STATUS OF NRC ACTIVITIES AND PLANS AS RELATED TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN RESOLUTIONS (NOS. 207 THROUGH 211) BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

JULY 10, 1980

Resolution No. 207

This resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to make the presence of such State inspectors as may be established by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources a condition of operating the facility.

Under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations issued by the Commission, NRC has established a resident inspector program for nuclear power plants. In connection with this program, the NRC is prepared to develop arrangements which outline cooperative working relationships with State inspectors. (See, for example, the enclosed copy of Sub-Agreement 2 Between the Oregon Department of Energy and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 45 Federal Register 8394, Feb. 7, 1980). Under such arrangements, the activities of the State inspectors would be complementary to those of NRC but would not duplicate the NRC's regulatory activities. While these cooperative efforts can broaden the inspection coverage at a nuclear power plant, we do not see that they need be required in order to assure protection of the health and safety of the public and we think it would be inappropriate to make the presence of the State inspectors a condition of operating the facility. Accordingly, in response to the recommendation contained in House Resolution No. 207, we would invite appropriate officials of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to discuss arrangements such as those outlined above with the staff of the NRC; we do not agree, however, that the recommendation should be adopted as presented.

Resolution No. 208

This resolution has three basic parts which will be responded to in turn. In the first part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to open the presently existing Federal radioactive waste disposal sites for commercial waste disposal.

The Federal facilities most in a position to accept commercial low-level wastes are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE); because NRC has no authority to license or regulate them, it could not order them to accept wastes not generated by DOE operations. In 1978, NRC requested that DOE develop contingency plans for the acceptance of low-level wastes in the event that commercial disposal capacity became unavailable. In response to this request, DOE prepared a paper (which we understand has not yet been released) entitled. "Analysis of a Nuclear Regulatory Suggestion on Use of DOE Sites for Commercial Low-Level Wastes." In a draft of this report which was furnished to NRC in April 1980, DOE concludes that "there is no prospect for any substantial use of DOE sites for commercial low-level wastes." The report also concludes that most DOE facilities would have to have costly and extensive modifications to be physically able to handle and dispose of such commercial wastes and that the only facilities that could accept such wastes without major renovations are in the three States with existing commercial disposal facilities: Nevada, Washington and South Carolina. The Governors of these States have already made it clear that they do not intend to allow their States to become the sole burial grounds for the nation's low-level wastes, and we believe they would oppose strongly the use of Federal facilities in their States.

Although the Commission has taken no official position on the need to use Federal facilities for commercial low-level radioactive waste disposal, the present and the immediate past Commission Chairmen have joined DOE officials in recent Congressional testimony to endorse the position of the three Governors that disposal of such waste is primarily a State responsibility.

In the second part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to review existing facilities and proposals for the reprocessing of radioactive waste.

The current administration policy with regard to reprocessing is to defer indefinitely commercial spent fuel reprocessing. Therefore, there are no operating or planned facilities for spent fuel reprocessing in Pennsylvania.

The NRC has recently published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking on technical criteria for regulating geologic disposal of high-level radioactive waste (see enclosed copy, 45 Federal Register 31393, May 13, 1980). In the advance notice, "high-level radioactive waste" is defined to include: (1) irradiated reactor fuel; (2) liquid wastes resulting from the operation of the first-cycle solvent extraction system, or equivalent, and the concentrated wastes from subsequent extraction cycles, or equivalent, in a facility for reprocessing irradiated reactor fuel; and (3) solids into which such liquid wastes have been converted.

With regard to the disposal of high-level radioactive wastes, including spent reactor fuel, the NRC is currently conducting a generic rulemaking proceeding in order to: reassess its degree of confidence that radioactive wastes produced by nuclear facilities will be safely disposed of; determine when any such disposal will be available; and ascertain whether such wastes can be safely stored until they are safely disposed of. (See the enclosed copy of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Storage and Disposal of Nuclear Waste, 44 Federal Register 61372, Oct. 25, 1979).

In addition, the NRC has proposed licensing procedures for the disposal of high-level radioactive wastes in geologic repositories. (See enclosed copy 44 Federal Register 70408, Dec. 6, 1979.)

