(7-77)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: [| | | | |] (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | G A E I H 2 (2) | 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 (3) | 4 1 1 1 1 (4) | (5)
LICENSEE CODE | LICENSE NUMBER | LICENSE TYPE | CAT

CON'T 0 1 | REPORT SOURCE L (6) | 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 6 (7) | 0 1 2 6 8 1 (8) | 0 2 1 7 8 1 (9)
DOCKET NUMBER | EVENT DATE | REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 On 1/24/81 and 1/25/81, while the unit was in cold shut down, reactor
0 3 coolant was sampled from the wrong sample line. Therefore; contrary to
0 4 Tech Spec Section 4.4.4.c reactor coolant was not sampled for conducti-
0 5 vity every 24 hours. This is a non-repetitive occurrence on Unit 2,
0 6 but; a repetitive occurrence on Unit 1 and was last reported on LER
0 7 50-321/1980-085. There were no effects on public health and safety
0 8 due to this event.

0 9	SYSTEM CODE	CAUSE CODE	CAUSE SUBCODE	COMPONENT CODE	COMP. SUBCODE	VALVE SUBCODE
	C J (11)	A (12)	D (13)	Z Z Z Z Z Z (14)	Z (15)	Z (16)

(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER

EVENT YEAR		SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.		OCCURRENCE CODE	REPORT TYPE		REVISION NO.
8 1	—	0 0 7	/	0 3	L	—	0

ACTION TAKEN	FUTURE ACTION	EFFECT ON PLANT	SHUTDOWN METHOD	HOURS (22)	ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED	NPRD-4 FORM SUB.	PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER	COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
H (18)	Z (19)	Z (20)	Z (21)	0 0 0 0	Y (23)	N (24)	Z (25)	Z 9 9 9 (26)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 The cause of these two events has been attributed to a lack of com-
1 1 munication among personnel who collect and analyze reactor coolant.
1 2 These persons have been instructed on the proper location for reactor
1 3 coolant sampling. Reactor coolant was sampled on 1/26/81 and found
1 4 that no limits were exceeded.

1 5	FACILITY STATUS	% POWER	OTHER STATUS (30)	METHOD OF DISCOVERY	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
	H (28)	0 0 0 (29)	N/A	(31)	Laboratory Observation

1 6	ACTIVITY RELEASED	CONTENT OF RELEASE	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)	LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
	Z (33)	Z (34)	N/A	N/A

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

1 7	NUMBER	TYPE	DESCRIPTION (39)
	0 0 0 (37)	Z (38)	N/A

PERSONNEL INJURIES

1 8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION (41)
	0 0 0 (40)	N/A

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43)

1 9	TYPE	DESCRIPTION
	Z (42)	N/A

PUBLICITY

2 0	ISSUED	DESCRIPTION (45)	NRC USE ONLY
	N (44)	N/A	

8102250 077

NAME OF PREPARER W.H. Rogers Health Physics Superintendent PHONE: 912-367-7781

LER # 50-366/1981-007
Licensee: Georgia Power Company
Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch
Docket #: 50-366

NARRATIVE REPORT FOR LER 50-366/1981-007

On January 24th and 25th, 1981 while the unit was in cold shutdown the reactor coolant sample was taken from the fuel pool sample line. The technician taking the sample was filling in on the weekend for the technician that normally takes the samples. No communication occurred between the 2 technicians. The supervisor on duty was aware that the sample location had changed from the fuel pool to the RHR loop that was in service for the reactor vessel. The normal sample point, the reactor coolant sample line, was out of service. The reactor water clean up system was not in service so a sample could not be taken from either. Several days earlier the RHR loop had been started up and the fuel was being transferred from the fuel pool to the reactor vessel. When all fuel is in the fuel pool, reactor coolant samples are taken from the fuel pool sample lines. The last time the technician had sampled for reactor coolant he had taken the sample from the fuel pool sample lines which was correct at that time.

The samples were taken without incident and the data reviewed. During the ensuing conversation the technician noted the sample came from the fuel pool not the RHR loop. As a result the sample was pulled from the RHR loop on January 26, 1981 and no limits were exceeded. There were 2 violations of section 4.4.4.c because the conductivity was not checked every 24 hours which is required when the continuous recording conductivity monitor is inoperable. There were no effects upon public health and safety due to this event.

No previous LER has been issued for this violation on Unit 2 although several have been issued on Unit 1. This series of events has never occurred before and the persons involved have been re-instructed on proper communications, its value and effectiveness.