

Washington Public Power Supply System A JOINT OPERATING AGENCY

P. O. Box 468 3000 GEO. WASHINGTON WAY RICHLAND, WASHINGTON 99352 PHONE (509) 375-5000

February 3, 1981

G01-81-031

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V Suite 202, Walnut Creek Plaza 1990 N. California Boulevard Walnut Creek, California 94596

Attention:

Mr. G. S. Spencer

Chief Reactor Construction and Engineering Support Branch

Gentlemen:

Subject:

WPPSS NUCLEAR PROJECTS NOS. 1/4

NRC INSPECTION - WNP-1/4

DATES OF INSPECTION - December 16 thru 19, 1980

DOCKET NOS. 50-460 and 50-513

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT NOS. CPPR-134 and -174

Reference:

Letter from G. S. Spencer to R. L. Ferguson, NRC Inspection

at WNP-1/4 Site, dated January 8, 1981.

The referenced correspondence delineated the results of the December 16-19, 1980 inspection of activities authorized by NRC Construction Permits Nos. CPPR-134 and -174. Further, the referenced correspondence identified certain activities which were not conducted in full compliance with PSAR requirements set forth in the Notice of Violation enclosed as Appendix A. These items of noncompliance have been categorized into a level as described in Supplement II of the Federal Register dated October 7, 1980 (45 FR 66754) as the Interim Enforcement Policy.

This letter is submitted in response to the referenced correspondence. Per telecon with Mr. D. Kirsch on February 2, 1981 the due date for this response has been extended from February 1 to February 3, 1981. The specific finding(s), as identified, and the Supply System response is provided herewith as Appendix A.

Very truly yours,

WNP-1/4 Program Director

Enclosures

cc: JR Lewis - Bonneville Power Administration CR Bryant - Bonneville Power Administration

V. Mani - United Engineers & Constructors, Inc.

V. Stello - Director of Inspection and Enforcement-NRC

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V Suite 202, Walnut Creek Plaza 1990 N. California Boulevard Walnut Creek, California 94596

Docket No. 50-460 Construction Permit No. CPPR-134

APPENDIX A

Finding A

As a result of the inspection conducted during the period December 16-19, 1980 and in accordance with the Interim Enforcement Policy, 45 FR 66754 (October 7, 1980), the following violations were identified:

A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, Criterion V, as implemented by Section 17.1.5 of the quality assurance program documented in the PSAR and the WPPSS QA Program Manual Procedure QAP-2, Paragraph 3.1, states, in part, that "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures or drawings..."

Section 1A, paragraph 11.2, of WPPSS quality class 1 nuclear safety-related contract specification nos. 9779-23, 41, 62 and 113 states in part that "All contractor's drawings submitted shall be posted with the WPPSS Quality Class as designated in these documents and as called for on the Engineer's design drawings."

Contrary to the above requirement, as of December 17, 1980, (1) four contractor drawings for specification 9779-23; (2) five contractor drawings for specification no. 9779-41; (3) three contractor drawings for specification no. 9779-62; and (4) six contractor drawings for specification no. 9779-113 were not posted with the WPPSS quality class, as designated.

This is a repeat severity level IV violation (Supplement II of Federal Register Notice 45 FR 66754, dated October 7, 1980).

Supply System Response

Corrective Action Taken and Results Achieved

A. Corrective Action Request Number CAR- H.O.-23 (Attachment 1) was issued to project engineering on the nonconforming condition of vendor drawings not referencing the WPPSS Quality Class as required by the specifications.

In order to determine the extent of this nonconforming condition, project engineering and project QA sampled vendor drawings on many pre-purchased and site contracts. The results are summarized in Attachment #2. These results indicate that the majority of the pre-purchased vendors are not complying with the subject requirement and that the majority of the site contractors are complying. There are some exceptions to this status as can be seen from the attachment.

The intent of the specification requirement to note the WPPSS quality class on vendor drawings was to assure that when a vendor translates project design drawings into his own installation or fabrication drawings, the quality class is maintained. This primarily affects site contractors, who generally prepare working drawings from project design drawings. Manufacturers of equipment (off-site vendors) typically submit their own product drawings responsive to project specifications. Vendors control quality level by their own standard design controls and shop controls, such as indicating of code class, nuclear safety class, class 1E, etc. on the drawings or other controlling documents such as shop orders, travellers, etc. Therefore, imposing a requirement to add a specific WPPSS designation on manufacturer's drawings does not add any value to their control. The exception to this are those off-site vendors who translate project design drawings into subdrawings. These are:

- o The vendors for piping sub assemblies (9779-123, 137 & 151)
- o The vendor for structural steel (9779-207)

Based on the above, the requirement to place the WPPSS quality class on drawings will be deleted on all pre-purchased contracts except (9779-123, 137, 151 & 207). Those site contractors identified in Attachment #2 as not in full compliance will be required to correct any non-complying drawings. Corrective Actions Requests 1-CAR-216-03, 1-CAX-246-10 and 1-CAR-259-05 (Attachments #3, 4, & 5 respectively) have been initiated to obtain the required corrective action. Contract 9779-204 (Stainless Steel Liners) currently does not include this requirement but need not be revised, since all the work is quality class I.

Recognizing that this is a repeat violation, we have investigated the apparent breakdown in the implementation of our original corrective action to ascertain the cause of the continued deficiency.

As it turns out the problem is with the fact that we failed to adequately address which organization maintained responsibility for the site generated drawings versus pre-purchased vendor documents. In keeping with this on May 4, 1979 an interoffice memorandum was transmitted to Field Project Engineering from the Field Project Engineering Manager stressing the need to verify the WPPSS Quality Class designation on the contractors' documentation. However, in doing so we neglected to close the loop with UE&C Engineering- Philadelphia, concerning the pre-purchased documentation. This was an oversight on the projects part; however, through site surveillances and the aforementioned corrective action requests, we have corrected the problem. In light of the confusion regarding this situation, all such future deficiencies associated with pre-purchased items will be discussed in detail with the UE&C Home Office.

Action Taken to Preclude Recurrence

After the specification revisions have been issued the pre-purchased contractors with the few stated exceptions will no longer be required to reference the WPPSS quality class on their drawings.

Appendix A Page Three (3)

Action Taken to Preclude Recurrence (Cont'd)

Satisfactory implementation and re-inspection of all stated corrective actions will result in acceptable drawings.

Date of Full Compliance

It is anticipated that full compliance will be achieved by March 1, 1981.

Appendix A Page Four (4)

Finding B

B. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, as implemented by Section 17.1.5 of the quality assurance program documented in the PSAR and the WPPSS QA Program Manual Procedure QAP-2, Paragraph 3.1 states, in part, that "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions procedures and drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures or drawings..."

Drawing no. 9779-LL-417652 for support no. CCW-54-SG-106, a WPPSS Quality Class 1, ASME III, Subsection NF Class 3 support in Section A-A depicts the shop welding configuration for the upper beam to wall attachment plate joint.

Contrary to the above, as of December 18, 1980, the as installed shop weld configuration for the upper beam to wall attachment plate joint did not conform to that specified by the drawing in that the joint configuration was rotated 180° from drawing orientation requirement. The support was inspected and accepted by the material supplier on April 24, 1979.

This is a severity level IV violation (Supplement II of Federal Register Notice 45 FR 66754, dated October 7, 1980).

Supply System Response

B. An inspection of a larger population (66 supports) of hanger supports has been performed by WPPSS/UE&C QA personnel to ascertain whether or not the subject condition could be considered an isolated case. The inspection revealed that another three (3) supports exhibited similiar type joint orientation deficiencies.

The additional findings are presently being evaluated by UE&C Engineering to determine the extent of required corrective actions. These findings have been documented on WPPSS/UE&C Nonconformance Report 1-NCR-123-044.

A thorough corrective action plan indicating the status of the as found conditions, corrective actions to prevent recurrence and what reinspection actions may be required for the installed supports will be completed by March 2, 1981. Therefore, to provide a more comprehensive report we request a thirty day (3) extension for this response.

STATE OF WASHINGTON) COUNTY OF BENTON

D. W. MAZUR, Being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is the Program Director, WNP-1/4, for the WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM, the applicant herein; that he is authorized to submit the foregoing on behalf of said applicant; that he has read the foregoing and knows the contents thereof; and believes the same to be true to the best of his knowledge.

