

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	CONTROL BLOCK: (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
<u>U</u> 1	A L B R F 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 5 9 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58
0 1 7 8	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 5 9 7 0 2 2 1 8 0 8 0 3 0 5 8 0 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
0 2	Preliminary analysis indicated that restraint R-1 on the drywell sump pump
0 3	discharge line could have allowed the line to fail should a design seismic event
0 4	occur. Detailed analysis revealed that restraint R-1 was adequate, however, that
0 5	analysis revealed that restraint R-10 needed modification to assure integrity of
06	discharge line. There are no redundant systems. There was no danger to health
0 7	or safety of the public, no significant resulting occurrences, and no previous
08	Similar events.
0 9	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE SUB
	17) REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER [F 18 Z 19 Z 20 Z 21 36 37 40 41 23 42 42 43 45 46 47 47
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
10	It was discovered during detailed analysis that restraint R-10 required modification.
III	Design is providing data for the modification of lateral restraint R-10 to prevent
1 2	Design is providing data for the modification of lateral restraint R-10 to prevent deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and
112	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory.
	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and
1 3 7 8 1 5 7 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1
1 3 7 8 1 5 7 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. FACILITY STATUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS NA OTHER STATUS NA OTHER STATUS OTHER STATUS NA OTHER STATUS OTHER STATUS
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 10
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1
1 3 , 8	deflection. Units 2 and 3 drywell sump pump discharge lines were inspected and found to be satisfactory. 1

*Revision:

LER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

BFRO-50-259 / 8017 Technical Specification Involved 3.7.A.2
Reported Under Technical Specification 6.7.2.a(5)
Date of Occurrence 2-21-80 Time of Occurrence 1410 Unit 1
Identification and Description of Occurrence: Rigorous analysis of the DW sump pump discharge piping in accordance with NRC Bulle 79-14 revealed that an additional restraint was needed to limit deflection that continuerfere with operation of the containment isolation valves.
Conditions Prior to Occurrence: Unit 1 - RFO in ILRT
Unit 2 - Routine shutdown for maintenance
Unit 3 - 1100 MWe
등 내용을 하게 있는데 하나 아버지는 아버지는 아니는 그 사람들이 되었다.
Action specified in the Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements met due to inoperable equipment. Describe.
NA .
나는 그는 그는 그리고 있다면 그렇게 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그리고 있다는 사람들이 되었다.
Apparent Cause of Occurrence:
Inadequate design
Analysis of Occurrence:
No danger to the health or safety of the public. No activity released. No result significant occurrence. No damage to plant or equipment.
Corrective Action:
Install an additional lateral restraint.
Failure Data:
Talanto Data.

*Retention: Period - Lifetime; Responsibility - Administrative Supervisor