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1. Q. Mr. Ault, what is the purpose of your testimony before the Commission in this proceeding?
A. I am sponsoring Chapter 1 of this Exhibit regarding balance sheet, comparative income, retained earnings, and clearing accounts for the Combined Departments pertaining to the recorded years 1978 and 1979.
2. Q. Please explain the significance of Tables $1-A$ through 1-D.
A. These tables report the financial position of the Company. The figures for 1978 and 1979 are on a recorded basis. Table $1-A$ is a comparative balance sheet of the Company at December 31, 1978, and 1979. Table $1-B$ is a schedule of investment in utility plant on December 31, 1978, and 1979. Table $1-C$ is a comparative statement of income and retained earnings for the years ended December 31 , 1978, and 1979. Table 1-D is a schedule of all Company clearing accounts and the uncleared balances as of December 31, 1978, and 1979.
3. Q. Company assets on sheet 1 of Table 1-A increased significantly from December 31,1978 , to December 31, 1979. Would you please explain this increase.
A. The major item increasing assets from December 31, 1978, to December 31,1979 , was the addition to utility plant on line 2 of $\$ 222$ million. Table 1-B shows a complete breakdown of the amount of Utility Plant, included on line 2 , sheet 1 of Table 1-A, by electric, gas and steam plant, and shows whether the plant is in service, construction work in progress, plant held for future use, plant completed-not classified, or plant acquisition adjustment. The figures in Table 1-B include the allocation of common plant to each department.

Utility Plant In Service, line 2 of Table $1-B$ for the Electric Department, increased $\$ 129$ million, primarily as the result of additional distribution and transmission facilities to serve new customers. The additional distribution and transmission facilities placed in Plant In Service in 1979 totaled $\$ 87$ million. In addition, $\$ 18$ million applicable to Encina Unit 5 and the Combined Chimney on Encina Unit 5 was placed in Plant In Service in 1979, as well as $\$ 12$ million in waste water treatment systems for four of the Company's plants. Certain of these dollars placed in Plant In Service in 1979 were transferred from Plant Completed-Not Classified at the end of 1978 ,
accounting for the reduction on line 5 of Table $1-B$.

The other major item increasing Electric Plant during the year 1979 was the addition of \$123 million in Construction Work In Progress. \$100 million of that increase was applicable to San Onofre Units 2 and 3 . Of the $\$ 447$ million of Construction Work In Progress at December 31, 1979, $\$ 379$ million was applicable to San Onofre Units 2 and 3.
4. Q. What caused Fuel Oil, line 16 on Sheet 1 of Table $1-\mathrm{A}$, to increase $\$ 28$ million between December 31 , 1978, and December 31, 1979?
A. This increase in fuel oil inventory was the result of two primary factors. First, the moving average cost of fuel oil in inventory increased from $\$ 17.08$ per barrel at December 31,1978 , to $\$ 24.35$ per barrel at December 31, 1979. At the same time, the number of barrels in inventory increased by 452,000 barrels in 1979.
5. Q. Regulatory Balancing Accounts-Undercollected, line 18 on sheet 1 of Table $1-A$, increased $\$ 42$ million during 1979. Would you please explain this increase?
A. Yes. $\$ 30$ million of the 1979 undercollection was applicable to the electric ECAC mechanism which had an undercollected balance of $\$ 46$ million as
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of December 31, 1979. In addition, the PGA balancing account increased $\$ 12$ million during 1979, to a total undercollection of $\$ 22$ million as of December 31, 1979.
6. Q. Is there any relationship between the increase in Extraordinary Property Losses and the decrease in Other Deferred Debits, lines 23 and 24 on sheet 1 of Table 1-A?
A. Extraordinary Property Losses, shown on line 23, increased approximately $\$ 31$ million during 1979, to a total of $\$ 38$ million on December $31,1979$. This increase was caused by the tr. sfer of approximately $\$ 38$ million of costs applicable to the abandoned Sundesert Project from Other Deferred Debits on line 24 during the year 1979, resulting from the Company's General Rate Decision 90405, of June 5, 1979.
7. Q. What caused the increase in total Proprietary Capital as shown on line 9 on sheet 2 of Table 1-A beth December 31, 1978, and December 31 , 1979?
A. Common Stoc. Issued, line 3, increased $\$ 18$ million during 1979, and the Premium on Capital Stock, line 6, increased approximately $\$ 36$ million. The increase in these two items was the result of the Company's issuance of $3,595,000$ shares of Common

Stock during 1979. 3,000,000 of these shares were issued in a general sale to the public in July, 1979, while the remaining 595,000 shares were issued throughout the year to the Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan and to the Company's Employee Savings Plan.
8. Q. What Long-Term Debt did the Company issue in 1979?
A. Other Long-Term Debt, line 13 on sheet 2 of Table $1-A$, increased approximately $\$ 68$ million during 1979, primarily as the result of the Company's issuance of $\$ 65$ million in term loans to three foreign banks. These term loans are due during the time period of 1983 through 1986. The addition of this $\$ 65$ million in foreign term loans increased total Other Long-Term Debt to over \$125 million. The Company also issued \$5.7 million of pollution control bonds with the proceeds to be received as expenditures are made on certain pollution control facilities. \$4.7 million was received in 1979 via this financing mechanism.
9. Q. Short-term borrowings in the form of Commercial Paper and Bankers' Acceptances increased significantly in 1979. What caused these increases?
A. The Company's Commercial Paper outstanding at December 31, 1979, line 17 on sheet 2 of Table

