UELITICE VELITICE
RELATED CORRESPONDENCE 5-11-81
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
DEPORT THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDO
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETT AND DICEMOTING DOTING
In the Matter of
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY) Docket No. 50-46
(Allens Creek Nuclear Generating) Station, Unit No. 1)
TESTIMONY OF MONTY A. ROSS ON BEHALF OF HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER CO. ON BOARD QUESTION 8 - SEISMIC CATEGORY 1 CONTROL RODS
Q. Please state your name and place of employment.
A. My name is Monty Ross and 1 to employed as Manager
of Data Acquisition and Operator Systems, the General
Electric Company. My business address is 175 Curtade
Avenue, San Jose, California.
Q. Would you describe your professional qualifications?
A. My professional qualifications are set forth in Exhibit
MAR-1 to this testimony.
Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
A. The purpose of my testimon" is to address board Question
8 which questions whether the control .ods, control rod
drives and the hydraulic control units should be designed as
Seismic Category I in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.29.
Q. What are the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.29 with
regard to the Control Rod Drive (CRD) System?
A. Regulatory Guide 1.29 requires that reactor vessel
internals and reactivity control systems, e.g. control rods
and control rod drives, be designated as Seismic Category 1
and be designed to withstand the effects of a safe shutdown

8105190 564

1	- 2 -
2	earthquake and retain functional.
3	Q Are the control rods, control rod drives, and the
4	hydraulic control units for n_{k} ens Creek designated as
5	Seismic Category I in accordance with Regulatory Guide
6	1.29 requirements?
7	A. Generally all systems, equipment, components, and
8	structures designated as Safety Class 1, 2, or 3 are
9	classified as Seismic Category I (see Section 3.2.1 of
10	ACNGS PSAR). This would include the following portions
11	of the Control Rod Drive System.
12	a. C.J housing supports
13	b. Control rods
14	c. CRD's
25	d. Valves on scram discharge volume insert, and
16	withdraw lines.
17	e. Piping for scram discharge volume, insert and
18	return lines.
19	f. Hydraulic Control Unit
20	Therefore, all portions of the CRD System necessary to
21	shutdown the reactor are classified as Seismic Category I.
22	Q. What, if any, is the significance of CRD components
23	being designated as Safety Class 2 in Table 3.9-4 of the
24	ACNGS PSAR?
25	A. Components are classified as Safety Class 1, 2, 3 or
26	as non-safety in accordance with the importance of the
27	function they are to perform. As previosly indicated,
28	generally all Safety Class 1, 2, and 3 (including the

1	- 3 -
2	previously listed CRD components) components are classified
3	as Seismic Category I.
4	Q. What are your conclusions?
5	A. Safety Class components of the CRD system, including
6	control rods, control rod drives, and hydraulic control
7	units are classified as Seisric Category I.
8	
9	
10	
1	
2	
3	
4	
.5	
6	
7	
8	
.9	
20	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

Exhibit MAR-1

1

2

3

EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Monty A. Ross

Mr. Ross is a manager in the Nuclear Steam Supply
Systems design organization of the General Electric Nuclear
Energy Business Group, in San Jose, California. His employnt with General Electric began in 1972, as an Engineer
the Design Engineering section, where he worked on the
design and analyses of pressure vessel components, nuclear
piping systems, refueling and servicing tools.

Starting in 1975, Mr. Poss participated in a career developing program of rotating assignments. Major activities while on this program included the experimental testing of primary containment designs in the evaluation of the thermodomamic transients which may (hypothetically) occur within the primary containment as a result of a LOCA and non-LOCA events.

In February 1979, he took the position of Lead System 18 Ingineer (LSE) for the Rod Control System. As the LSE, 19 he was responsible for the design definition of the Rod 20 Control System. Major tasks in this position included 21 gaining NRC acceptance of the Control Rod Drive System return 22 line removal and directing the evaluation and design changes 23 resulting from the Browns Ferry 3 partial scram insertion 24 of June 28, 1980. In October 1980, Mr. Ross assumed his 25 present position as a manager in the Nuclear Steam Supply 26 System design organization. The group that he manages is 27 responsible for the design definition of six (6) BWR Standard 28

1	- 2 -
2	Plant systems including the Rod Control System.
3	Mr. Ross is a 1972 graduate of the University of
4	California at Davis, with a BS Degree in Mechanical Engineering
5	(power generation option) and in Material Science. In 1977,
6	he received an MS Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the
7	University of Santa Clara. Mr. Ross is a registered pro-
8	fessional Engineer in the State of California.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	