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Q. 1 Have you previously testified in this proceedina?
A. 1 Yes, I have. I sponsored Exhibit 55, entitled Study of the cost of Canital and Rate of Return for Southern California Edison Company .
Q. 2 What is the purpose of your additional testimony?
A. 2 The purpose of my testimony is to revise the recommended rate of return for Southern California Edison Company (Edison) to recognize changes in the costs of lonq-term debt and preferred stock which have occurred since my March 18, 1980 study.
Q. 3 What is your revised recommendation?
A. 3 I am recommending that the Commission authorize Edison a return on rate base of 10.54 f for the test year 1981 and that the return be adjusted upward in 1982 by 24 basis points to offset the effects of financial attrition. The following tabulation compares my oriqinal recommendation, which appears in Exhibit 55 , with my revised recommendation.

| Component | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Capital } \\ & \text { : Ratios } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { Facto:s } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Year 1981 |  |  |  |
| Long-Term Debt | 47.008 | 8.6 .18 | 4.068 |
| Preferred Stock | 13.00 | 8.03 | 1.04 |
| Common Stock Equity | 40.00 | 13.60 | $5.44$ |
| Total | 100.008 |  | $10.548$ |
| Average Year 1982 |  |  |  |
| Long-Term Debt | $47.00 \%$ | 9.078 | 4.268 |
| Preferred Stock | 13.00 | 8.28 | 1.08 |
| Common Stock Equity | 40.00 | 13.60 | 5.44 |
| Total | 100.008 |  | 10.788 |

Exhibit 55
Rate of Return Recommendation

```
Averaqe Year 1981
    Lonq-Term Debt
    Preferred Stock
    Common Stock Equity
        Total
```

Average Year 1982
Long-Term Debt
Preferred Stock
Common Stock Equity
Total

Average Year 1982
Long-Term Debt
Preferred Stock
Common Stock Equity Total
47.00 *
13.00
8.298
3.908
7.98
13.60
100.008
1.04
5.44
10.388

| 47.008 | 8.518 | 4.008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.00 | 8.12 | 1.06 |
| 40.00 | 13.60 | 5.44 |
| 100.008 |  | $\underline{10.508}$ |

Both recommendations are based on the company's target
capital structure, average capital costs, and a return on common stock equity of $13.60 \%$. The difference results from my recognition of Edison's recorded 1980 financing costs and the assumption that higher interest and dividend rates will continue throughout the test period. The effect of these higher rates on the utility's embedded costs of long-term debt and preferred stock are shown in Tables Nos. 1 and 2, which correspond to Tables NOS. 5 and 7 of Exhibit 55. Edison's final 1980 bond financing is scheduled for sale through competitive bidding on November 19, 1980. Although I have estimated a rate of $14.00 \%$ on the $\$ 150 \mathrm{million}$ issue, I recommend that the commission take official notice of the actual costs as reported in the G.O. $24-B$ filing in determining the authorized rate of return.
Q.4. How does your revised recommendation affect Edison's gross revenue requirements?
A.4. The 16 basis point increase in the rate of return recommended for 1981 results in an increase in revenue requirements of approximately $\$ 7.2$ million. The higher financial attrition allowance recommended for 1982 will require an acisitional $\$ 7.5$ million.
Q.5. In view of the recent volatility in interest rates, how do you recommend the commission protect ratepayers from an overestimation of future interest rates, or vice versa, compensate investors if you have substantially underestimated the cost of new securities?
A.j. If the Commission adopts a step rate procedure to recognize the operational and financial attrition likely to occur in 1981. I recommend that the Rate of Return staff be required to review Edison's 1981 financing costs before incremental rates are put into effect. At that time the allowance would be adjusted for any differential between the adopted interest rates and recorded results. Such a procedure would ensure that ratepayers would be protected if capital markets improved and interest rates declined and conversely the utility would be able to recover current interest and dividend costs prior to the end of the two-year regulatory cycle.
Q.6. Does this conclude your testimony?
A.6. Yes, it does.

TABLE NO. 1

## SOUTHERN CALIPORNIA EDISON COMPANT

Effective Interest Rate on Long-Term Debt Estimated as of December 31, 1980, 1981 and 1982


Outstanding, December 31, 1979 1980

Bond Issues
Series LL - $9.625 \%$
Series NN - $15-1 / \%$
Series NNA - 14\%
Maturing Debt
Cal. Elect. 2.87\% Series
Debentures
Promissory Note
Outstanding, December 31, 1980
1981
Planned Bond Issue ${ }_{1}$
Series $\infty$ - $13 \%-$
Maturing Debt
Series G
Series EE
Promissory Note
Outstanding, December 31, 1981
1982
Planned Bond Issue
Series PP $-12 \% 1$
Maturing Debt
Series H
Series I
Series J
Promissory Note
Outstanding, December 31, 1982
( $\$ 000$ )
$\$ 2,782,652 \quad \$ 2,757,185 \quad \$ 204,000 \quad 7.406$

| 50,000 | 49,500 | 4,889 | 9.88 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 200,000 | 196,741 | 30,380 | 15.4 .4 |
| 150,000 | 150,000 | 21,000 | 14.00 |


| $(6,000)$ | $(5,890)$ | $(176)$ | 2.99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(74,902)$ | $(76,057)$ | $(2,264)$ | 2.98 |
| $(3,639)$ | $(3,530)$ | $(272)$ | 7.70 |
| $3,098,111$ | $3,067,949$ | 257,557 | 8.40 |


| 300,000 | 300,000 | 39,000 | 13.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(40,000)$ <br> $(100,000$ <br> $(3,561)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{rl}39,257) \\ 98,477) \\ (3,454)\end{array}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{r}1,2,84) \\ 9,217) \\ 266)\end{array}\right.$ | 3.79 <br> 9.36 <br> 7.70 |
| $3,254,550$ | $3,226,861$ | 285,590 | 8.85 |


| 300,000 | 300,000 | 36,000 | 12.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(37,500)$ | $(37,201)$ | $(1,610)$ | 4.34 |
| ( 40,000 ) | $(39,789)$ | $(1,909)$ | 4.80 |
| (40,000) | $(40,016)$ | (1,949) | 4.87 |
| $(3,522)$ | $(3,416)$ | 263 | . 70 |
| \$3,433,528 | , 206.539 | \$315,859 | 9.2\% |

Erfective Dividend Rate on Preferlad and Preforme en - Sicel Estimated as of Decemuer 31, 196, 1921, and 298.


$$
1980
$$

Stock Issue
2.00 Series

Conversions
Convertible Series $5.20 \%$
Outstanding, December 31, 1980
1981
Planned Stock Issue
$-2.00 \%$ Series
Conversions
Convertible Series $5.20 \%$
Outstanding, December 31, 1981
198.
(- weitd Stuck Is?
11.00\% Series

Conversions
Convertib]o Serics 5.20\%
whatanting, Decenter 31, 1982

| 75,000 | 75,975 | 9,000 | 12.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(12,00)$ | $(12,712)$ | $(621)$ | 5.23 |
| 875,150 | 867,326 | 67,955 | 7.23 |


| 75,000 | 75, | 9,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{(15,000)}{938,150}$ | $(11,710)$ | $(630,(1 \%$ | 70,331 |


| 50,0011 | 50,0 | 5,500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (uen5) | (1, 7778 | (25,5) |
| 28.3 | 20, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11, 27 |