1-A, totaled over $\$ 95$ million, compared to approximately $\$ 21$ million at December 31, 1978. This $\$ 74$ million increase was used primarily to finance the Company's construction program and ECAC undercollections. Bankers' Acceptances, shown on line 18, are used to finance the Company's fuel oil inventory. Total Bankers' Acceptances outstanding at December 31, 1979, were $\$ 60$ million, an increase of $\$ 36$ million over the $\$ 24$ million outstanding at December 31,1978 . This increase parallels the increase in fuel oil inventory discussed in Answer 4.
10. Q. Regulatory Balancing Accounts-Overcollected, line 24 on sheet 2 of Table l-A, increased $\$ 26$ million during 1979. What caused this increase?
A. The Company's Regulatory Balancing Accounts, which were in an overcollected state as of December 31, 1979, totaled approximately $\$ 27$ million, an increase of $\$ 26$ million over the balance at December 31, 1978. This $\$ 26$ million increase in 1979 was all applicable to the gas Supply Adjustment Mechanism (SAM) and partially offsets the $\$ 42$ million net undercollections experienced in 1979 in the Company's ECAC and PGA Balancing Accounts as shown on line 18 of sheet 1 of Table 1-A.
11. Q. What caused the $\$ 50$ million decrease in the current Portion of Long-Term Debt on line 25 on sheet 2 of Table 1-A in 1979?
A. The Current Portion of Long-Term Debt decreased $\$ 50$ million during 1979 as the result of the Company's retirement, on December 15, 1979, of of its $\$ 50$ million Series $N$ First Mortgage Bonds which became due on that date.
12. Q. Table l-C shows the comparative statements of income and retained earnings of the Company for the years ended December 31, 1978, and 1979. Please explain what caused the $\$ 132$ million increase in Operating Revenues between 1978 and 1979.
A. Total Operating Revenues increased $\$ 132$ million between the two years, primarily as the result of increases in the Company's ECAC and PGA rates to offset increased costs of fuel oil and natural gas, and the $\$ 70.9$ million of general rate relief granted in the Company's Interim Rate Decision 89857 of January, 1979, and the General Rate Decision 90405 of June, 1979.
13. Q. Was the $\$ 113$ million increase in Operating Expenses on line 7 of Table l-C the result of increased cost of fuel oil and natural gas, along with the effects of inflation on other operating expenses?
A. Yes.
14. Q. What caused the $\$ 10$ million increase in depreciation and amortization expense in 1979 compared to 1978?
A. Depreciation and amortization of the Company's Plant on line 9 of Table $1-C$, increased approximately $\$ 10$ million. This increase included approximately $\$ 5$ million of additional amortization attrıbutable to abandoned plant, primarily the Sundesert Plant, which is to be written off over a five-year period in accordance with the Company's General Rate Decision 90405 of June, 1979. The remaining increase in depreciation and amortization is the result of the depreciation on the Company's increased Plant In Service.
15. Q. Did the write-off of the Sundesert Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC), as ordered in Decision 90405, impact the amount shown in Table 1-C for 1979?
A. Yes. The total AFUDC, line 18 for Other Funds and line 29 for Borrowed Funds, increased in 1979, as compared to 1978 by approximately $\$ 3.5$ million. The increase was only $\$ 3.5$ million as a result of the Company writing off in 1979 approximately $\$ 3.1$ million of AFUDC applicable to the Sundesert Plant in accordance with the Company's June, 1979, General Rate Decision 90405.

Excluding the $\$ 3.1$ million that was written off, AFUDC actually increased approximately $\$ 6.6$ million. This increase was primarily due to the expanded amount of Construction Work in Progress represented by San Onofre Units 2 and 3 .
16. Q. Please explain the increase in the amount of LongTerm Debt interest in 1979 compared to 1978 as shown on line 25 of Table l-C.
A. Interest on Long-Term Debt increased $\$ 7.3$ million in 1979 over 1978 as the result of $\$ 5.4$ million applicable to the $\$ 65$ million term loan issued in April, 1979, and $\$ 1.9$ million of a full year's interest on the Series R First Mortgage Bonds issued in May, 1978.
17. Q. Referring to Table 1-D, please explain the basic purpose of clearing accounts as cised by the Company.
A. The Company maintains clearing accounts to distribute charges of such a general nature that they are not readily chargeable to a specific construction or expense account.
18. Q. What do the debit or credit balances in these accounts represent?
A. The balances represent the difference between the expenses charged to these clearing accounts and amounts credited. For example, all expenses associated with transportation, tool and work
equipment are charged to that clearing account. Credits are generated through the application of hourly rates for the use of each type of vehicle or piece of equipment.
19. Q. Have any of the figures in Chapter 1 been adjusted?
A. All figures shown in Chapter 1 are identical with those on the Company's published financial statement for 1979.
20. Q. Does that conclude your Prepared Direct Testimony on this Chapter?
A. Yes.

## CHAPTER 1 <br> BALANCE SHEET, COMPARATIVE INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS, CLEARING ACCOUNTS

## LISTING OF TABLES

TABLE TITLE ..... PAGE
Table 1-A COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET ..... 2
Table 1-B UTILITY PLANT INVESTMENT ..... 4
Table 1-C COMPARATIVE INCOME AND ..... 5 RETAINED EARNINGS
Table 1-D CLEARING ACCOUNTS ..... 6

Line
$\frac{\mathrm{No} \text {. }}{\text { (A) }}$

1. Assets and Other Debits
2. Utility Plant
3. Nuclear Fuel
4. Less Provision for Depreciation \& Amortization Net Utility Plant
5. Nonutility Property (Net)
6. Investments in Associated Companies

Item
(B)

Other Investments
796
860
1397
15682

4268
15819
12. Cash and Temporary Investments
13. Notes and Accounts Receivable (Less Provision for Uncollectible Accounts: 1978, \$370,000; 1979, $\$ 486,000$ )
Nctes and Accounts Receivable from Assoc. Companies
Plant Materials and Operating Supplies
16. Fuel Oil
17. Prepayments
18. Regulatory Balancing AccountsUnderccllected
19. Other Current \& Accrued Assets
20. Total Current \& Accrued Assets
21. Deferred Debits
22. Unamortized Debt Expense
23. Extraordinary Property Losses
24. Other Deferred Debits
25. Total Deferred Debits
26. Total Assets

| December 31, |
| :---: |
| $\frac{1978}{}$ |
| (C) |$\frac{1979}{\text { December }} \overline{31,}$

$(D)$
$\$ 1568472$
11185
$\$ 1790 \quad 040$
11185
$\begin{array}{r}344945 \\ \hline 1234712\end{array} \begin{array}{r}381437 \\ \end{array}$
5529
5196
9150 769
1000
16115 Investments
and Assets
Ivestment
ceivable
Uncol-

##  <br> $\qquad$

82411
$18080 \quad 16685$
$22555 \quad 22222$
44638
72577
924
1104
67909


| 1410 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 431 |
| 82 | 423 |
| 91 | 264 |
| $\$ 540513$ |  |

Thousands of Dollars $\qquad$
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$$

