FYR 81-1



1671 Worcester Road, Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

January 5, 1981 B.3.2.1

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:

Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #5

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

References:

(a) License No. DPR-3 (Docket No. 50-29)

(b) USNRC Letter dated November 24, 1980; Subject: Revised Section 10 CFR 50.48 and Appendix R to 10 CFR 50

Dear Sir:

Subject: Staff Requirements on Open Items

Yankee Atomic Electric Company has reviewed Enclosure 2 to Reference (b) above, and we offer the following clarifications.

3.2.3 Alternate Shutdown Capability

The staff did not request us to provide a dedicated shutdown system in our Fire Protection Safety Evaluation Report. On page 3-7, of Section 3.2.3 of the SER it very clearly states, "The staff has requested the provision of a dedicated shutdown system or equivalent alternate features for safe shutdown". (Emphasis added.) It is still our intent to provide either one or the other. We have not yet determined which would be best for our plant.

We would also like to clarify NRC's inference that Yankee Atomic Electric Company has been lax in providing information with respect to an alternate shutdown capability by your statement that, "To date no information has been received regarding this modification".

The Fire Protection SER, issued March 15, 1979, clearly stated, "The licensee has been requested to propose the additional shutdown features at the completion of the Systematic Evaluation Program". An NRC letter to us dated April 23, 1980 again listed the response to Section 3.2.3, Shutdown Capability as "Deferred to SEP".

We have been aware that NRC has had under consideration a proposal that would change the schedule for this particular fire protection modifiction by removing it from the SEP evaluation. For that reason, this particular letter with its 10 CFR 50 changes is not

8101090521

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Mr. Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief

unexpected. However, we feel it is inappropriate to charge unresponsiveness to schedule and information requirements that by the same letter have been drastically altered. It is particularly inappropriate in light of the fact that the shutdown criteria that were to be developed by the NRC as a result of the SEP Evaluation have not yet been promulgated for us to use in development of our alternate shutdown capability.

Yankee Atomic Electric Company has always been active in nuclear plant fire protection and responsive to the NRC concerns. We feel that the inclusion of the statement that we have not responded to an NRC request was totally unnecessary and misleading to anyone who might read it.

If you have any question or comment on this, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY

D. E. Moody

Manager of Operations

EAS/sec