DATED 2/3 , 1981

On this day personally appeared before me D. W. MAZUR to me known to be the individual who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he signed the same as his free act and deed for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and seal this 3rd day of February, 1981

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington Residing at Benton County

| ME NO | | TACTION RELEAR PROJE | | PAGE 1 OF |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| TO (Addressee): V. Mani Project Enginee | ring Manager | | NCONFORMING MATE | |
| FROM: G. Faust Project QAE (Fi | eld) | | HER - | |
| THE ADVERSE CONDITION DE CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE THE CORRECTIVE ACTION S | SCRIBED BELOW REQUIRES | ELOW, INCLUDING | HE IMPLEMENTATION | DATET SIGN AND |
| | DISCREPANT CON | | Salam Pal | |
| RECOMMEND COS | Reference At | tachment No. 1 | | |
| RECOMMEND COR | THE TIVE ACTION | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | SIGNATURE | JJ Jaus | T. |
| B CORRECTIVE AC | TION: | SIGNATURE | JJ Jaus | QAE |
| B CORRECTIVE AC | TION: | SIGNATURE | JJ Jaus | QAE. |
| B CORRECTIVE AC | TION: | SIGNATURE | JJ Jaus | QAE |
| | TION: | | | QAE |
| | | | | QAE |
| | N TO BE TAKEN TO | | | QAE |
| CAUSE AND ACTION | N TO BE TAKEN TO | PREVENT RECUR | RENCE | |
| CAUSE AND ACTION CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED | N TO BE TAKEN TO | PREVENT RECUR | (ADDRESSEE) | DATE |
| CAUSE AND ACTION CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED C CONCURRENCE: | N TO BE TAKEN TO | PREVENT RECUR | (ADDRESSEE) | DATE |
| CAUSE AND ACTION CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED C CONCURRENCE: | N TO BE TAKEN TO | PREVENT RECUR | (ADDRESSEE) | DATE |

Attachment 1

A. Description of Discrepant Condition

During the NRC Inspection of 02/12/79, an "item of noncompliance was identified as follows:

Item of Noncompliance

Specification 9779-53, "Diesel Generators," in Paragraph 11.2, states that "All contractor's drawings submitted shall be posted with the WPPSS quality class as designated in these documents and as called for on the engineer's design drawings. Specification 9779-53 was defined as Quality Class I.

Contrary to the above, on February 14, 1979, ten contractor drawings furnished for installation of the diesel generators at WNP Units 1 and 4 were not posted with the WPPSS quality class designation. These drawings were located in the UE&C drawing control files.

The supply systems' response to this finding was as follows:

Action Taken to Correct the Identified Item

The contractor has been advised that the majority of drawings submitted for the Diesel Generator do not indicate the WPPSS Quality Class as stipulated in Section 1A of Specification 9779-53. The contractor has been requested to resubmit those drawings which do not indicate the WPPSS Quality Class.

The Quality Class for the Diesel Generator is WPPSS Quality Class I.

Action Taken to Preclude Recurrence

The Field Project Engineering Manager on May 4, 1979 issued a directive to Field Project Engineers and Design Supervisors which requires a verification as to proper Quality Class of documents prior to issue. The directive also stated the importance of the WPPSS Quality Class to correctly note proper identification of equipment with respect to safety and operation of the Unit.

Date of Full Compliance

Full compliance achieved May 4, 1979.

The NRC performed a verification of corrective action to this item of non-compliance during their inspection the week of 12/15-12/19/80. This verification confirmed that the committed corrective action had been implemented. However, a random review of drawings on contracts 9779-23, 9779-418, 9779-41G, 9779-62 and 9779-113 by the NRC indicated that a similar condition exists on those contracts. The NRC concluded that the corrective action taken was ineffective and inadequate and stated that a repeat finding would be issued.

Page 2

Recommended Corrective Action

- Review and evaluate vendor drawings to determine the extent of the nonconforming condition.
- Provide a response indicating corrective action to be taken and include as a minimum:
 - a. Corrective action taken and results achieved.
 - b. Corrective action to preclude recurrence.
 - c. Date of full compliance.

