(7-77)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
•	CONTROL BLOCK:
	MA P P S 10 00 - 00 0 - 0 0 3 4 11 1 1 1 5 5 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58 5
	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 - 0 2 9 3 0 1 1 1 3 8 0 8 1 1 2 8 8 0 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
02	EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
	Inersonnel observed water leaking from a 2 inch reactor vessel drain line which connects
	with the RWCV system. Closer inspection revealed that the leaks origin was a 2 inch
	laise coupling wold
	pipe coupling weld. The reactor which was critical at the prostar being made critical on
	Lwas shutdown. The pipe was repaired prior to reactor being made critical on
[0]7	November 14, 1980.
08 7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE PO
09	$ \begin{array}{c} c_{OODE} \\ c \\ g \\ 10 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} c_{ODE} \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 1$
	Image: Sequential REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE REPORT TYPE NO. Image: Sequential REPORT NO. Image: Sequential REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE REPORT TYPE NO. Image: Sequential REPORT NO. Image: Sequential REPORT NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: Sequential Report NO. Image: No.
	CTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN HOURS (22) ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT SHUTDOWN HOURS (22) ATTACHMENT FORM SUB. SUPPLIER SUPPLIER SUPPLIER GOMPONENT B (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3
10	The faulty weld was isolated, ground out and the coupling rewelded, A level three
11	lexaminer, assisting in the repair, detected slag inclusions in the weld. The
12	presence of this slag predestined failure of the weld. This failure is the result
13	of a fabrication defect during construction.
14	80
15	FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 LC 28 0 1 0 29 NA 44 A 31 Operational Event 30 9 12 13 44 45 46 80
	ACTIVITY CONTENT HELFASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 12 33 12 34 NA 44 45 LOCATION OF RELEASE 36 NA 44 45 80
1 7 7 B	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUM/BER 0 0 0 0 37 Z 33 NA 9 AFRECONSIL (NUM/BES 11 12 5 13 0 80
1 <u>8</u> 7 8	
119	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION (43)
7 8	9 10 PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY
20	NA 9 10 - 6 2 2 NA 68 69 30 5
	8 0 1 2 0 2 0 PREPARER PHONE: 0

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION DOCKET NO. 50 - 293 Attachment to LER 80-084/01X-0

Ou November 13, 1980, operating personnel observed leakage in the drywell. A closer inspection revealed that a socket weld coupling had failed. The reactor was shutdown until repairs could be performed.

Weld number B-4-77 RI on a 2" socket weld coupling connection to a 2" Schedule 80 pipe on the Reactor Water Cleanup System located at approximately the 40 foot level in the drywell failed. The 2" stainless steel pipe was repaired using a PNPS approved welding procedure. A liquid penetrant examination was performed prior to excavating the area of the failure. The excavation extended to within approximately .025 inches of the interior wall. A filler weld was then performed consuming the remaining backup material to ensure a sound weld and enable sufficient penetration. Prior to acceptance of the weld, a final visual and liquid penetrant examination and a 950 psi hydrostatic test were performed.

In addition to inspections and repairs of the failed weld a liquid penetrant examination was performed on the opposite end weld of the socket coupling. No degradation of this weld was detected.

A level three inspector observed slag inclusion in the weld material during excavation of weld B-4-77RI which is believed to be the primary cause of this event.

This failure was caused by a fabrication defect during construction and is not the result of thermal stress induced corrosion.