TABLE 1-A

## COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET

| Line <br> No. <br> (A) |  |  | Thou ember 197 (C) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { usand } \\ & \text { r } 31, \\ & 8 \quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { llar } \\ & \text { embe } \\ & 197 \\ & \hline(D) \end{aligned}$ | $31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Liabilities and Other Credits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Proprietary Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Common Stock Issued | \$ | 137 | 964 | \$ | 155 | 941 |
| 4. | Non-Redeemable Preferred Stock |  |  |  |  | 128 |  |
| 5. | Redeemable Preferred Stock |  |  | 000 |  | 85 | 000 |
| 6. | Premium on Capital Stock |  |  | 014 |  | 235 | 765 |
| 7. | Less Capital Stock Expense |  |  | 452 |  | 17 | 289 |
| 8. | Retained Earnings |  | 151 | 928 |  | 166 | 808 |
| 9. | Total Proprietary Capital |  | 693 | 954 |  | 754 | 725 |
| 10. | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Bonds |  | 494 |  |  | 494 | 000 |
| 12. | Sinking Fund Debentures |  |  | 600 |  | 23 | 825 |
| 13. | Other Long-Term Debt |  | 57 | 873 |  | 125 | 539 |
| 14. | Discount Less Premium on Issues |  |  | 408) |  |  | 286) |
| 15. | Total Long-Term Debt |  | 573 | 065 |  | 640 | 078 |
| 16. | Current \& Accrued Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. | Commerc al Paper |  |  | 295 |  | 95 | 420 |
| 18. | Banker Acceptances |  |  | 600 |  | 60 | 000 |
| 19. | Accoun \ayable |  |  |  |  | 73 | 817 |
| 20. | Custoner Deposits |  |  | 561 |  |  | 287 |
| 21. | Taxes Accrued |  |  | 311 |  | 19 | 472 |
| 22. | Interest Accrued |  |  | 808 |  | 14 | 510 |
| 23. | Dividends Payable |  |  | 344 |  | 16 | 261 |
| 24. | Regulatory Balancing Accountsovercollected |  |  | 225 |  | 26 |  |
| 25. | Current Portion of Long-Term Deht |  | . 53 | 037 |  | , | 058 |
| 26. | Other Current \& Accrued Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27. | Total Current \& Accrued Liabilities |  | 216 |  |  | 331 | 432 |
| 28. | Deferred Credits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29. | Customer Advances for Construction |  |  |  |  | 24 | 577 |
| 30. | Other Deferred Credits |  |  | 468 |  |  | 202 |
| 31. | Total Leferred Credits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32. | Injuries \& Damages Reserve |  |  | 553 |  |  | 332 |
| 33. | Deferred Income Taxes |  |  | 211 |  |  | 219 |
| 34. | Total Liabilities | \$1 | 540 | 513 | \$1 | 782 | 565 |

TABLE 1-B

## UTILITY PLANT INVESTMENT

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \frac{\text { No. }}{(\mathrm{A})} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Item }}{\text { (B) }}$ | $\qquad$ Thousand $\qquad$ $\frac{1978}{(\mathrm{C})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollars } \\ & \text { December } 31, \\ & \frac{1979}{(1)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Electric Plant |  |  |
| 2. | Plant in Service | 882636 | \$1 011625 |
| 3. | Construction Work in Progress | 324077 | \$1 446759 |
| 4. | Plant Held for Future Use | 63528 | 65556 |
| 5. | Plant Completed - Construction not Classified | $77 \quad 125$ | 29212 |
| 6. | Plant Acquisition Adjustment | 816 | 816 |
| 7. | Total Electric Planc | 1348182 | 1553968 |
| 8. | Gas Plant |  |  |
| 9. | Plant in Service | 212827 | 228506 |
| 10. | Construction Work in Progress | 1145 | 1120 |
| 11. | ```Plant Completed - Construction not Classified``` | 4947 | 5069 |
| 12. | Plant Held for Future Use | 45 | 545 |
| 13. | Total Gas Plant | 218964 | 234740 |
| 14. | Steam Plant |  |  |
| 15. | Plant in Service | 1300 | 1326 |
| 16. | Construction Work in Progress |  | 2 |
| 17. | Plant Completed - Construction not Classified | 18 | 4 |
| 18. | Total Steam Plant | 1326 | 1332 |
| 19. | Total Utility Plant | \$1 568472 | \$1 790040 |

TABLE 1-C
COMPARATIVE INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS
(Thousands of Dollars)

Line
$\frac{\text { No. }}{\text { (A) }} \frac{\text { Item }}{\text { (B) }}$

1. Operating Revenues
2. Electric
3. Gas
4. Steam
5. Total Operating Revenues
6. Operating Expenses
7. Operating
8. Maintenance
9. Depreciation \& Amcrtization
10. Taxes
11. Total Operating Expenses
12. Net Operating Income
13. Electric 68026
14. Gas
15. Steam
16. Total Net Operating Income
17. Other Income \& Deductions
18. Allowance for Other Funds Used During Construction
19. Other Income
20. Other Income Deductions
21. Taxes on Other Income \& Deductions
22. Total Other Income \& Deductions
23. Income Before Interest Charges
24. Interest Charges
25. Long-Term Debt

47390
8956
27. Amortization of Debt Discount \& Ixpense, Less Premium

382
28. Other
29. Allowance for Borrowed Funds Used During Construction
30. Total Interest Charges
31. Net Income
32. Retained Earnings
33. Retained Earnings at Beginning of Period
34. Total
35. Dividends
36. Preferred
37. Common
38. Total Dividends
39. Retained Earnings at end of Period

13900
3024
982

| 143813 |
| :--- |
| 210615 |

$\$ 157928$

For the 12 Months Ended


| 468400 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 144210 | $\$ 592549$ |
| 1013 |  |
| 613623 | 151700 |
| - | 983 |


| 428 | 186 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 23 | 839 |
| 37 | 980 |
| 32 | 209 |
| 522 | 214 |

89305
$23412 \quad 7912$

| $(29)$ |
| ---: |
| 91409 |$\quad$| 16 |
| ---: |
| 97233 |


| $\frac{(8602)}{24544}$ |
| ---: |
| 115953 |$\quad \frac{(7397)}{29158}$

54657
7083
380
1307

| $(7863)$ | $(7 \quad 202)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $49 \quad 151$ | $56 \quad 225$ |
| 66802 | 7016 |

157928
228094

| 17230 | 17643 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 35457 |  |
| 52687 | -43643 |
| 61286 |  |

18033
5278
1550

126391

166
\$ 166808

# TABLE 1-D <br> CLEARING ACCOUNTS 

(Thousands of Dollars)


ALLOCATION OF CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION EXPENSES PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ERNEST G. ANDRADE

1. Q. Mr. Andrade, what is the purpose of your testimony before the Commission in this proceeding?
A. I am sponsoring Chapter 2 of this Exhibit regarding allocation of customer accounting and collection expenses.
2. Q. Would you briefly explain the types of items which are included in customer accounting and collection expenses and to which accounts these expenses are charged?
A. The Company's customer accounting and collection expenses include such items as employee salaries and expenses for billing, collecting, meter reading, processing customer orders, charges for data systems, postage and uncollectible accounts. These expenses are recorded in Accounts 901 through 905.
3. Q. Are these expenses allocated to the various departments?
A. Yes, with the exception of uncollectible accounts, Account 904. These expenses are allocated based on the number of customers in each department, with extra weight being given to customers requiring special handling. A customer account requiring special handling is one which is not a joint gas and electric account or one requiring a manual bill.
4. Q. Is the derivation of the allocation percentages for customer accounting and collection expenses shown in this Chapter?
A. Yes, the derivation of the actual allocation percentages for the years 1979 and 1980 are shown in Table 2-A. As shown on this table, 62.72 percent of customer accounting and collection expenses were allocated to the Electric Department in 1979. This percentage increased to 62.94 percent in 1980. The Gas Department allocation for 1979 was 37.27 percent, which decreased to 37.05 percent in 1980. The Steam Department allocation was 0.01 percent in 1979 and 1980.

