



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 26 1980

Docket No. 50-267

MEMORANDUM FOR: James R. Miller, Chief, Standardization & Special Projects Branch, DL

FROM: George Kuzmycz, Project Manager, Standardization & Special Projects Branch

SUBJECT: FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO REGARDING EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN


DATE & TIME: December ¹⁰8, 1980
8:30 am - 4:30 pm
^{9:00}

PLACE: Room 228A, Old Phillips Building

PURPOSE: To discuss the status of the Fort St. Vrain Emergency Response Plan in general and PSCo exemptions to NRC guidelines as related to HTGR's in particular.

PARTICIPANTS: NRC
G. Kuzmycz
E. McPeck
F. Pagano
B. Grimes
R. Tedesco
D. Eisenhut
J. Miller

PSCo
D. Warembourg, et.al.


George Kuzmycz, Project Manager
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

8012110 122

F

NOV 28 1980

MEETING NOTICE DISTRIBUTION
STANDARDIZATION AND SPECIAL PROJECTS BRANCH
DIVISION OF LICENSING

Docket Files
NRC PDR
L PDR
TERA
NSIC
SSPB Rdg.
NRR Rdg.
HDenton
ECase
DEisenhut
RPurple
TNovak
GLainas
RTedesco
RAClark
SVarga
Tippolito
RReid
DCrutchfield
JHeltemes, AEOD
GKuzmycz
OELD
IE-3
OSD-3
LTremper
Receptionist, Bethesda
ACRS-16
Program Support Branch
GZech
BGrimes
HCollins
FPagano
SRamos
JKrammer
DVassallo
PCollins
DZiemann
Jolshinski

1980 DEC 2 PM 12 07

STANDARDIZATION SERVICES
BRANCH

Agenda Proposed by
Public Service Company of Colorado
for
Emergency Planning Meeting

1. In various correspondence we have proposed the use of the Fort Lupton Municipal Building for use as our Forward Command Post (EOF in NRC Terms). With the many changes in guidance and issuance of NUREG's we need to discuss the use of the Fort Lupton facility to obtain concurrence for its use and, if used, to determine the criterion that will be applied to the facility in terms of HVAC systems, Nuclear Data Link, SPDS, communications and environmental conditions.
2. The early warning alert system continues to be a major issue not only for us, but for other utilities. Given the characteristics of Fort St. Vrain we need to determine the criterion that will be utilized in our case.
3. We need to discuss the recent revision of Appendix 2 to NUREG 0654 concerning meteorological information.
4. We need to discuss the present status of NUREG 0696 with reference to its impact on emergency response facilities which we have had under construction for sometime now. We are particularly concerned about the physical size requirements proposed by the draft of NUREG 0696 and the criterion associated with the Nuclear Data Link, and the Special Parameter Display system. Our comments on the draft of NUREG 0696 are contained in P-80138.
5. In our submittal of the Emergency Response Plan we addressed the NRC's questions resulting from a previous review. We would hope to discuss any comments the NRC may have in this area (See P-80288) as well as any additional comments the NRC may have developed since submittal of our revised plan.
6. With reference to the POST TMI-2 requirements we would hope, if time permits, to discuss the following:
 - a. Shift Manning Requirements: We took minor exceptions to the shift manning requirements (See P-80388). We would like to discuss any problems that the NRC may have with our position.
 - b. Long Term STA Use: Training requirements and the possibility of retraining the Technical Advisors as permanent staff in lieu of upgraded operator training.
 - c. Operator Training: Specifically with reference to the use of simulators and the NRC position for simulator training for Fort St. Vrain.
 - d. We have just received NUREG 0737 and have not had the opportunity to review it yet. We may have some general discussion items concerning this document.