In the third part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to disapprove the dumping of contaminated water into the Susquehanna River and the venting of radioactive gases from TMI without proper controls and to monitor the processing and ultimate disposal of all water and gas from TMI.

Initially, in a statement issued on May 25, 1979, the Commission prohibited discharges of TMI-2 contaminated water into the Susquehanna River. Also, in a policy statement issued on November 21, 1979, the Commission announced its intention to prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) on the decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes resulting from the accident. Subsequently on February 27, 1980, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Metropolitan Edison Company and the City of Lancaster agreed to an out-of-court settlement on the litigation concerning the disposition of accident-generated water (City of Lancaster v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Civil Action No. 79-1368). In the settlement, the NRC and licensee agreed not to discharge accident-generated water into the Susquehanna River through December 31, 1981 or until the NRC completes its PEIS or other appropriate environmental reviews. The agreement does not preclude discharges to cope with emergency situations. The draft NRC PEIS is expected to be available for public comment this month and a final PEIS will be prepared thereafter, taking into consideration the comments received. The ultimate disposition of accident-generated water will be determined following publication of the final PEIS which will include discussion of appropriate alternatives for the processing and disposition of accident-generated water to ensure that approved actions are selected in the best interest of the health and safety of the public.

Concerning the inventory (57,000 Ci) of Kr-85 in the reactor building, the Commission, on June 12, 1980, issued a Memorandum and Order approving the controlled release to the outside atmosphere of gases in the containment through the existing plant ventilation system, the hydrogen control subsystem and the reactor building purge system. This action was based on the conclusions of the final Environmental Assessment for Decontamination of the Three Mile Island Unit 2 Reactor Building Atmosphere (NUREG-0662) that the proposed action will have no significant adverse effect on the environment. (See enclosed NUREG-0662 and the Memorandum and Order.) As you know, venting began on June 28, 1980.

Resolution No. 209

This resolution urges the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, as well as several agencies of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to investigate the implementation of a plan of nuclear plant siting which would provide for location of plants in sparsely populated areas of the Commonwealth, such plan to also include siting input by surrounding municipalities within any potential danger area.

The NRC shares the concerns expressed in this resolution over the siting of nuclear plants away from densely populated areas. Since about 1974, the NRC staff has, as part of its Standard Review Plan, required special justification for sites with high average population. In practice, no high population density sites have been proposed since that time. In August 1978, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission directed the staff to develop a general policy statement on nuclear power reactor siting. The enclosed copy of the Report to the Siting Policy Task Force (NUREG-0625) takes into consideration, among other site suitability issues, the risk associated with serious nuclear accidents by proposing the establishing population density and distribution criteria. The Commission is planning to publish in the Federal Register an advance notice of proposed rulemaking on reactor siting which encompasses the concerns of this Resolution and on which public comment will be invited.

The NRC does not select nuclear power plant sites, but rather reviews the suitability of sites proposed by applicants for permits to construct or operate nuclear plants. Considerations of safety and environmental effects are central to this review. In accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, a comparative analysis of the relative merits of alternative sites is provided in NRC's Environmental Impact Statement as an important aspect of the licensing decision regarding each proposed nuclear facility and site.

In the preparation of the Draft Environmental Statement (DES) for a Construction

Permit or Operating License, it is NRC practice to consult with officials of State and local governments on field visits in order to receive their views and other information inputs to aid our independent review efforts. Additional inputs from community officials are received during the 45-day comment period following the issuance of the DES and also in the safety and environmental hearings conducted by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board following publication of the final Environmental Statement.

On the related matter of alternative siting policy, the Commission has already published for public comment (45 <u>Federal Register</u>, 24168, April 9, 1980) a proposed rule to amend its regulation in 10 CFR Part 51. A copy of this notification is enclosed along with two background documents:

Preliminary Statement on General Policy for Rulemaking to Improve Nuclear Power Plant Licensing (NUREG-0499), December 14, 1980.