The allocation percentages for 1981 through 1983 were estimated by taking estimated customers at December 31, 1980, 1981 and 1982, respectively, and calculating the percentage by department in the same manner as 1979 and 1980 actual. As shown in Table 2-A, the Electric Department allocation percent for 1981 is estimated at 63.23 percent, 1982 at 63.48 percent and 1983 at 63.76 percent. The allocation percentages for the Gas and Steam Departments for 1981 through 1983 were derived in the same manner as for the Electric Department. As shown in Table 2-A, the allocation percentages for 1981, 1982 and 1983 for the Gas Department were 36.76 percent, 36.51 percent and 36.23 percent,
respectively. The allocation percent to the Steam Department was 0.01 percent each year.
5. Q. Is the method of deriving customer accounting and collection expense allocation factors shown in Table $2-A$ the same method used by the Commission and Company in previous rate proceedings?
A. Yes, this method was first adopted by the commission in Decision 62446 on Application 42887 of August 22,1961 , the Company's 1961 gas rate case and it has been used ever since.
6. Q. Were the allocation factors derived in Table $2-A$ used to allocate customer accounting and collection expenses for $1 \geqslant 80$ through 1983 to the Electric, Gas and Steam Departments in the various Results of Operations Exhibits?
A. Yes.
7. Q. You indicated in Answer 3 that Account 904 was not allocated: please explain how Account 904 was estimaced.
A. The estimates of Account 904, Uncollectible Accounts, were derived individually by department based on the expected revenue to be derived from the sale of electricity and natural gas.
8. Q. Does that conclude your Prepared Direct Testimony on this Chapter?
A. Yes.

## CHAPTER : <br> ALLOCATION OF CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION EXPENSES

## LISTING OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table 2-A | CALCULATION OF PERCENTAGES USED |  |
|  | OR TO BE USED TO ALLOCATE CUSTOMER |  |
|  | ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION EXPENSES |  |
|  | FOR YEARS 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, | 2 |

## TABLE 2-A

CALCULATION OF PERCENTAGES USED OR TO BE USED TO ALLOCATE CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION EXPENSES FOR YEARS $1979,1980,1981,1982$ AND 1983

Line
No.

1. Total Customers (December 31, 1978)
2. 
3. 
4. 

## Add for special handling

Total
Percentage

Year 1980
Total Customers (December 31, 1979)
Add for special handling
Total
Percentage

Year 1981
9.

Percentage - Estimated

Year 1982
10.

Percentage - Estimated

Year 2983
11.

Estimated
$\frac{\text { Electric }}{(\mathrm{C})}$

| 716927 | 477383 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 86796 |  |  |
| 803723 | 220 |  |
| 62.72 | 477603 |  |
|  |  | 37.27 |



| $477 \quad 383$ |
| ---: |
| 220 |
| 477603 |
| 37.27 |

$\frac{\text { Steam }}{(E)}$
64
$\frac{64}{128}$
0.01
0.01

| 750902 |
| ---: |
| 85991 |
| $836 \quad 893$ |
| 52.94 |


| 492584 |
| ---: |
| 98 |
| 492682 |
| 37.05 |


| 64 |
| ---: |
| 64 |
| 128 |
| 0.01 |


| 1243550 |
| ---: |
| $88 \quad 153$ |
| 1329703 |
| 100.00 |

63.23
36.76
0.01
100.00
63.48
36.51
0.01
100.00
100.00

ALLOCATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF FRANK H. AULT

1. Q. Mr. Ault, what is the purpose of your testimony before the Commission in this proceeding?
A. I am spotisoring Chapter 3 of this Exhibit regarding the allocation of administrative and general expenses.
2. Q. Would you briefly explain the type of expenses included in administrative and general expenses and to which accounts these expenses are charged?
A. The Company's administrative and general expensès include such items as salaries and expenses of general officers and general office employees, directors' fees, regulatory cummission expenses, printing and stationery, other office supplies, legal and audit expenses, pension, life and health insurance and other employee benefits. It also includes franchise fees and the cost of insurance, injuries and damages. These expenses are recorded in Accounts 920 through 932.
3. Q. Are some of the administrative and general expenses allocated to specific departments?
A. Yes. Portions of the administrative and general expenses are related to specific operations. Accordingly, such expenses are charged directly to one or more of the Company's departments, as appropriate. Many of the expenses, however, are
so general that they must he prorated to all operating departments. Those which are allocated are based on the average of the four factors shown in Tables $3-A$ and $3-B$ for the recorded years 1979 and 1980.
4. Q. Is this four factor method the same method used by the Commission and the Company for allocating administrative and general expenses in previous rate proceedings?
A. Yes. It is widely accepted.
5. Q. How were the administrative and general expense allocation percentages for 1981 through 1983 derived?
A. Table 3-C shows the recorded allocation percentages by department for the years 1976 through 1980. The estimated percentages for 1981 through 1983 were derived by a least square trend of the recorded figures for 1976 through 1980.
6. Q. Were the allocation factors derived in Table 3-C used to allocate administrative and general expenses for 1980 through 1983 to the various departments in the Results of Operations Exhibits _(SDG\&E-103), (SDG\&E-104), and _(SDG\&E-105)?
A. Yes.
7. Q. How were Franchise Fees in Account 927 allocated?
A. The estimates were derived individually by department based on the expected revenue to be derived from the sale of electricity and natural gas.

