

POOR ORIGINAL

Attachment to LER 81-013/04T-0

ANOMALOUS MEASUREMENT REPORT

On April 30, 1981, Boston Edison received the control station analyses report for Irish Moss from the Yankee Atomic Environmental Laboratory. A comparison to the other sample stations indicated that a reportable concentration of Co-60 (440 ± 11 pCi/kg) existed in an Irish Moss sample taken from the Pilgrim Station discharge canal outfall area on February 18, 1981.

This concentration is in excess of 10 times the measured concentration at the control station in Ellisville (28.2 ± 5.3 pCi/kg).

However, the control station sample analysis showed that there were detectable quantities of the following nuclides:

<u>Nuclide</u>	<u>Ellisville (Control)</u>	<u>Rocky Point (discharge canal)</u>
	<u>pCi/kg</u>	<u>pCi/kg</u>
Ce-144	116 \pm 20	43 \pm 10
Ce-141	91.8 \pm 7.4	43.9 \pm 3.5
Be-7	121 \pm 30	77 \pm 22
Ru-103	33.8 \pm 4.7	24.3 \pm 3.5
Zr-95	62.4 \pm 8.4	29.0 \pm 5.9
AcTh -228	47 \pm 14	1 \pm 15
Co-60	28.2 \pm 5.3	440 \pm 11
K-40	7810 \pm 150	5960 \pm 120

As can be seen, there are several nuclides (e.g., Ce-144) with a higher concentration at the control station than was detected in the sample taken from the discharge canal outfall area. This indicates that there is an additional source of these isotopes present which significantly contributes to these concentrations and is not due to the operation of Pilgrim Station. This source is undoubtedly fallout from recent atmospheric weapons tests.

Even if it were assumed that the activity was due only to Pilgrim Station, due to processing and market dilution it is extremely unlikely that any individual would consume any material with the measured concentration of Co-60. However, even if a person were to directly consume this algae at the seafood consumption rates given in Regulatory Guide 1.109, the annual dose to that

individual would be only 0.0023 mrem to the total body (child) and 0.018 mrem to the maximum exposed organ (GI-LLI, Adult).

It is concluded that there is no risk to public health and safety, as the above calculated doses are extremely small when compared to the natural background dose rate in this area of about 80 mrem/yr.

POOR ORIGINAL