

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF SAFETY-RELATED  
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT  
IEB 79-01B

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT  
DOCKET NO. 50-348

PLANT: Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 1  
DATED: December 10, 1980

REVISION 1

Licensee: Alabama Power Company

Type Reactor: Pressurized Water Reactor

Prepared by: N. Merriweather  
*N. Merriweather*

Engineering Support Section No. 1  
Reactor Construction and Engineering  
Support Branch, RII

8106v50003

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 General .....	1
2. BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION .....	1
2.1 General .....	1
2.2 On-Site Inspection .....	2
2.3 Evaluation of Licensee's Report .....	2
3. GENERAL INFORMATION .....	3
3.1 Identification of Class 1E Electrical Systems.....	3
3.2 Service Conditions .....	4
3.3 Qualification Documentation .....	4
4. EQUIPMENT DATE REVIEW .....	4
4.1 Qualified Equipment .....	4
4.2 Equipment With Aging Less Than Plant Life .....	4
4.3 Equipment to be Replaced, Modified, or Relocated - Licensee Identified .....	5
4.4 Equipment with Unresolved Qualification Items .....	5
4.5 Equipment Not Qualified .....	5
4.6 Outstanding Items - Licensee Identified .....	5
4.7 Discussion of On-Site Inspection .....	6
5. CONCLUSIONS .....	6

Attachment 1	Review Tabulation Sheets Used in Evaluation of Licensee's Report
Attachment 2	List of Standard Systems
Attachment 3	List of Documents That Support Environmental Qualification of Licensee's Equipment
Attachment 4	Outstanding Items (Licensee Identified)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

The NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement (I/E) issued Bulletin 79-01B, "Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Equipment" in January 1980. This bulletin required the licensee to perform a detailed evaluation of the environmental qualification on Class 1E electrical equipment required to function under postulated accident conditions and to submit a report on this action.

This document is a report on the evaluation of the licensee's response to this bulletin.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

### 2.1 General

The evaluation of the licensee's response was accomplished by examining the licensee's report for completeness and technical accuracy. The licensee's report used in this evaluation is dated November 12, 1980, and includes the responses to the Bulletin Supplements with the exception of I/E Supplement No. 3 issued on October 24, 1980.

## 2.2 On-Site Inspections

The on-site inspection, made on selected IE equipment, verified proper installation of equipment, overall interface integrity, location with respect to flood level for equipment inside the containment, and manufacturers nameplate data. The manufacturer and model number from the nameplate data was compared to information given in the Component Evaluation Work Sheets (CES) to the licensee's report.

R1

Components that were examined during the field inspection are identified by an asterisk (\*) in Attachment 1. If any discrepancies were noted between the installed equipment and the correspondent equipment addressed in the licensee's report, they are discussed in Section 4 of this report. The site inspection is documented by IE report number 50-348/80-38.

R1

## 2.3 Evaluation of Licensee's Report

Each component as addressed on the Component Evaluation Work Sheets (CES) of the licensee's report was examined for completeness and accuracy to the criteria given in the bulletin. This examination assumed qualification documents (analysis, test reports, etc.) referenced by the licensee in their submittal are acceptable.

The results of this examination are documented in Attachment 1. The CES page numbers in column 1 of Attachment 1 is the same CES page found in the licensee's report.

### 3. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 3.1 Identification Of Class I<sup>E</sup> Electrical Equipment

The licensee's list of systems was compared to the systems listed on Attachment 2. This list is considered to be a standard list for pressurized water reactors.

It is recognized that there are differences in nomenclature of systems because of plant vintage and engineering design, therefore, many of these systems may not exist for Farley Unit 1 plant or the function of these systems for the Farley Unit 1 plant may be performed by comparable systems with different names. In any case, all the systems of Attachment 2 were not addressed or listed in the licensee's report. To insure completeness and uniformity of reports of similar design, it is considered that the licensee should address the following systems:

- (1) Steam Dump
- (2) Containment Sump.

Each of the above systems should be analyzed and a brief discussion should explain how the system was addressed or why it was excluded from the report. For example: "Reactor Protection System - This is a

valid system designation at Farley Unit 1 plant; however, the input signals to this system are obtained from various components in other systems that are included in this report."

3.2 Service Conditions

The service condition accident environment, HELB/LOCA inside containment and HELB outside containment are indicated or discussed in the licensee's report.