## CHAPTER 3

## ALLOCATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES

## LISTING OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 3-A | DERIVATION OF PRORATION <br> PERCENTAGES FOR APPORTIONMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES FOR YEAR 1979 | 2 |
| Table 3-B | DERIVATION OF PRORATION <br> PERCENTAGES FOR APPORTIONMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES FOR YEAR 1980 | 3 |
| Table 3-C | PERCENTAGES FOR APPORTIONMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES FOR YEARS 1975, 1976 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982 AND 1983 | 4 |

TABLE 3-A
DERIVATION OF PROKATION PERCENTAGES FOR APPORTIONMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES

FOR YEAR 1979
(Thousands of Dollars)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline(A) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Description }}{(B)}$ | Electric <br> Department <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Gas } \\ \text { Department } \end{array} \\ (D) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Steam } \\ \text { Department } \\ (E ; \end{gathered}$ |  | Total partments (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Operating and maintenance expenses less uncollectibles and administrative and general expenses | \$325 824 | \$ 59797 | 2094 | \$ | 386715 |
| 2. | Fer Cent | 84.26 | 15.46 | 0.28 |  | 100.00 |
| 3. | Net plant less intangitles plus complete CWIP | 943742 | 211716 | 1273 |  | 156731 |
| 4. | Per Cent | 81.59 | 18.30 | 0.11 |  | 100.00 |
| 5. | Operating payroll less administrative and general expenses and plant construction weekly | 30256 | 11705 | 65 |  | 42026 |
| 6. | Per Cent | 71.99 | 27.85 | 0.16 |  | 100.00 |
| 7. | Number of customers as of December 31, 1978 | 716927 | 477383 | 64 |  | 194374 |
| 8. | Per Cent | 60.03 | 39.97 | - |  | 100.00 |
| 9. | Sum of percentages | 297.87 | 101.58 | 0.55 |  | 400.00 m |
| 10. | Average of percentages | 74.47 | 25.40 | 0.13 |  |  |



TARLE 3-C
PERCENTAGES FOR APPORTIONMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES FOR YEARS $1976,1977,1978,1979,1980,1981,1982$ and 1983
 before the Commission in this proceeding?
A. I ain sponsoring Chapter 4 of this Exhibit regarding the allocation of depreciation reserves and expenses for Common Utility Plant.
2. Q. What is Common Plant?
A. Common Plant consists of land, structures and equipment used jointly by several departments of the Company. Costs associated with this plant are then allocated to these departments. The allocation is done by factors based on a detailed analysis of usage by each operating department of the facilities in each Common Plant Account.
3. Q. What are the allocation factors?
A. The Electric Department is assigned $72.47 \%$, the Gas Department $27.34 \%$ and the Steam Department $0.19 \%$.
4. Q. How are the depreciation calculations made for Common Plant?
A. They are made on a straight-line remaining life basis.
5. Q. What do Tables $4-A$ and $4-B$ show?
A. Table $4-A$ shows the estimated allocation for 1980 , 1981, 1982 and 1983. Table $4-\mathrm{B}$ shows the year-end depreciation reserve accrued on Common Plant.

These tables include the accrued reserve for Transportation and Power Operated Equipment. The associated depreciation expense on this equipment does not appear in this chapter. It is calculated on each piece of equipment using the straight-line method. This depreciation expense is then charged through clearing accounts, by hourly rates, for use of the equipment.
6. Q. Will you explain how the depreciation accruals were calculated?
A. The recorded 1975 through 1979 accruals were calculated on an individual account basis by applying an approved depreciation rate to each depreciable account balance. The 1980 and 1981 as expected depreciation accruals were developed based on the depreciation rate approved in Decision 90405 issued in June, 1979. The Test Year 1982 and 1983 accruals reflect new mortality studies, new salvage studies and a new concept in estimating composite remaining life.
7. Q. Please describe the new mortality and salvage studies which were undertaken.
A. Our mortality studies were updated to include more current retirement data. Similarly, our salvage studies were revised in order to account for projected changes in all the variables affecting salvage values.
8. Q. Please describe the new concept used to estimate
composite remaining life.
A. In order to determine depreciation expense, the composite remaining life of each of our plant accounts must be estimated. For any given account, this is accomplished by first estimating the expected remaining life for each vintage group of plant. A weighted average of the individual estimated remaining lives is then calculated in order to arrive at an estimate of composite remaining life for the account as a whole.

Historical dollars are an approximate and convenient surrogate for units of physical plant when the number of physical units within any given account is difficult or impossible to obtain. In the above estimation process, if the chosen weight associated with any given vintage of plant is its share of total historical dollar plant, the resulting estimate of composite remaining life is not independent of the price level. However, the estimate should be independent as the price level is a variable unrelated to the actual remaining life of the account. The weight assigned to any given vintage of plant should reflect the associated share of total real plant. This may be accomplished by measuring plant in comparal, e dollars. In this instance, plant dollars have been brought to a common base year by means of the Handy Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs and the

Marshall and Swift Valuation Service Index. These indices provide a consistent means for measuring plant across vintages. The resulting estimates of composite remaining life are independent of the price level and accurately represent the composition of the account as a whole in terms of remaining life of real plant.
9. Q. Are dollars in the depreciable base altered in any way when this concept is applied?
A. No. They are left unaltered at their historical values. The concept should by no means be confused with Constant Dollar or Current Cost Depreciation. In fact, to emphasize this distinction, we have used, in most instances, 1916 or 1917 dollars.
10. Q. What does Table $4-\mathrm{C}$ show?
A. Table 4-C shows the derivation of the factors used for the years 1977 through 1983 to allocate common utility plant to the Electric, Gas and Steam Departments. In order to develop the allocation factors shown at the bottom of the page, a detailed analysis of the usage by each department of the facilities in each common plant account was made. The analysis shown in Table 4-C was made in 1976.
11. Q. Does that complete your Prepared Direct Testimony on this Chapter?
A. Yes.

```
CHAPTER 4
ALLOCATION OF DEPRECIATION RESERVE AND EXPENSE FOR COMMON UTILITY PLANT
```


## LISTING OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Table 4-A | ALLOCATION TO DEPARTMENTS OF <br> DEPRECIATION EXPENSE RELATING <br> TO COMMON UTILITY PLANT | 2 |
| Table 4-B | ALLOCATION TO DEPARTMENTS OF <br> DEPRECIATION RESERVE RELATING <br> TO COMMON UTILITY PLANT | 4 | | DERIVATION OF COMMON ALLOCATION |
| :--- |
| FACTORS |$\quad 6$

TABLE 4-A
ALLOCATION TO DEPARTMENTS OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE RELATING TU COMMON UTILITY PLANT
(Thousands of Dollars)


TABLE 4-A
ALLOCATION TO DEPARTMENTS OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE RELATING TO COMPON UTILITY PLANT
(Thousands of Dollars)

| LINE NO. | DESCRIPTION | 1982 TEST YEAR | 1982 TEST YEAR (PROPOSED RATES) | $\begin{gathered} 1983 \\ \text { (1982 TEST YEAR } \\ \text { PROPOSED RATES) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Electric |  |  |  |
|  | Proration Percentage | 72.47 | 72.47 | 72.47 |
|  | Depreciation Expense | 972 | 972 | 1,170 |
| 2. | Gas |  |  |  |
|  | Proration Percentage | 27.34 | 27.34 | 27.34 |
|  | Depreciat ion Expense | 367 | 367 | 441 |
| 3. | Steam |  |  |  |
|  | Proration Percentage | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
|  | Depreciat ion Expense | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4. | Total Common Utility Plant Expense | 1,342 | 1,342 | 1,614 |

## TABLE 4-B

allocation to departments of deprectation reserve

## RELAIING TO COPMON UTILITY PLANT

(Thousands of Dollars)

LINE NO.
1.

