DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28201

A. C. THIES SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT PRODUCTION AND TRANSMISSION

March 6, 1981

P. O. Box 2178

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: RII:JJL

50-269/81-01 50-270/81-01 50-287/81-01

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

With regard to C. E. Murphy's letter dated February 9, 1981 which transmitted the subject inspection report, Duke Power considers that a letter concerning I.E. Bulletin 80-11 submitted to your office on February 2, 1981 by William O. Parker, Jr., Vice President, provides the necessary response. Please find attached a copy of the letter for your convenience.

Duke Power Company does not consider the information contained therein to be proprietary.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements set forth herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Very truly yours,

A. C. Thies

ACT:pw Attachment

my tronger. DUKE POWER COMPANY POWER BUILDING 422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 20242 WILLIAM O PARKER, JR. VICE PRESIDENT Teversone have the STEAM PRODUCTION February 2, 1981 Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Re: Oconee Nuclear Station U. S. NRC IE Bulletin 80-11 Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287 Dear Mr. O'Reilly: This letter supplements my letters of July, October 28, and November 4, 1980 which previously provided information in response to IE Bulletin 80-11. During a site visit to Oconee Nuclear Station by Mr. Joe Lenihan of USNRC Atlanta office on 6-9 January 1981, it was determined that several masonry walls at the station had not been surveyed in the conduct of initial asbuilt surveillance required by the subject bulletin. Review of the events leading up to the initial surveillance revealed that nine areas in the plant were not surveyed because no mason, y walls were found in an office search of drawings showing these areas. Subsequent review of these drawings showed the existence of five masonry walls which had not been surveyed. An exhaustive search of the nine areas concerned was conducted by traine engineers during the period 13-16 January 1981. No masonry walls other than the five which are shown on the as-built plant drawings were found. The additional walls are: Drawing Wall Identification* Priority** 0 - 13T1-775.0-2526-HJ-1165 TIL T1-775.0-HJ-2526-1166 III T1-775.0-2526-HJ-1167 III T1-775.0-HJ-2526-1168 III 0-2018 A3-783.8-PQ-8889-1463 * Wall identification is as defined in Duke's 60-day response to the bulletin. (William O. Parker letter dated July 7, 1980) ** The priority system is defined in Duke's 60-day response to the bulletin. (William O. Parker letter dated July 7, 1980) dup of 8102200129

Mr. James P. O'Reilly Page 2 February 2, 1981

The five additional walls were surveyed in accordance with established procedures and will be included in the review of all masenry walls at Oconce Nuclear Station.

Very truly yours,

illiam Oc Hanker The tag & William O. Parker, Jr.

RLG: pw

cc: Director Division of Reactor Operations Office of Inspection and Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555