3.3 Qualification Documentation

Attachment 3 is a list of documents (test reports, analysis, letters, etc.) used by the licensee in determining the environmental qualification of plant equipment for Farley Unit 1. These references have been tabulated by the licensee and are indicated on the applicable CES of their report.

4. EQUIPMENT DATA REVIEW

4.1 Equipment that is considered to meet IEB 79-01B requirements are categorized as 1 in the last column of Attachment 1.

4.2 Equipment whose qualified life (Aging) is less than the life of the plant is categorized as 2 in the last column of Attachment 1.

4.3 The licensee has identified specific equipment which has been or is being replaced, modified or relocated as a result of their IEB 79-01B efforts. This equipment is categorized as 3 in the last column of Attachment 1.

4.4. Equipment whose qualification is unresolved (such as, a qualification record search is still in process, tests are scheduled to determine qualification, additional information is needed by the NRC reviewer to satisfy him that equipment is qualified, etc.) is categorized as 4 in the last column of Attachment 1.

4.5 Equipment that the licensee has identified as not being qualified required an LER with justification for continued plant operation.

No LER's were received in Region II for Farley 1.

4.6 Equipment whose qualification (Aging exempted to a certain degree - see DOR guideline Section 4) is unresolved, as identified by the licensee is listed in Attachment 4 as Outstanding Items.

The licensee has provided justification for continued plant operation for many, if not all, of these outstanding items in their report. In many cases, this is provided on their CES or in a special section of the report. In some cases the licensee states that this justification is by Engineering Analysis that are available at their office.

4.7 The components reviewed during the on-site inspection were examined for proper installation, interface integrity, location and manufacturers nameplate data. The manufacturers nameplate data was compared to the information shown in the component evaluation sheets of the licensee's report. The components that contained discrepancies between the actual nameplate data and the licensee's submittal to IEB 79-01B are identified by double asterisks (\*\*) in Attachment 1. However, these have been identified by the licensee as a result of a walkdown program initiated prior to the on-site inspection as indicated in their report, Section C.1.4.

R1

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Due to the time constraints imposed by the Commission Memo and Order of 5/23/80 this evaluation is based on the licensee submittal dated November 12, 1980. This licensee's report does not address all items that may be pertinent to Farley Unit 1 plant as a result of IEB 79-01B Bulletin Supplements 2 and 3 issued on 9/30/80 and 10/24/80, respectively. Specifically, information on cold shutdown and TMI Lessons Learned related equipment which is received subsequent to 11/1/80 will be evaluated by EQB of NRR.

The licensee's actions meet the requirements of the Commission Memo and Order of 5/23/80 and justification for continued plant operation with many of the components that have unresolved qualification items is indicated in Section 4.6. Based on the information available, the Region II reviewer

considers that the justification is commensurate for the areas identified, that the proposed corrective action meets requirements of the Commission Memo and Order of 5/23/80, and therefore, plant operation can continue until these items are resolved as committed to by the licensee.

This evaluation is based on the information supplied by the licensee in their submittal, their FSAR, and the assumption that the Qualification Documents (Test Reports, Analysis, Letter, etc.) are acceptable.

The results of this evaluation does not necessarily imply that the equipment is unreliable, unsafe or represents a significant safety issue; it does imply that additional information is required. This is indicated by the applicable categorization indicated in the last column of Attachment 1.

ATTACHMENT 1  
FOR IEB 79-018  
TER

PAGE / OF 2

Code P. No.	Equip- I. D. #	Genetic Accid. Profile	Qual. Profile	Radiation Contamination	Qual. Method	Chem. Spray	Accur.	Subm.	Licenses Action	Outstanding Items	Category of Items
				Inside	Outside				Replace	Relocate	Identified by
2	QIN1250 5A344	SV			-				yes	L	3, * R/
3	QIN1225 3234B	LS							yes	L	3, ** R/
4	QIN1250 3234B	SV							yes	L	3, ** R/
5	QIN1250 3234B	LS							yes	L	3, * R/
6	QIN1250 3235A	SV							yes	L	3, * R/
7	QIN1225 3235A	LS							yes	L	3, *, 7 R/
8	QIN1250 3235B	SV							yes	L	3, * R/
9	QIN1225 3235B	LS							yes	L	3, * R/
10	QIN1250 3234B-A	IB/JB	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	1
11	QIN1250 3234B-B	IB/JB	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	1
12	QIN1250 3234B-C	IB/JC	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	1
13	QIN1250 3235B-C	IB/JC	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	1

✓ = Item indicates the item is below the flood level

\* or 5 = Item indicates the item is satisfactory

- or N = Item indicates the item is Not Applicable

• = Item indicates the item is Unresolved, Licensee Identified

! = Proprietary

L = Licensee Identified

R = Reviewer Identified

1 = Equipment is qualified

2 = Aging is less than life of plant with licensee indicating periodic replacement

3 = Equipment requires modification (resolution, shielding, etc.)