Gas

Proration Percentage
Depreciat ion Reserve

St eam
Proration Percentage
Depreciat ion Reserve
Total Common Utility Plant Reserve

1. Electric
2. 
3. 

Total Coman Utility Plant Reserve

1980
AS EXPECTED
72.47

5,906
6.526
27.34
27.34

2,228
2,462
0.19
0.19

17
9,005

1981 AS EXPECTED

| Proration Percentage | 72.47 | 72.47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depreciation Reserve | 5,906 | 6.526 |

8,150

TABLE 4-B
ALLOCATION TO DEPARTMENTS OF DEPRECIATION RESERVE
RELATING TO COMPON UTILITY PLANT
(Thousands of Dollars)

## DESCRIPTION

## 1982 TEST YEAR

1982 TEST YEAR (PROPOSED RATES)
1.

Electric

| Proration Percentage | 72.47 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Depreciation Reserve | 7,496 |

72.47
7,496
72.47

8,685

## (1982 TEST YEAR <br> PROPOSED RATES)

2. 
3. 

Steam
Proration Percentage
Depreciation Reserve
Total Common Utility Plant Reserve
2. 34

2,828
0.19

20
10,344
27.34

2,828
0.19
0.19

23
10,344
11,985
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { TABLE } & 4-B \\ \text { Sheet } 2 \text { of }\end{array}$

TABIE 4-C

DERIVATION OF COMMON ALLOCATION FACTORS
(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| Common Account |  | Electric | Derived Allocation |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 389.1 | Land | 1,270.7 | 649.7 | 3.8 | 1,924.2 |
| 389.2 | Land Rights | 1.1 | . 1 | - | 1.2 |
| 390 | Structures \& Improvements | 4,447.2 | 2,116.2 | 11.9 | 6,575.3 |
| 391 | Office Furniture \& Equip. | 1,815.9 | 714.9 | 4.7 | 2,535.5 |
| 392.1 | Transportation Eq.-Autos | 2,356.0 | 1.228 .7 | 15.0 | 3,599.7 |
| 392.2 | Transportation Eq.-Trailers | 413.0 | 131.8 | . 1 | 544.9 |
| 393 | Stores Equipment | 228.0 | 45.1 | . 3 | 273.4 |
| 394.1 | Portable Tools | 433.3 | 106.0 | . 7 | 540.0 |
| 394.2 | Shop Equipment | 181.5 | 71.6 | - | 253.1 |
| 394.3 | Garage Equipment | 248.4 | 84.5 | . 9 | 333.8 |
| 395 | Laboratozy Equipment | 42.4 | 7.8 | . 1 | 50.3 |
| 396 | Power-Operated Equipment | 2,719.7 | 473.4 | . 5 | 3,193.6 |
| 397 | Communication Equipent | 1,858.8 | 454.8 | 2.3 | 2,315.9 |
| 398 | Miscellaneous Equipment | 480.0 | 138.9 | 1.6 | 620.5 |
| Total | Allocated Common Accounts | 16,496.0 | 6,223.5 | 41.9 | 22,761.4 |
| Compos | site Allocat ion \% | 72.47 | 27.34 | . 19 |  |

The totals in the table above are as of $12-31-75$, adjusted to exclude facili:ies removed from utility use. Individual account totals were allocated to departments using various allocation methods.

1. Q. Mr. Hitt, what is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. I am sponsoring Chapter 5 of this lixhibit regardimi sumary of earnings. The components of the figures in this Chapter will $h$ discussed in greater detail by other witnesses in their respective areas of expertise. In addition, I intend to discuss the major economic assumptions underlying the estimates.
2. Q. Please describe the tables included in the Chapter.
A. Historical data for the years 1975, 1976, 1977, i978, and 1979, and projected data for 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983 Combined Departments is depicted in Table 5-A. "Combined Departments" for this proceeding consist of the Electric, Gas and Steam Departments. Table 5-B displays projected data for the Electric Department, while Table 5-C shows similar data for the Gas Department. Table 5-D displays recorded and projected data for the Steam Department.

The four sets of tables, $5-A, 5-B, 5-C$, and 5-D are constructed using the same format. Five columns of recorded data are provided, where appropriate. Referring to the projected data, colunins $C$ and 1), 1980 and 1981, respectively, are both shown on an as expected hasis and include fuel expenses. Column E represents 1982 Test Year at present rates.

Column $F$ also depicts 1982 Test Year at present rates, but it has been adjusted to a zero fuel basis. The Test Year at proposed rates, column G, is also depicted on a zero fuel basis, as are columns $H$ and I. 1 Column H represents 1983 at the 1982 Test Year proposed rates, while column I shows 1983 with a rate adjustment for attrition.
3. Q. Please discuss the adjustments made to exclude fuelrelated items.
A. Adjustments have been made to the Electric, Gas and Steam Departments to exclude all fuel-related revenues and expenses which are treated in separate filings before the Commission. These adjustments would, of course, carry over into Combined Department results. In the operating revenue section of Table $5-A$, sales to customers (line 1), interdepartmental (line 2), PGA and SAM adjustment (line 4), and ECAC adjustment (line 5) are all affected by the zero base fuel adjustment. Fuel expense, shown in line 7, has also been adjusted. Customer accounting and collection expenses (line 12), and administrative and general expenses (line 14), are adjusted commensurately, because uncollectibles and franchise fees are a function of gross revenues.
4. Q. Do any of these adjustments affect the overall rate of return shown on line 24 of Table 5-A?
A. No, they do not.
5. Q. What are the major economic assumptions underlying
the estimated data?
A. For 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983, the Consumer Price Index (CPI) is assumed to be 14.0 percent, 10.0 percent, $\quad 20.0$ percent, and 9.5 percent, respectively. The Producer Price Index (PPI) is estimated to be 15.0 percent in $1980,13.0$ percent in $1981,11.0$ percent in 1982, and 11.5 percent in 1983. The Company subscribes to a well-known econometric forecasting service provided by Data Resources, tnc. (DRI). DRI publishes a monthly review of the United States economy which contains, among other things, forecasts of basic economic parameters such as the CPI, PPI, etc. The DRI forecasts published in May, 1980, and November, 1980, were utilized for the Company's estimates.
6. Q. What are the wage increase assumptions in the Company estimates. and when are they assumed to become effective?
A. The internal labor increase for 1980 is 9.5 percent which has been ratified by the Union and implemented by the company. The labor increase for 1981 is assumed to be 13.5 percent, which repreaents the impact of an offer made in 1980 by the Company, but not yet accepted by the Unio : The 1982 and 1983 labor escalation rate is assumed to be 10.0 percent in each year.

