



RICHARD P. CROUSE
Vice President
Nuclear
(419) 259-5221

Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

Serial No. 712

June 10, 1981



Director of Office Administration
Attn: Mr. W. D. Miller, Chief
License Fee Management Branch
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Miller:

This is in response to your letter dated May 21, 1981 (Log No. 720) concerning fees for Toledo Edison's March 19, 1981 (Serial No. 697) submittal which proposed an alternate service water capability for Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1. Enclosed is check number 307279 for \$4,000.

Your April 2, 1981 (Log No. 688) letter informed Toledo Edison that our March 19, 1981 letter to the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (ONRR) involves a single safety issue and, therefore, is a Class III application requiring a fee. We reviewed the requirements for our alternate service water capability submittal on March 19, 1981. The letter was in response to schedules and design descriptions of 10CFR 50 Appendix R and Amendment 18 dated July 26, 1979 (Log No. 409). Appendix R modified the submittal requirements of Amendment 18, Item B of Table 1 in accordance with License Condition 2.C.(3)h to modify the Service Water system backup system by mid 1984. The Amendment 18 letter stated " . . . no license amendment fee is required to accompany your response to the aforementioned request." (Item B of Table 1). We feel this information submittal was associated with the original Amendment 18 review, therefore requiring no separate fee determination under 10CFR 170.22.

Toledo Edison request a re-evaluation of the fees assessed for our March 19, 1981 submittal and a remittance of the \$4,000 enclosed.

Yours very truly,

RPC:GAB:lab
enclosure

cc: DB-1 NRC Resident Inspector

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY EDISON PLAZA 300 MADISON AVENUE TOLEDO, OHIO 43652

8106170 220

P

w/check
\$4,000
m008
5/10