TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401

400 Chestnut Street Tower II

May 14, 1981

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

In the Matter of the Application of Tennessee Valley Authority

Docket Nos. 50-327 50-328

At the request of the NRC Environmental Branch Reviewer, TVA will conduct a verification review of the purge valve configuration differences between unit 1 and unit 2. TVA will report on the results of our onsite review of the unit 2 purge valve configuration by June 1, 1981.

By review of plant design drawings and valve specifications, TVA considers that there are no differences between the purge systems for unit 1 and unit 2 which could significantly affect the conclusions of TVA's review of purge valve operability/reliability to close in a LOCA environment. The purge valve configuration for unit 1 was verified (see my letter to you dated January 8, 1981). If our onsite review of unit 2 defines any significant differences, this matter would be promptly analyzed and appropriately reported.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

M. R. Wisenburg Nuclear Engineer

Sworn to and subscribed before me

W 1981

Brugat M.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires