

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JAN 7 1981

50-235 M.FCR

Mr. Dorsen A. Berger 2239 Fairview Street West Lawn, Pennsylvania 19609

Dear Mr. Berger:

This is in reply to your letter of September 26, 1980, to President Carter about the effect of the accident in March 1979 at Three Mile Island Unit 2 on the cost of electricity to customers of Metropolitan Edison Co. and the need for federal assistance.

Enclosed is a copy of a letter of July 3, 1980, from the Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs and Policy to the Chairman of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. This states that there is no statutory authority for any form of direct federal financial aid to assist in clean-up and recovery costs associated with the accident at Three Mile Island. It does refer to proceedings at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) that would provide for a discount for Metropolitan Edison in purchasing power while the TMI plant is down. This was subsequently approved by FERC.

As you know, the accident at Three Mile Island Unit 2 also caused Unit 1 to be shut down until its safe operation can be assured and has necessitated the purchases of electric energy by Metropolitan Edison from other utilities. Enclosed is an item from a recent report of the U.S. Department of Energy that discusses these purchases. Special arrangements were made with utilities outside the PJM power pool to provide lower-cost power on an "as-available" basis. This is said to have saved the customers of the GPU System, of which Metropolitan Edison is a part, over \$114 million from the date of the TMI accident through May 1980, compared to prevailing contractual arrangements within the PJM power pool. However, at times during the summer heat wave in 1980, these special arrangements were cancelled to enable the supplying utilities to meet their own high demands for electric power.

The resumption of operation of Three Mile Island Unit 1 is the subject of a hearing by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. That hearing started on October 15, 1980. The Commission has determined that satisfactory completion of certain short-term actions and resolution of various specified concerns are required to provide reasonable assurance that the facility can be operated without endangering the health and safety of the public. The Commission has also determined that certain long-term actions are required to be completed as

8101210 309

Mr. Dorsen A. Berger

promptly as practicable and that reasonable progress on the completion of such actions prior to restart is required in order to provide reasonable assurance that the facility can be operated safely over the long term. The Commission's primary commitment is to a fair and thorough hearing and decision. Given this overriding imperative, it is the Commission's expectation that the Board will conduct the proceeding expeditiously. The decision on resumption of operation will be made by the Commission after the hearing is completed.

Sincerely,

Hardel R. Qut

Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Ltr. of July 3, 1980
- 2. Recent Report of the
- U.S. Department of Energy

POOR ORIGINAL 2239 Farmin At West form, Pa. September 26, 1990 plear Presedent Certin, Seems strange the government can help with problems like choysler, forthead, NYC, floods, chroughts, - but turns up its rose at another disaster - Three mile Island. there is one go I generation station sitting there doing nothing while we continue to by anot all at so million a year plus. Please sin, - where is the logic . How can all the delays be justified? Why must we pay premium costs for oil-made power when it is , t necovery . americans used to be leader - check nuclear generation progress in France, Russia - etc How did we get so stopial o at the very least, get THI-I back on line for our Country' sale Sincerely, D. A. Berger