# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

/0/1/	CONTROL BLOCK / / / / / (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE /V/A/N/A/S/1/ (2) /0/0/-/0/0/0/0/0/-/0/0/ (3) LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER	ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)  /4/1/1/1/1 (4) /// (5)  LICENSE TYPE CAT	
/0/1/	REPORT /L/ (6) /0/5/0/0/0/3/3/8/ (7) /1/1/1/4/8 SOURCE /L/ (6) /0/5/0/0/0/3/3/8/ (7) /1/1/1/4/8	/0/ (8) /1/2/0/3/8/0/ (9)	
	EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10		
/0/2/	/ On November 14, 1980, while operating in Mode 1, LI-1474 indicated greater than/		
/0/3/	/ 5% higher than the average of the three channels, thereby providing a non-		
/0/4/	/ conservative reading in the event of a low steam generator level signal. In /		
/0/5/	/ addition, this non-conservative reading was logged previously and the channel /		
/0/6/	/ not declared inoperable. Since there were two redundant channels available /		
/0/7/	/ to trip the reactor in the event of a low steam generator level, the health /		
/0/8/	/ and safety of the public were not affected.	/	
		MP. VALVE BCODE SUBCODE	
/0/9/	SEQUENTIAL OCCURRENCE	/ (15) /Z/ (16) REPORT REVISION	
(17)	LER/RO EVENT YEAR REPORT NO. CODE REPORT NUMBER /8/0/ /-/ /0/9/7/ / / /0/3/	TYPE NO.	
ACTION TAKEN /B/ (	ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS SUBMITTED	NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER /N/ (24) /A/ (25) /W/1/2/0/ (24)	
	The second secon	(11) (24) [11] (23) [11] [12] (11)	
C.	AUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)		
/1/0/	/ The cause of this event was high transmitter output	due to a leaking root /	
/1/1/	/ isolation valve. The corrective action was to furm	anite the leaking isolation /	
/1/2/	/ valve and perform a channel check on the affected c	hannel. The check was /	
/1/3/	/ successful, and the chann'l placed tack in service.	/	
/1/4/		/	
	METHOD OF   STATUS   METHOD OF   STATUS   DISCOVERY   /E/ (28)	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) / Operator Observation /	
/1/6/	RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) /Z/ (33) /Z/ (34) / NA //	LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)	
	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39)		
/1/7/	/0/0/0/ (37) /Z/ (38) / NA PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION (41)	/	
/1/8/	/0/0/0/ (40) / NA		
	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION		
/1/9/	/Z/ (42) / NA PUBLICITY	/	
	ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45)	NRC USE ONLY	
/2/0/	/N/ (44) / NA	11111111111	
	NAME OF PREPARER W. R. CARTWRIGHT	PHONE (703) 894-5151	

Virginia Electric and Power Company North Anna Power Station, Unit 1 Docker No. 50-338 Report No. LER 80-097/03L-0

## Description of Event

On November 14, 1980 while operating in Mode 1, it was discovered that LI-1474, Steam Generator Level Channel 1 - Protection, was indicating >5% higher than the average of the three channels, thereby providing a non-conservative reading in the event of a low steam generator level. In addition, the non-conservative channel was logged twice previously without the affected channel being declared inoperable and placed in trip within one hour. This event is applicable to T.S. 3.3.1.1 and reportable pursuant to T.S. 6.9.1.9.a,c.

Attachment: Page 1 of 1

### Probable Consequences of Occurrence

Since there were two redundant channels available to trip the reactor in the event of a low steam generator level, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

### Cause of Event

1-FW-LT-1474, Steam Generator Level Channel 1 - Protection, indicated 5% greater than the average of the three channels due to a transmitter with excessive high output caused by a steam leak on the root isolation valve.

#### Immediate Corrective Action

Within one hour after discovering that the affected channel had been out of spec for the last 8 hours, a channel calibration check was performed and the affected channel declared inoperable, and placed in trip. Subsequent to being placed in trip, the transmitter was found to have an abnormally high output due to a steam leak on a root isolation valve. The transmitter was isolated and the valve furmanited to seal the leak. The channel was returned to operation and a comparative channel check was successfully performed. The afferted channel was then declared operable and returned to service.

#### Scheduled Corrective Action

There was no scheduled corrective action.

#### Actions Taken to Prevent Reccurrence

All personnel involved in the incident were counseled on the importance of carefully reviewing data from all logs for acceptability. No further corrective action was required.

#### Generic Implications

There are no generic implications.