35 Sixth Aven hitsburgh, Pa.

## (412) 456-6000

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY Beaver Valley Power Station Post Office Box 4 Shippingport, PA 15077

March 26, 1981 BVPS:JAW:1134

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 1 Docket No. 50-334, License No. DPR-66 LER 81-29/01P

Mr. B. H. Grier, Director of Regulation United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 1 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dear Mr. Grier:

In accordance with Appendix A, Beaver Valley Technical Specifications, Licensee Event Report LER 81-29/01P, Technical Specification 4.0.5, Inservice Inspection Program, is submitted. This occurrence was reported to the Beaver Valley Resident Inspector, Mr. D. A. Beckman, at 1100 hours on March 25, 1981.

On March 12, 1981, the Duquesne Light Company Nuclear Services Quality Control (NSQC), while performing a review of the Inservice Inspection (ISI) requirements for modifications recently completed on a 3/4" and 1" branch connection on the Reactor Coolant System and Safety Injection System, respectively, noted a discrepancy between the Plant Modification Manual (PMM) and the Technical Specifications. The hydrostatic test requirements of the PMM referenced the 1977 edition through the 1978 summer addenda of ASME XI, while the Technical Specifications require hydrostatic testing be performed to the 1974 edition through the 1975 summer addenda of ASME XI. NSQC requested that DLCo Engineering evaluate the noted discrepancy.

Duquesne Light Company Engineering informed the station that the application of the TMM resulted in the following two differences between the two editions of the Code.

 Branch connections 1" or less are exempted from hydrostatic testing after repair or modification. These lines are not exempted from hydrostatic testing under the edition of the code required by the Technical Specifications.

810.4060650

Mr. B. H. Grier March 26, 1981 BVPS:JAW:1134 Page 2

> 2) A hydrostatic test time of ten (10) minutes for exposed areas was permissible under the Code subsection 1WA-5210 referenced in the PMM. A test time of four (4) hours for all areas exposed or unexposed, is required under the subsection of the Code endorsed by the Technical Specifications.

Item (1) was applied to the hydrostatic testing requirements of the two branch connection modifications performed during the current plant shutdown. Item (2) was applied to the modifications completed during the refueling and modification outage from December 1, 1979 through November 22, 1980.

The discrepancy resulted when the FMM was prepared and the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(4)(i) were misinterpreted. The use of the two applications is technically justified in that: Item (1) was presented as Code Case N-210 and was approved by the ASME Council on March 20, 1978 and was subsequently incorporated in the 1978 summer addenda of the 1977 edition of ASME XI. Code Case N-210 was also endorsed in Regulatory Guide 1.147 issued by the NRC in February, 1981. Item (2) was incorporated in the 1975 winter addenda of the 1974 edition of ASME XI and subsequently in the 1977 edition of ASME XI. The 1977 edition through the 1978 summer addenda of ASME XI has been endorsed by 10 CFR 50.55a(b). There are no potential safety effects resulting from the use of the two applications.

Originally, relief was granted from Subsection IWA-5210 paragraph (a) via the Reastor Coolant System Technical Specification bases, which stated: "Repairs on components 2 inches in diameter or smaller receive a surface examination which assures a similar standard of integrity" and "...a leak test will ensure leak tightness during normal operation".

The failure to obtain an exemption from Subsection IWA-5210 paragraph (a) and permission to invoke Code Case N-210 prior to applying their specific use in the Beaver Valley Power Station ISI program is a violation of Technical Specification 4.05, Limiting Condition for Operation related to Inservice Inspection.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Werling Superintendent

Mr. B. H. Grier March 26, 1981 BVPS: JAW: 1134 Page 3

cc: Director Of Management & Program Analysis United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

> Director, Office of Inspection and Enforcement Hendquarters United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

D. A. Chaney, BVPS Licensing Project Manager United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

D. A. Beckman, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, BVPS Site Inspector

Nuclear Safety Analysis Center, Palo Alto, California

G. E. Muckle, Factory Mutual Engineering, Pittsburgh

Mr. John Alford, PA Public Utilities Commission, Harrisburg, PA

(2.77) -	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
	CONTROL BLOCK
	9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE J0 57 CAT 58 5
CON'T	REPORT SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
	EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	
0 3	
0 4	
0 5	t
06	L
07	
08 7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
09 78	SYSTEM CODE 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13
	17 REPORT SIL DIZ OL LOC TYPE NO.
	NUMBER 21 2. 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 ACTION FUTURE EFFE.T SHUTDOWN TAKEN ACTION ON PLA IT METHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT TAKEN ACTION ON PLA IT METHOD HOURS 22 SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER
	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27
10	
12	
13	
14 7 8	9 ACILITY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
15	STATUS & POWER OTHER STATUS (30) DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
	CTIVITY CONTENT
1 6	9 (33) (34) (44 45 80 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES (1) 80
1 7	NUMBER 37 BESCRIPTION 39
7 8	9 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION (41)
1 8	
19	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION (43)
7 8	PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY
20	

NAME OF PREPARER

NRC FORM 366

PHONE:

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION