

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

FILE COPY

OCT 15 1975

Consumers Power Company
ATTN: R. B. DeWitt, Manager
of Nuclear Plant
Operations
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Docket No. 50-155

Gentlemen:

This is in response to Mr. Sewell's reply dated September 4, 1975, to the items of noncompliance which were brought to your attention in our letter dated August 7, 1975.

We have no further questions at this time with respect to your comments and corrective actions regarding Infractions 1 and 2; sections, a, b and c (Parts A and C) of Infraction 3; and the deficiency.

With respect to your response to Infraction 3, section c (Part B), we continue to maintain that a followup review by the Plant Review Committee should have been conducted. An independent review is appropriate whenever a deviation between the selected criticality prediction and actual criticality exceeds the procedural hold point, necessitating a reevaluation by the nuclear engineer. The purpose of an independent review is to provide further assurance that no reactivity anomaly exists and to provide management with an awareness of the capabilities and limitations of calculational techniques to improve future criticality predictions.

APZ

8101220436

OCT 15 1975

Consumers Power Company - 2 -

No further response is required at this time. We will examine these corrective actions during a subsequent inspection.

Sincerely yours,

J. G. Keppler
Regional Director

cc: R. B. Sewell, Nuclear
Licensing Administrator
C. J. Hartman, Plant
Superintendent

bcc w/ltr dtd 9/4/75:
PDR
Local PDR
NSIC
TIC
Ronald Callen, Michigan Public
Service Commission
Anthony Roisman, Esq., Attorney