## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON 20426

IN REPLY REFER TO:

THE RESTRICTS

December 10, 1980

Mr. A. Schwencer Chief, Licensing Branch No. 2 Division of Licensing U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

I am replying to your request of November 10, 1980 to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement related to the Operation of the Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station Unit No. 1. This Draft EIS has been reviewed by appropriate FERC staff components upon whose evaluation this response is based.

This staff concentrates its review of other agencies' environmental impact statements basically on those areas of the electric power, natural gas, and cil pipeline industries for which the Commission has jurisdiction by law, or where staff has special expertise in evaluating environmental impacts involed with the proposed action. It does not appear that there would be any significant impacts in these areas of concern nor serious conflicts with this agency's responsibilities should this action be undertaken.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this statement.

Sincerely,

Jack M. Heinemann

Advisor on Environmental Quality

Co3/10

8012160402

A