EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

Two World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048

EBASCO

Benjamin E. Tenzer
Vice President
Materials Engineering and
Quality Assurance

December 8, 1980

Mr. Walter P Haass, Chief
Quality Assurance Branch
Division of Project Management
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D C 20555

Dear Mr. Haass:

This is with reference to my letter to you of October 22, 1980 which transmitted for your consideration thirteen (13) proposed section revisions for the Ebasco Topical Report ETR-1001 (Ebasco Nuclear Quality Assurance Program Manual). In response to questions raised by your Mr. William Belke, and as discussed between Mr. Belke and our Mr. F F Ford in telephone conversation, we advise the following:

a) Re Section QA-I-2, Proposed Revision 4, Sub-paragraph 3.1.1.2, page 4 of 18: The last sentence in the current version, (Revision 3), which was deleted from the proposed revision, will be restored, except that the word "Standard" will be deleted. The last sentence of the Sub-paragraph will then read, "In addition, he is responsible for the review and acceptance of Quality Control procedures."

b) Re Section QA-I-2, Proposed Revision 4, Sub-paragraph 3.1.4, page 9 of 18: As a consultant no longer engaged on a full-time basis, the Consulting Quality Assurance Engineer has been relieved of enforcement responsibilities for compliance with Ebasco Quality Program requirements. Such enforcement responsibilities rest with the Quality Assurance Engineering Department under the Chief Quality Assurance [illegible], as stated in sub-paragraph 3.1.1, bottom of page 2 and top of page [illegible].

c) Re Section QA-I-2, Proposed Revision 4, paragraph 6.2, page 13 of 18: Due to a transposition error, a third line to sub-item a) was deleted. This will be restored, to be as in the current version (Revision 3) and to read, "- - - SAR and the intent of applicable Regulatory Guides."

d) Re Section QA-II-2, Proposed Revision 2, paragraph 3.6, page 3 of 4: Due to a transposition error, sub item a) is incorrect. This should read the same as it does in the current version (Revision 1), so as to state, "a) Reviewed without comment."

Q002
S
1/0

C

The foregoing will be reflected in the approved and published versions of the applicable section revisions.

I will be pleased to answer any further question you may have in regard to the above or other parts of the submitted proposed revisions.

Very truly yours,

Bijan E Tajk

BET/FFF:mav

cc: W Belke
B R Mazo