4 = Qualification of equipment unresolved

a) Testing schedule but not complete

b) Reviewer needs additional information

c) Qual. Record search still in process

5 = Equipment not qualified

6 = Item identified to EOB for resolution

7 = Aging profile not acceptable

REVIEWER: John Doe DATE 11/1/80

FACILITY  
SYSTEM Evaluation Report

ATTACHMENT 1  
FOR I&B 79-01b  
TER

PAGE / OF 4

CES. Page No.	Equip. I. D. #	Generic Name	Accid Profile	Qual. Profile	Radiation Qual. Containment		Qual. Method	Chem. Spray	Accur.	Subs.	Licensee Action	Outstanding Items	Identified by	Category of Item
					Inside	Outside								
C.2.4	504722	St. 54	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	VOY77A	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	6	VOY77B	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	7	VOY77B	St. 54	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	8	VOY77A	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	9	VOY77B	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	10	VOY77A	St. 54	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	11	VOY77A	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	12	VOY77B	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	13	VOY77	St. 54	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	14	VOY77A	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3
C.2.4	15	VOY77B	SV	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	L	3

✓ or S = indicates the item is below the flood level  
 or N = indicates the item is satisfactory  
 \* = indicates the item is not applicable  
 P = Proprietary  
 L = Licensee Identified  
 R = Reviewer Identified  
 1 = Equipment is qualified  
 2 = Rating is less than life of plant with license  
 indicating periodic replacement

3 = Equipment requires modification (resolution, shielding, etc.)  
 4 = Qualification of equipment unresolved

a) Testing schedule but not complete

b) Reviewer needs additional information

c) Qual. Record search still in process

5 = Equipment not qualified

6 = Item identified to Eqs for resolution

REVIEWER A. Giff DATE 11/7/80

FACILITY REPORT FORM  
SYSTEM REPORTS

ATTACHMENT I  
FOR Item 79-018  
TER

PAGE 2 OF 4

CES. Page No.	Equip. I. D.	Generic Name	Act. Id. Profile	Qual. Profile	Radiation Qual. Containment	Qual. Method	Chem. Spray	Accur.	Subs.	Licensee Action	Outstanding Items	Category of Item
					Inside	Outside				Replace	Relocate	
C.2.4 16	0489 LT SW	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 17	10439A SV	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 18	10489B SV	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 19	50499 LT SW	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 20	10499H SV	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 21	10499J SV	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	Yes	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 22	10478 A/JB T/B/JB	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 23	10478A T/B/JB	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 24	10478A T/B/JB	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 25	10479 T	/	P	P	/	P	P	P	P	/	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 26	LT475 T	/	P	P	/	P	P	P	P	/	/	3, ** RI
C.2.4 27	LT476 T	/	P	P	/	P	P	P	P	/	/	3, ** RI

B = Indicates the item is below the flood level

S = Indicates the item is satisfactory

N = Indicates the item is Not Applicable

U = Indicates the item is unresolved. Licensee Identified

P = Proprietary

L = Licensee Identified

R = Reviewer Identified

Q = Equipment is qualified

A = Aging is less than life of plant with licensee

Indicating periodic replacement

3 = Equipment requires modification (resolution, shielding, etc.)

4 = Qualification of equipment unresolved

a) Testing schedule but not complete

b) Reviewer needs additional information

c) Qual. Record search still in process

5 = Equipment not qualified

6 = Item identified to LQB for resolution

REVIEWER John DATE 11-12-80

## FARLEY L.

## MAN STEAM

ATTACHMENT I  
FOR RIB 74-018  
ITEM

PAGE / OF 5

Qty. Used No.	Prop. I. D. #	Generic Name	Serial Profile	Qual. Profile	Build. Location (Qual.)	Qual. Period	Class. Opay	Accur.	Subs.	Licenses Action	Outstanding Items	Category of Items
					Index	Index				Replace	Relocate	
5	PINII2S	L5	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, ** RI
6	PINHSV	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, ** RI
7	3369AC	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, * RI
8	PINII2S	L5	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, * RI
9	33690A	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
10	PINHSV	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
11	33690C	L5	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
12	PINHSV	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
13	33690C	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
14	33690A	L5	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3
15	PINHSV	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, ** RI
16	PINHSV	SV	✓		-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-	3, * RI

