375 | 6,750 |
| 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 5,000 | - |
| 15 | 20 |
| 63,920 | 63,431 |
| 8,775 | 1,375 |
| $\underline{\$ 55,145}$ | $\underline{\$ 62,056}$ |

The First Mortgage Indenture, secured by utility plant, requires deposits of $\$ 945,000$ semiannually through July 1,1992 of cash and/or Series A Bonds into a Sinking Fund. Saries B Bonds have annual serial maturities of $\$ 1,400,000$ on July 1, 1981-1985 and a final maturity of $\$ 6,800,000$ on July 1, 1986. During the life of the indenture, cash dividends on common stock are limited to retained earnings accumulated after December 31, 1975, the undistributed balance of which amounted to $\$ 4,767,000$ at December 31, 1980 .

The Pollution Control Notes maturing in 1984 a:e to be retired by annual deposits of $\$ 1$ million to a sinking fund commencing in 1980. The Pollution Control Notes maturing in 1997 are to be retired by annual deposits of $\$ 375,000$ to a sinking fund which commenced in 1979.

The five-year term unsecured loan is with the Connecticut Bank and Trust Company (CBT), Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. and Chemical Bank for $\$ 5$ million, $\$ 7.5$ million and $\$ 7.5$ million, respectively.

The interest rate on this loan is 105 percent of CBT's floating prime rate to February 28, 1981, 106 percent of CBT's floating prime rate from March 1, 1981 to and rcluding August 31, 1981 and 107 percent of CBT's floating prime rat from September 1, 1981 to the maturity date of August 31, 1983. Prior to March 1, 1981, these rates may be renegotiated. At December 31, 1980, the interest rate was 22.6 percent. Quarterly sinking fund payments of $\$ 2$ million will become due and payable commencing June 1, 1981.

## (6) SHORT-TERM DEBT

The Company has available bank credit lines totaling $\$ 25,000,000$ of which $\$ 6,000,000$ was available at Deceraber 31, 1980. These credit lines require compensating balances equal to 5 percent of the line of credit plus 15 percent o. the -verage borrowings. The credit lines can be withdrawn at any tik. for good cause at the option of the banks. The interest rate on any borrowing against. the credit line will be at the prime rate.

Additional informition with respect to short-term debt is as follows:
1980 (Thousands of Dullars) ${ }^{1978}$
Weighted average interest rate
for borrowiggs outstanding at
year end:

| Notes payable to banks |
| :--- |
| Commercial paper |


| Maximum amount of borrowings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| outstanding during the period: |
| Notes payable to banks |
| Commercial paper |


| Average daily borrowings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| during the year: |


| Notes payable to banks |
| :--- |
| Commercial paper |


| Weighted average interest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

rate during the period:

The Company has a noncontributory retirement plan which covers substantially all employees. The Company's policy is to annually fund an actuarially determined contribution which includes that year's nor al cost and the amortization of prior service costs over twenty years. Total pension cost approximated $\$ 394,200$ in 1980, $\$ 223,300$ in 1979 , and $\$ 214,500$ in 1978.

Accumulated plan benefits information, as estimated by consulting actuaries, and plan net assets for the Company's plan is presented below:
January 1,
$\frac{1980}{\text { (Thousands of Dollars) }}$

Benefits:


The assumed rate of return used to determine the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits was 6.5 percent in 1980 and 1979.
(8) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company currently forecasts nuclear fuel expenditures to be approximately $\$ 32,050,000$ in 1981 and $\$ 164,393,000$ for the years 1982-1986, and construction expenditures to be approximately $\$ 28,961,000$ in 1981 and $\$ 48,852,000$ for the years 1982-1986.

It is expected that ccipliance with present and future statutes, and regulations established by various federal anc state authorities, in the areas of nuclear plant licensing and operation, land use, water and air quality, and other environmental matters will require additional capital expenditures and increased operating costs the amount of which is not now determinable. Additional expenditures may also be required as a result of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's analysis of the Three Mile Island accident. In addition, uncertainties related to the reprocessing or permanent storage of nuclear fuel may rean re revisions in future nuclear fuel costs.

The Price-Anderson Act currently limits public liability from a single accident at a nuclear power plant to $\$ 560$ nillion. If the tolal damages restlting from the accident exceed th.e private pool insurance coverage of $\$ 160$ million, then the Company would be required to pay its share of the excess. Under the provisions of the Supplementary Power Cont-acts the Company's share, which would be a maximum of $\$ 5$ millior, would be passed on to the Spunsor companies.

1980 (a)
Operating revenue
Operating income
Net income
Earnings per common
share

| $\frac{\$ 16,277}{\$ 3,025}$ | $\frac{\$ 18,512}{\$ 3,059}$ | $\frac{\$ 24,050}{\$ 3,221}$ | $\underline{\$ 19,713}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\$ 1,152}{\underline{\$}}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,222}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,206}$ | $\underline{\$ ~ 669}$ |
| $\underline{\$ 3.29}$ | $\underline{\$ 3.49}$ | $\underline{\$ 3.45}$ | $\underline{\$ 1.91}$ |

1979 (b)
Operating revenue
Operating income
Net income

| $\frac{\$ 12,878}{\$ 1,599}$ | $\underline{\$ 17,400}$ | $\frac{\$ 15,312}{\$ 4,829}$ | $\frac{\$ 2,507}{\$ 17,030}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{\$(109)}$ | $\underline{\$ 3,167}$ | $\underline{\$ ~ \$ 4,392}$ |  |
| $\underline{\$(0.31)}$ | $\underline{\$ 9.05}$ | $\underline{\$ 2,107}$ |  |