The wage increase for 1980 is assumed to be effective on February 1, and for 1981 is assumed to be effective March 1. The 1982 and 1983 wage increases are effective March 1 also. These dates coincide with the inpiration date of our contract with the Union.

All of these escalation assumptions were used by all witnesses in estimating the various expenses.
7. Q. Please identify the rate increases requested by the various departments for the 1982 Test Year.
A. The total increase in base rates requested for 1982 in this proceeding is $\$ 227,482,000$. This assumes an increase in 1981, bised on the original application of 59788 , of $\$ 100,000,000$. This also equates to a total 1982 revenue requirement of $\$ 670,250,000$.
$\$ 200,870,000$ of the 1982 increase is attributable to the Electric Department, $\$ 26,460,000$ relates to the Gas Department and $\$ 152,000$ is attributab'e to the Steam Department.
8. Q. Please describe, in general, the methodology used to derive these rate increase requests.
A. Based upon the projected data for the Test Year at present rates, shown in Table 5-A, column $J$, revenues were increased by the Consolidated Finance Model to generate a 19 percent ratemaking return on equity for the 1982 Test Year as developed in the Cost of Capital testimony, Exhibit $\qquad$ (SDG\&E-101). The 19 percent return on common equity equates to a 13.9 percent return on rate base (column $K$, line 24), as discussed in the Cost of Capital Exhibit. The total
rate increase was allocated to the departments on the basis of a uniform rate of return. This process also reflects appropriate increases in expenses for uncollectibles, franchise fees and income taxes. Any decrease in these rate increase requests would cause the Company to fall short of the 13.9 percent rate of return, and the requested 19 percent return on common equity.
9. Q. Mr. Hitt, has methodology been requested in this proceeding to combat the effects of financial and operational attrition in 1983?
A. Yes. However, the attrition increase shown in the summary of earnings exhibitg is provided for informational purposes only. This information was derived by extending the same trends and methodologies used in calculating the 1982 results for an additional year. This procedure produces an attrition increase (f $\$ 60,844,000$ for the Cor'-ined Departments as shown in column I of Table 15-A. $\$ 50,420,000$ of the increase is attributed to the Electric Department, $\$ 10,416,000$ relates to the Gas Department, and $\$ 8,000$ is attributed to the Steam Department. The increase is, of course, over and above the amount requested in 1982. This would translate into a total revenue requirement in 1983 of $\$ 742,044,000$ for the Combined Departments. Again, I wish to stress that column I is provided for informational purposes only. In a separate
chapter in the Application, the Company is proposing an attrition allowance procedure which would derive different results if implemented.
10. Q. What methodology was utilized to derive the summary of earnings data provided in column I?
A. Based upon the projected data for 1983 (at 1982 rates) shown in Table 5-A, column $H$, revenues were increased so that the Company might maintain the 19 percent ratemaking return on equity requested in the 1982 Test Year. The total rate increase was allocated to the departments on the basis of a uniform rate of return. This process also reflects appropriate increases in expenses for uncollectibles, franchise fees and income taxes.
11. Q. What would be the effect on the Company's presentation if the Commission were to authorize implementation of the customer connection charge requested by SDG\&E in A. 60021, commencing in 1982?
A. Foremost is the fact that the overall revenue requirement could be reduced by approximately $\$ 32,000,000$ in the 1982 Test Year, as shown in Exhibit _(SDG\&E-101), and discussed by Mr. Korpan in his testimony. The various components of this reduction and the impact on the individual revenue and expense catagories are discussed by each respective witness in the Results of Operations Reports, as appropriate. A summary of earnings for the Combined Departments, with and
without the connection charge, is show in Table 7-A of Exhibit (SDG\&E-120).
11. Q. Does that conclude your Prepared Direct Testimony on this Chapter?
A. Yes.

## CHAPTER 5 <br> SUMMARY OF EARNINGS

## LISTING OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Table 5-A | SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - COMBINED <br> DEPARTMENTS | 3 |
| Table 5-B | SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - ELECTRIC <br> DEPARTMENT | 6 |
| Table 5-C | SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - GAS <br> DEPARTMENT | 6 |
| Table 5-D | SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - STEAM <br> DEPARTYENT | 8 |

TABLE S-A
SUMMARY OF EARNIMGS - LCNZINED DEPARTMENTS (1) (Thousands of Dollars)


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LINE } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TITLE | AS EXPECTED | AS EXPECTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (8) | (C) | (D) |
|  | OPERATING REVENUES |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Interdepartinental (1) | - 122,455 | $81,078,322$ 107.644 |
| 3. | Miscellaneous | 7,191 | 7.191 |
| 5. | PGA/SAM Adjustment | 13,585 | (18,851) |
| 5. | EChC Adjustinent | (24.989) | $(23,354)$ |
| 6. | TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES | 61.072.164 | \$1.145.952 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |
| 7. | Fuel (1) | 728,351 | 807,423 |
| 8. | Gas 5 torage | 1,826 | 807.423 2.333 |
|  | 0 ther Production | 47,114 | 59.239 |
| $F 10$. | Transnission | 8,531 | 10,351 |
| 11. | Distribution Customer Accounting and Collection | 29,222 | 36.573 |
| 12. | Customer Accounting and Collection | 15,993 9.537 | 17,880 13,596 |
| 14. | Administrative and General | 9,537 59.883 | 13,596 71,114 |
| 15. | SUBTOTAL | + 900.457 | \$1.018.509 |
| 16. | Depreciatioa and Amortization | 53.877 | 58,546 |
|  | taxes |  |  |
| 17. | Ad Valoren | 13,739 | 14.603 |
| 18. | Income | 21,807 | 17,514 |
| 19 | Payroll and Miscellaneous | 3.392 | 4.276 |
| 20. | TOTAL TAXES | \$ 38.938 | \$ 36.393 |
| 21. | TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 993.272 | 1,113,448 |
| 22. | Net Operating Income | 5. 78.892 | \% 32.504 |
| 23. | Weighted Average Rate Base | 1,132.441 | 1,269,885 |
| 24. | Rate of Return (x) | 6.97\% | 2.56\% |

