HUNTON & WILLIAMS

707 EAST MAIN STREET P

P 0 Box 1535

WACHOVIA BANK BUILDING P 0 80% 109 PALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27602 919 - 828 - 9371

919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE.N. W P 0.80X 19230 WASHINGTON.0 C 20036 202 - 223 - 8650

FIRST VIRGINIA BANK TOWER IDI ST. PAUL'S BOULEVARD NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23BIO BO4 - 625 - 550I RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23212

TELEPHONE 804-788-8200

FILE NO. 29210.000002

DIRECT CIAL NO BOX 788-8357

December 5, 1980

Mr. Harold R. Denton
Director, Office of Nuclear
Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Philadelphia Electric Company
Fulton Generating Station Units 1 and 2
(NRC Dockets 50-463, 50-464)

Dear Mr. Denton:

Pursuant to § 2.107 of the Commission's regulations, 10 CFR § 2.107, Philadelphia Electric Company (PE or the Applicant) hereby withdraws its application (NRC Docket Nos. 50-463, 50-464) for a permit from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to construct twin HTGR units designated Fulton Generating Station Units 1 and 2. A motion to withdraw the application without prejudice and to terminate construction permit proceedings has been filed today with the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; a copy is attached.

The construction permit application for the Fulton units was filed in 1973, and staff review and prehearing discovery among the parties had taken place when, in 1975, PE informed the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that its nuclear steam supply vendor had suspended work related to the Fulton project. PE requested, and the Board granted, permission to place the application in a suspended status. On December 29, 1978, PE filed an amendment to its construction permit application seeking an adjudicatory early site suitability review of the Fulton site pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.101(a-1) and Subpart F to Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. This amendment was docketed and has received an initial review by the Regulatory Staff but no substantial work on it has taken

B001

HUNTON & WILLIAMS

place. On August 1, 1979, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a Memorandum and Order denying a motion made by various intervenors, and opposed by PE, to involuntarily terminate this proceeding. 10 NRC 220 (1979).

PE has recently concluded that there are no longer significant benefits to maintaining the Fulton application before the Commission. This fact, plus the pendency of proposed regulations (45 Fed. Reg. 74493, November 10, 1980) which as proposed would impose substantial additional fee liability for applications withdrawn after they become effective, has induced PE to withdraw its application before the regulations' effective date.

PE therefore requests that the Commission consider its application for a construction permit for Fulton Generating Station Units 1 and 2 to be withdrawn without prejudice as of the date of receipt of this letter. A copy of this letter is being sent today to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board along with the motion requesting that it permit withdrawal of the application and that the Fulton proceeding be dismissed as moot.

Very truly yours,

Donald P. Irwin

Attorney for

Philadelphia Electric comany

Donald P. Irwin Ass

91/728

Enclosure