ATTACHMENT 2 Page 1 of 2 Appendix - 1 To Letter UEWP-81-095

|) | | WPPSS Quality Class |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Contract No. | . Description | TECTUGEC |
| Contract no. | t and the | Partial |
| 18 | Atmos. cleanup trains | Yas |
| 22 | Fans & air handling units | Partial |
| 23 | Emerg. shutdown service | |
| | water pumps | No |
| 24A | Shop fab. tanks | No |
| 26 | Heat exchangers | Но |
| 273 | Rad. waste nuclear air compressors | No |
| | Overhead traveling cranes | No |
| 31 | ASWZ nuclear pumps | No |
| 35 | Non-safety related chilled | |
| 39A | yeter and sump pumps | Partial |
| *** | ASHE III class 3 pumps | fes |
| 398 | ASM3 III valves | Yes |
| 418D | ASHE III valves | Yas |
| 4127 | ASHE III valves | Partial |
| 419 | Pneum, act. valves | Partial |
| 42A | Preum. act. valves | Ho |
| 423 | Pneum. act. valves | Partial |
| 42C | Pneum. act. valves | No |
| 423 | Pneum. act. valves | No |
| 423 | censul emirchzear | Но |
| 47 | 4807 motor control centers | Но |
| 43 | Ratteries, chargers | Partial |
| 51 | Rigot, penetrations | Partial |
| 55 | Local instr. racks | No |
| 58 | Promos | Нo |
| 62 | care ten valves | Partial |
| 68 | Compressor-condensing units | Yes |
| 72 | Evaporative cooler units | No |
| 74 | | No |
| 76A | Misc. safety & relief valves | Ho |
| '91 | n.c. switchgear | Partial |
| 100 | platribution panels | No |
| 101 | COUL DOMEL & COULLOT CHOTA | No |
| 105 | t special Wills | |
| 106 | Programmy DOWEL SEQUENCING | Но |
| 113 | Rad. monitoring system | Partial |
| 121 | Instrumentation | No |
| 130C | Tank level instr. | No · |
| 130L | Flow elements | Yes |
| 1301 | Transmitters | No |
| 1318 | Pressure switches | Yes |
| 1313 | Thermal elements | Partial |
| 1319 | Flow elements | Yes |
| 132 | Shop Fab. piping | No |
| 137 | Missile shield | Но |
| 140 | Hydrogen recombiners | 160 |
| 144 | Elect. panels | (4) |
| 152 | | |

ATTACHMENT 2 Page 2 of 2

Appendix - 1 To Letter UEMP-81-095

(coat'd)



| Contract No. | Description | WPPSS Quality Class |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 154 155 204 207 211 213 216 218 219 246 249 252 253 | ASMS III piping specialties Valves Stainless steel liners Structural steel NSSS piping & equip. install. Equip. hatch & personnel locks Hual installation Electrical installation Painting Underground piping Field coatings Spray pond pump house Main steam iso. bldg. GSB superstructure | Mo Partial No Yes Yes Yes Yes Partial Yes N/A Ho drawings req'd. Partial N/A No drawings req'd. Yes Yes Yes |
| 254 257 259 | Piping & mech. installation Major rigging | Yes No |



CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST WPPSS NUCLEAR PROJECTS

| X | WN | P | * | 1 |
|-------------|----|-----|---|---|
| \boxtimes | WN | I P | | 4 |
| 7 4 | | - | | |

| DATE 1/30/81 WPPSS NUCLEA | AR PROJECTS PAGE 1 OF 1 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TO (Addressee): University Mechanical Attention: Don Larsen, QA Manager | NONCONFORMING MATERIAL |
| FROM: T. J. Houchins Project QA Manager | DISCREPANCY IN PROCESS QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT OTHER Spec. Requirement |
| | DIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION. PLEASE INDICATE THE CAUSE AND INCLUDING THE IMPLEMENTATION DATE. SIGN AND DATE FORM TO THE SENDER BY 02/09/81 |
| A DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPANT CONDITION | ON: |
| Paragraph 25.2 of Section 1A of specific contractor drawings submitted shall be Contrary to this requirement, a review date indicates many of your drawings of | posted with the WPPSS Quality Class. w of contractor drawings submitted to |
| RECOMMEND CORRECTIVE ACTION: | |
| Immediately review the latest revision Engineer to assess compliance with the resubmit drawings as required. | |
| B CORRECTIVE ACTION: | POAM OR PROJECT QAE |
| | |
| CAUSE AND ACTION TO BE TAKEN TO PREVE | NT RECURRENCE |
| CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED BY SIGNAT | TURE (ADDRESSEE) DATE |
| C CONCURRENCE: ACCEPT/REJECT COMMENTS | D ACTION VERIFIED: ACCEPT/REJECT |
| POAM OR PROJECT QAE DATE | PQAM OR PROJECT GAE DATE |

Form 16-1-1

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST WPPSS NUCLEAR PROJECTS

WNP-1

| ATE 1700/01 | PAGEOF |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TO (Addressee): | NONCONFORMING MATERIAL |
| Peter Kiewit & Spns Attention: Ken Bedell, QA Manager | NCR |
| FROM: | DISCREPANCY IN PROCESS |
| | QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT |
| T. J. Houchins, Project QA Manager | X OTHER Spec. Requirement |
| THE ADVERSE CONDITION DESCRIBED BELOW REQUIRES IMMED CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN IN SPACE (B) BELOW, THE CORRECTIVE ACTION STATEMENT AND RETURN THIS F. | INCLUDING THE IMPLEMENTATION DATE. SIGN AND DATE |
| A DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPANT CONDITIO | <u>N</u> : |
| Paragraph 25.2 of Section IA of specificontractor drawings submitted shall be Contrary to this requirement, a review date indicates many of your drawings decreases. | posted with the WPPSS Quality Class. of contractor drawings submitted to |
| RECOMMEND CORRECTIVE ACTION: | |
| Immediately review the latest revision Engineer to assess compliance with the resubmit drawings as required. | of all drawings submitted to the above requirement. Update and |
| B CORRECTIVE ACTION: | POAM OR PROJECT QUE |
| B CORRECTIVE ACTION. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CAUSE AND ACTION TO BE TAKEN TO PREVE | NT RECURRENCE |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED BY SIGNAT | URE |
| QATE OF IMPERIOR OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWN | (ADDRESSEE) DATE |
| CONCURRENCE: ACCEPT/REJECT | D ACTION VERIFIED: ACCEPT/REJECT |
| COMMENTS | COMMENTS |
| | |
| | |

Form 16-1-1

H.O. NO. CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST SITE NO. 1-CAR-259-05 YY WNP-1 X WNP -4 1/30/81 WPPSS NUCLEAR PROJECTS PAGE] TO (Addressee): NONCONFORMING MATERIAL Lampson NCR Attention: Bob Knight, Project Manager DISCREPANCY IN PROCESS FROM: T. J. Houchins, Project QA Manager QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT OTHER Spec. Requirement THE ADVERSE CONDITION DESCRIBED BELOW REQUIRES IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION. PLEASE INDICATE THE CAUSE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN IN SPACE (B) BELOW, INCLUDING THE IMPLEMENTATION DATE. SIGN AND DATE THE CORRECTIVE ACTION STATEMENT AND RETURN THIS FORM TO THE SENDER BY 02/09/81 DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPANT CONDITION: Paragraph 25.2 of Section 1A of specification 9779.259 requires that all contractor drawings submitted shall be posted with the WPPSS Quality Class. Contrary to this requirement, a review of contractor drawings submitted to date indicates many of your drawings do not meet this requirement. RECOMMEND CORRECTIVE ACTION: Immediately review the latest revision of all drawings submitted to the Engineer to assess compliance with the above requirement. Update and resubmit drawings as required. CORRECTIVE ACTION: CAUSE AND ACTION TO BE TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED BY SIGNATURE (ADDRESSEE) CONCURRENCE: ACCEPT/REJECT ACTION VERIFIED: ACCEPT/REJECT COMMENTS COMMENTS POAM OR PROJECT GAE DATE POAM OR PROJECT QAE DATE