January 19, 1981

PROMISED BULE PR 50, 51, 100 45 FR 7908Z

Serial No.: NO-81-112

File: NG-3514(G)

Secretary of the Commission Attention: Docketing and Service Branch United States Nuclear Regulatory Commiss Washington, D. C. 20555

> COMMENTS ON PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR REACTOR SITING CRITERIA

Online of the Secretary

Scheting & Service

Dear Sir:

Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L) has reviewed the Notice Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Revision of the Regulations Governing the Siting of Nuclear Power Plants dated November 17, 1980 and hereby provides the comments listed below.

CP&L does not have specific comments related to the content of the proposed Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) but is concerned with the timing of the rulemaking for revising the criteria for reactor siting. Since the accident at Three Mile Island Unit No. 2, it has become evident that revised or new criteria were required in the areas of Safety Goals and Methodology, Standard Safety Features, Degraded Core Cooling, Reactor Siting and Emergency Planning. CP&L believes that not only do these areas need to be addressed by the NRC and the Nuclear Industry but that they should be addressed in the order listed a' we. If necessary, interim guidance could be issued in some areas, but estab ishment of Safety Goals and Methodology should be accomplished first with the other areas following as a natural progression once the envelope of the Safety Goal is determined. The NRC, however, seems to be proceeding in exactly the opposite direction. A new Emergency Planning Rule has already been implemented and advance notice of rulemaking has already been published in the areas of Reactor Siting and Degraded Core Cooling. The present approach being followed by the NRC appears to lack coordination and seems to ignore the interrelationships between these areas.

CP&L, therefore, recommends that the NRC reconsider the ordering and interrelationships of these items (i.e. Safety Goals and Methodology, Standard Safety Features, Degraded Core Cooling, Reactor Siting and Emergency Planning) and that maximum effort be directed toward the establishement of Safety Goals and Methodology. The revision of criteria in the other areas should then follow as a logical outgrowth of this effort.

Achien fortigat by many 1/22/81.

If you have any questions concerning these comments, please contact our staff.

Yours very truly,

E. E. Utley

Executive Vice President
Power Supply and
Engineering & Construction

JJS/dk (N#25)