(a) Fluctuations in the last quarter of 1980 were primarily due to adjustments resulting from the November 21, 1980 final FERC rate decision (see Note 2 ).
(b) Fluctuations between quarters during 1979 were primarily due to estimates used in the billing process which were corrected in each subsequent month.
(10) IMPACT OF CHANGING PRICES (UNAUDITED)

The following supplementary information was prepared on the basis prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 33, "Financial Reporting and Changing Prices", for the purpose of providing certain information about the effects of hanging prices. Since inflation accounting is expe imental in $n$ cure, it should be viewed as an estimate of the approximate effect of inflation, rather than as a precise measure. Fixed assets, nuclear fuel and related depreciation and amortization expense appearing in the historical cost financial statements have been restated to show the effect of both general inflation (constant dollar amounts) and changes in specific prices (current cost amounts). Restatement of other items would not materially affect the restated amount of operating income.

> Statement of Income Adjusted For Changing Prices For the Year Ended December 31, 1980


Five-Year Comparison of Selected Supplementary Financial Data Adjusted for Effects of Changing Prices (In Average 1980 Nollars, except historical amounts)

|  | $\frac{1980}{\text { (In Thous }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years En } \\ & \text { 1979 } \end{aligned}$ | d Decemb $\frac{1978}{\text { ars, exc }}$ | $\frac{31}{1977}$ | $\frac{1976}{\text { amount }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical | \$78,552 | \$62,620 | \$40,770 | \$38,191 | \$36,373 |
| Constant dollar | 78,552 | 71,088 | 51,494 | 51,931 | 52,650 |
| Net income (loss) (excluding |  |  |  |  |  |
| adjustment to net recoverable |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{cost)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical | \$ 4,249 | \$ 5,808 |  |  |  |
| Constant dollar | $(3,542)$ | 3,307 |  |  |  |
| Current cost | $(11,190)$ | $(11,863)$ |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) per common share (excluding adjustment to net |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| recoverable cost): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical | \$ 12.14 | \$ 16.60 |  |  |  |
| Constant dollar | (10.12) | 9.45 |  |  |  |
| Current cost | (31.97) | (33.89) |  |  |  |
| Net assets at year-end: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical | \$51,129 | \$49,580 |  |  |  |
| Constant dollar and current |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excess of increase in general |  |  |  |  |  |
| price level over increase |  |  |  |  |  |
| in specific prices after |  |  |  |  |  |
| adjustment to net recoverable |  |  |  |  |  |
| cost: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current cost | \$(14, 742) | \$ 1,051 |  |  |  |
| Gain from decline in pur- |  |  |  |  |  |
| chasing power of net amounts owed | \$12,367 | \$12,725 |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared per |  |  |  |  |  |
| common share: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical | \$ 8.150 | \$8.00 | \$ 8.00 | \$ 9.00 | $\$ \quad 7.80$ |
| Constant dollar | -. 92 | 9.01 | 10.04 | 12.14 | 11.29 |
| Average consumer price index | 246.8 | 217.4 | 195.4 | 181.5 | 170.5 |

Constant dollar amounts rep esent historical costs stated in dollars of equal purchasing power, as measured by the average level of the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) during the year. With the exception of CWIP, the data for plant was determined by applying the appropriate CPI-U to the historical cost of plant.

Constant dollar restatement adjusts transactions orginally recorded in dollars of varying purchasing power into dollars of equal purchasing power. The restated amoun.s do not purport to be appraised value, replacement cost, current value, or the individual prices of particular goods and services in the current market; nor are they indicative of the Company's future capital requirements.

Current cost amounts reflect the changes in specific prices of plant from the date the plant was acquired to the present. They are based on estimates of the costs to acquire or produce today, assets identical to those owned or assets having the same service potential as the assets owned.

The current cost of plant and equipment was determined by indexing the historical cuct of plant by the applicable HandyWhitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs. Nuclear fuel accounts reflect the currert replacement cost of stich fuel based on current market prices. Both the constant dollar and current cost amounts of and have been estimated by using the CPI-U.

The current year's depreciation expense for both constant dollar and current cost methods was determined by applying the Company's depreciation rates to indexed plant amounts. Accumulated depreciation of plant under both methods was estimated by multiplying the respective cost data by a percentage representing the expired life of existing facilities at December 31, 1980. Amortization of nuclear fuel on the constant dollar and current cost methods was computed based on the Company's present refueling cycle.

As prescribed in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 33, income taxes were not adjusted.

The results of operations, under both current $C \sim S L$ and constant dollar statements, show a net loss. This is the result of increased depreciation expense on inflation-adjusted assets. In addition, the Company will eventually have to replace its assets at a price many times greater than the original cost, without having the opportunity to recover the replacement value of its assets through historical cost depreciation.

An adjustment to income taxes would also be necessary to reflect the true impact of inflation. Present income tax regulations do not give effect to the decline in purchasing power of the dollar. Although a loss would result in lower income tax, this is not taken into account in the adjusted income statements.

Inflation erc'es the value of the dollar. Generally during periods of increasing inflation, holders of monetary assets suffer a loss of purchasing power, while holders of monetary liabilities experience a gain. The gain from the decline in purchasing power of net amounts owed is attributable to the substantial amount of debt used to finance property, plant and equipment. However, this "gain" is not a revenue item and, therefore, cannot be considered additional funds for reinvestment or dividend distribution.

