

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | 0 | H | D | B | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5

7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58

LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER LICENSE TYPE

CON'T

0 1 | R | P | O | R | T | S | O | U | R | C | E | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 9

7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

REPORT SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 (NP-32-80-09) While evaluating the inaccessible piping systems as required by IE Bulletin

0 3 79-14, pipe support HCB-40-B1 was found not installed and CCA-8-H15 was found mis-

0 4 located. Also stress calculation 10A found that loads for supports SR-11, EBB-1-H3, and

0 5 EBB-1-H4 exceeded their design load. It was determined that the found condition was less

0 6 conservative than assumed in the accident analysis of the safety analysis report and is

0 7 being reported under Technical Specification 6.9.1.8.i. There was no danger to the health

0 8 and safety of the public or station personnel. 80

0 9

SYSTEM CODE: X X (11) CAUSE CODE: B (12) CAUSE SUBCODE: C (13) COMPONENT CODE: S U P P O R T (14) COMP. SUBCODE: B (15) VALVE SUBCODE: Z (16)

17 LER/RO REPORT NUMBER: 8 0 (21) EVENT YEAR: 8 0 (22) SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.: 0 4 8 (24) OCCURRENCE CODE: 0 1 (27) REPORT TYPE: X (30) REVISION NO.: 2 (32)

ACTION TAKEN: F (18) FUTURE ACTION: Z (19) EFFECT ON PLANT: Z (20) SHUTDOWN METHOD: Z (21) HOURS: 0 0 0 0 (22) ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED: Y (23) NPD-4 FORM SUB.: N (24) PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER: Z (25) COMPONENT MANUFACTURER: Z 9 9 9 (26)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 1 The cause of the 'not installed' and the 'mislocated' pipe supports was an initial

1 2 construction/installation error. Under FCR 80-091 Supplement 6, HCB-40-31 will be

1 3 added and CCA-8-H15 will be designed and relocated to its original position under

1 4 FCR 80-131, Supplement 3. The problem with the remaining supports was corrected by the

1 5 addition of support SR-47 under FCR 80-079 Supplement 10.

1 5 FACILITY STATUS: H (28) % POWER: 0 0 0 (29) OTHER STATUS: NA (30) DISCOVERY: D (31) VERY DESCRIPTION: IE Bulletin 79-14 (32)

1 6 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE: Z (33) Z (34) AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY: NA (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE: NA (36)

1 7 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER: 0 0 0 (37) TYPE: Z (38) DESCRIPTION: NA (39)

1 8 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER: 0 0 0 (40) DESCRIPTION: NA (41)

1 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE: Z (42) DESCRIPTION: NA (43)

2 0 PUBLICITY ISSUED: N (44) DESCRIPTION: NA (45)

8105220290

NAME OF PREPARER Charles Mekbel

PHONE: 419-259-5608

NRC USE ONLY

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT ONE
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR LER NP-32-80-09

DATE OF EVENT: June 27, 1980

FACILITY: Davis-Besse Unit 1

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE: Pipe supports HCB-40-B1 and CCA-8-H15 not installed as designed.

Condition Prior to Occurrence: The unit was in Mode 6, with Power (MWT) = 0 and Load (Gross MWE) = 0.

Description of Occurrence: While performing the walkdown and engineering evaluation for inaccessible piping systems required by IE Bulletin 79-14, the following was found:

Pipe support HCB-40-B1 on the component cooling water (CCW) supply to the control rod drive (CRD) mechanism coolers was not installed. This would have left approximately 21 feet of 3 inch pipe between the containment penetration and the isolation valve laterally unrestrained during an earthquake.

Lateral restraint CCA-8-H15 on the pressurizer relief inlet was located about 9 inches from its analyzed location. This discrepancy would have caused an overstressed condition in a welded attachment to this line during an earthquake.

1 | On August 1, 1980, while reviewing stress calculation IOA for the main steam line outside of containment, it was found that the support loads for supports SR-11, EBB-1-H3, and EBR-1-H4 exceeded their design load.

During the detailed engineering evaluation of these discrepancies, it was determined that the found condition was less conservative than assumed in the accident analysis of the safety analysis report and is being reported under Technical Specification 6.9.1.8.i.

Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence: The cause of the occurrence was an initial construction/installation error. HCB-40-B1 was never installed and CCA-8-H15 was mislocated.

1 | The cause of the August 1, 1980, finding was a design error by the architect engineer Bechtel Power Corporation.

Analysis of Occurrence: There was no danger to the health and safety of the public or to station personnel. These restraints do not affect normal unit operation. Pipe support HCB-40-B1 is only required to resist loads resulting from a design basis earthquake. Lateral restraint CCA-8-H15, in its as-found condition, would not have been overstressed except during a design basis earthquake, since 98% of the restraints design load is seismic.

LER #80-048

The August 1, 1980, finding was a problem that was a result of the support loads not being printed out when stress calculation 10A was run for the as-built conditions. This is not a generic problem but unique to stress calculation 10A. Pechtel has reviewed all other stress calculations performed for IE Bulletin 79-14 and found no other calculations where the as-built support loads were not calculated.

Corrective Action: Pipe support HCB-40-B1 will be added under FCR 80-091 Supplement 6. Lateral restraint CCA-8-H15 will be redesigned and relocated to its original position under FCR 80-131 Supplement 3. These discrepancies will be corrected prior to startup from the current refueling outage.

2 | A modification was designed and issued as Supplement 10 to FCR 80-079 that added support SR-47 to the main steam line outside of containment. This new support reduces the loads on supports SR-11, EBB-1-H3, and EBB-1-H4 to within acceptable limits.

Failure Data: There have been no previous reported findings where the installation was not per design.

Previous reported findings of pipe support design errors were reported in NP-32-79-08 (79-064), NP-32-79-13 (79-106), and NP-33-79-154 (79-133).

LER #80-048