(1) Includes Cost of GN-5 Gas

SUMMARY OF EAPNINGS - COMBINED DEPARTMENTS
(Thousands of Dollars)


TABLE 5-B
SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT (Thousands of Dollars)


TAB:E 5-B
SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT
OF EARNINGS - CLECTRIC DE
(Thousands of Dollars)


* Zero Fuel Basis
** Included ior the Purpose of Attrition Calculation

SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - GAS DEPARTMENT (Thousands of Dollars)

| IINE NO. | TITEE | AS EXPECTED | AS EXPECTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) |
|  | OPERATING REVENUES |  |  |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. | sales to Customers <br> Interdepartmental (1) <br> Miscellaneous <br> PGA : SAM ACJustment | - $\begin{array}{r}156,638 \\ 122,455 \\ 1,196 \\ 13,505\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190,083 \\ 107,644 \\ 1,199 \\ (18,851) \end{array}$ |
| 5. | TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES | \% 293.794 | 5286.075 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Gas Storage | 23,826 | 225,333 1,628 |
| 8. | Transmiesion | 1,711 9,540 | 1.628 11.232 |
| ${ }_{6010}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Distribution Customer Accounting ead Collection | 9,548 | 17.268 |
| pli. | Conservation | 2, 2197 2, | 4.014 |
| 12. | Administrative and General | 13.382 | 15.697 |
| 13. | SUBTOTAL | \$ 2688.839 | 5 266,533 |
| 14 | Depreciation and Amortization | 8,093 | 8.728 |
|  | taxes |  |  |
|  | ad valorem | 2,462 | $2.613$ |
|  |  | 5-6.695 | 5 6,288 |
| 18. | fotal taxes | 5 6,695 | -6.288 |
| 19. | TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 283.627 | 231.549 |
| 2 e . | Net Operating Income | 10,167 | 4,526 |
| 21. | Weightcd Average Rate Base | \$ 148,766 | S 163.427 |
| 22. | Rate of keturn (\%) | 6.83\% | $2.77 x$ |
|  |  |  |  |

(Thousands of Dollars)

| LINE TITLE |
| :--- |
| NO. TM TH |

OPERATING REVENUES
Sales to Custoners
Interdepartmental (1)
Miscellaneous
$\frac{1982}{\text { TESTYEAR }} \frac{\text { TESTY YEAR }}{1982}$

$\frac{1982 \text { TEST YEAR * }}{$| 192 |
| :--- |
|  (PROPOSED RATES)  |}



| 136,316 | $\$ 48,128$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 123,745 | 5,532 |
| 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 45,014 | $\ldots$ |


| $\$ 89,522$ |
| ---: |
| 5,532 |
| 1,266 |
| $\$ 96,320$ |


| 89,571 |
| ---: |
| 5,532 |
| 1,217 |


| 99.987 |
| ---: |
| 5.532 |
| 1.217 |
| $\$ 106.736$ |

OPERATING EXPENSES
Gas supply (I)
Gas storage
Transmission
Distribution
Custoner Accounting and Collection
Conservation
Administrative and General

| 243,250 | $(650)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2,401 | 2,401 |
| 1,794 | 1,794 |
| 13,630 | 13,680 |
| 6,998 | 6,847 |
| 7,118 | 7,118 |
| 15,875 | 13,511 |
| $\$ 296,116$ | $\$ 44,701$ |
| 12,354 | 12,354 |


| $(650)$ |
| ---: |
| 2,401 |
| 1,794 |
| 13,680 |
| 6,910 |
| 7,118 |
| 14,484 |
| 545,737 |
| 12,354 |


| $(868)$ |
| ---: |
| 2.661 |
| 2,045 |
| 15.105 |
| 7.566 |
| 7.689 |
| 15.168 |


| $(808)$ |
| :---: |
| 2,661 |
| 2,045 |
| 15,005 |
| 7,582 |
| 7,689 |
| 15,413 |
| $\xi 49,687$ |
| 13,412 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 3,028 |
| 12,642 |
| 1,319 |
| $\$ 16,989$ |



| 2,887 |
| ---: |
| 10,285 |
| 1,181 |
| $\$ 14,353$ |
| 72,444 |
| 23,876 |
| $\$ 171,765$ |
| $13.90 \%$ |


| 3,028 |
| ---: |
| 7,443 |
| 1,319 |
| $\$ 11,790$ |
| 74,628 |
| 21,692 |
| $\$ 186,251$ |
| $11.65 \%$ |


| $\begin{array}{r} 3,028 \\ 12,642 \\ 1,319 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 16.989 |
|  | 80,088 |
|  | 26,648 |
| \$ | 186,251 |
|  | 14.31\% |

[^0]


[^1](1) Operating Lcss for Ratenaking Purposes 1975 Through 1978

SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - STEAM DEPARTMEAT (Thousands of Dollars)

| LINE | TITLE | $\begin{gathered} 1980 \\ \text { AS EXPECTED } \end{gathered}$ | AS EXPECTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (H) | (I) |
|  | OPERATING REVENUES |  |  |
| $\frac{1}{2}$. | sales to Customers ECAC Adjustment | \$ $\begin{array}{r}762 \\ 221\end{array}$ | $\leqslant \begin{gathered} 1,570 \\ (126) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | TOTAL OPERATIHG REVENUES | 5983 | 51.444 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Other Production | 47 72 | 67 93 |
| 7. | Customer Accounting and Collection | 72 2 | 93 2 |
| 8. | Administrative and General | 60 | 83 |
| 它 9. | SUBTOTAL | 5917 | 51.395 |
| 10. | Depreciation and Amortization | 35 | 39 |
|  | TAXES |  |  |
| 11. | $\overline{\text { Ad Valorem }}$ | 15 | 15 |
| 12. | Income ${ }^{\text {Pa }}$ | 6 | 0 |
| 13. | Payroll and Miscellaneous |  |  |
| 14. | total taxes | 528 | 524 |
| 15. | TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 980 | 1,458 |
| 16. | Net Operating Incone | 3 | (14) |
| 17. | Weighted Average Rate Base | 3295 | \$ 391 |
| - 18. | Rate of Return (\%) | 1.03\% | (3.59\%) |

TABLE 5-D
SUMMARY OF EARNINGS - STEAM DEPARTMENT
(Thousands of Dollars)




* Zero Fuel Basis
* Included for the Purpose of Attition Calculation


[^0]:    (1) Includes Cost of GN-5 Cas

    * Zero Fuel Basis
    ** Included for the Purposr: of Attrition Calculation
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