B = Item is below the build level  
 C = Item is not available  
 D = Item is not available  
 E = Item is not available  
 F = Proprietary  
 G = Licensee identified  
 H = Manufacturer identified  
 I = Equipment is qualified  
 J = Item is more than one of plant with license  
 K = Other

J = Equipment requires modification (resolution, shielding, etc.)  
 K = Qualification of equipment unresolved  
 L = Qualification of equipment unresolved

- a) Testing schedule but not complete
- b) Reviewer needs additional information
- c) Qual. record search still in process
- d) Equipment not qualified
- e) Item identified to EQB for resolution

FACILITY  
WATER TOWER

ATTACHMENT I  
FOR IEB 79-018  
TER

PAGE / OF /

CE. Per. No.	Equip. I. D. #	Generic Name	Accu. Profile	Qual. Profile	Radiation Qual. (Containment)		Qual. Method	Chas. Spray	Acurr.	Subs.	Licensee Action	Outstanding Items	Identified by	Category of Items		
					Inside	Outside										
2	QIN21-B	MOL	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	✓ *	R1	
3	QIN21-C	MOL	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	✓ *	R1
4	QIN21-C	MOL	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	—	✓ *	R1
5	QIN21-L	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓ *	R1
6	SH28288	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
7	QIN21-L	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
8	QIN21-L	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
9	QIN21-L	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
10	QIN21-L	L5	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
11	A17003-TB/5C	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	
12	R22 CCL	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—	—	—	✓	

Code:

- ✓ = indicates the item is below the flood level
- ✓ or S = indicates the item is satisfactory
- or N = indicates the item is Not Applicable
- UL = Indicates the item is Unresolved, licensee identified
- P = Proprietary
- L = Licensee identified
- R = Reviewer Identified
- E = Equipment is qualified
- 1 = Aging is less than life of plant with licensee indicating periodic replacement
- 2 = Item identified to EOB for resolution

3 = Equipment requires modification (resolution, shielding, etc.)

4 = Qualification of equipment unresolved

a) Testing schedule but not complete

b) Reviewer needs additional information

c) Qual. Record search still in process

5 = Equipment not qualified

6 = Item identified to EOB for resolution

REVIEWER

REVIEWER DATE 11/28/82

# Facility 9

## Aux Reactor (Aux FW)

ATA/ALPHANUM 1  
FOR I&B 79-018  
TER

PAGE 1 OF 3

CEI Page No.	Equip. I. D. #	Generic Name	Std. Config.	Qual. Profile	Requalification Qual. I.D.	Qual. Method Inside	Cham. Spray Outside	Accur.	Subm.	Licence Action	Outstanding Items Identified by	Category of Items
4	QIN23	MOV	L	L	-	L	L	-	-	-	-	1,* RI
5	QIN25	Mov	L	L	-	L	L	-	-	-	-	1,* RI
6	VOL13	Mov	L	L	-	L	L	-	-	-	-	1,* RI
7	QIN29	Limit	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	1,* RI
8	Z2238A	SW	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
9	Z2238A	SV	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
10	Z2238B	SV	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3
11	Z2238B	SW	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
12	Z2238A	SV	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
13	Z2238A	Limit	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
14	Z2238A	SV	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
15	Z2238B	Limit	L	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3,** RI
	Code:											3

\* = Item indicates the item is below the cloud level  
or S = indicates the item is satisfactory

- or N = indicates the item is Not Applicable  
UL = indicates the item is Unresolved, Licensee Identified

P = Proprietary  
L = Licensed Identified

R = Reviewer Identified

I = Equipment is Qualified

2 = Log in less than life of plant with licensee  
indicating periodic replacement

3 = Equipment requires modification (resolution, holding, etc.)

4 = Qualification of equipment unresolved

a) Testing schedule but not complete

b) Reviewer needs additional information

c) Qual. Record search still in process

5 = Equipment not qualified

6 = Item identified to EQB for resolution

REVIEWER W. H. Dill and DATE 11/2/88