Robert Pagano, Workshop on Alternative Site Rulemaking: Summary Report, A report prepared under contract to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission by the Mitre Corporation, Report No. MTR-79W00112, May 1979.

Resolution No. 210

This resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to immediately institute a program for the education of the citizens of the United States in the basic aspects of nuclear energy, radiation and the role of nuclear power in the foreseeable future.

In recognition of the importance of improved public understanding of nuclear power, the NRC has a number of initiatives under way. The Office of Public Affairs and the Office of Inspection and Enforcement are planning a pilot program for news media representatives to explain the operation of nuclear power plants, radiation and its health effects and protective actions.

The NRC has recently published a proposed rule for emergency preparedness.

(See enclosed copy, 44 Federal Register 75167, Dec. 19, 1979.) The proposed rule calls for each power reactor licensee to disseminate to the public general information regarding nuclear energy and particular information regarding protective action to be taken in the event of a nuclear accident. In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is conducting training courses for appropriate public officials to ensure that they are aware of the importance of, and techniques for, adequate emergency preparedness.

Based on the experience from the accident at Three Mile Island and the official studies and investigations of the accident, an Action Plan has been developed to provide a comprehensive and integrated plan for actions judged appropriate by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to correct or improve the regulation and operation of nuclear facilities. (See the enclosed NUREG-0660, NRC Action Plans Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident, hereinafter referred to as the Action Plan.) As part of this Action Plan, the NRC Office of Public Affairs will review publicly available documents in safety-related areas and, where sufficient information is unavailable, this Office will recommend to the Department of Energy's Education Programs Division what additional information should be published.

As a regulatory agency whose purpose is to license and regulate commercial nuclear power in the interest of public health and safety, it would not be appropriate for us to address the "role of nuclear power to the overall energy future of the United States" as noted in the resolution. This subject is covered in the President's <u>Second National Energy Plan</u> (U.S. Government Printing Office, May 7, 1979.)

In response to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act to consider alternatives to the proposed nuclear power plant licensing action, our Environmental Impact Statements provide comparative analyses of alternative sources of energy for generating electricity following an examination of the need for adding baseload electrical generating capacity versus the no-action alternative. At present, the comparative energy analyses focus principally on coal and nuclear fuels for generating electricity and include health and safety along with environmental and economic effects.

Resolution No. 211

This resolution has three basic parts which will be responded to in turn. In the first part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to provide, at each operating nuclear power plant, a continuous team of personnel trained in the individual plant characteristics and emergency procedures and that these personnel have the communications facilities necessary to immediately be in contact with appropriate officials of the NRC in the case of accidents.

Although the NRC will have personnel at a nuclear power plant in the event of an emergency, there is no present policy or plan to have a team continuously present. Resident inspectors, whose primary function is to monitor the normal operation of the plants, are assigned to each nuclear power plant site, live nearby and will immediately go to a plant in the event of an emergency.

Moreover, each plant also has a dedicated telephone link to NRC headquarters. Thus, the operators or the resident inspector can be in continuous communication with the NRC headquarters in the event of an emergency. Personnel from the NRC Regional offices will proceed immediately to a plant in an emergency, but this may take up to several hours, depending on the location of the plant. The NRC is now reviewing the development of a data communication system which could be installed at each plant. This Nuclear Data Link (NDL) would be activated immediately if an accident occurs and have the capability of transmitting without delay critical plant and environmental parameters continually to NRC. The type of system needed is currently under review. The NRC believes an NDL type of arrangement is needed to permit the NRC to fulfill adequately its functions of promptly monitoring accidents and advising the licensees if necessary.

In the second part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to immediately review its procedures for the dissemination of information, reports and corrective actions taken at any facility as a result of operational malfunction among all operating nuclear power plants under its jurisdiction.

The NRC recognizes the importance of these matters and has revised its organization to include an Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data (AEOD). This Office analyzes and evaluates operational data associated with all NRC-licensed activities, and develops specific recommendations for action by other NRC offices. AEOD also develops formal guidance for the agency on the collection, evaluation and feedback of operational data. AEOD serves as the central point of coordination for data collection and analysis within the NRC and with outside organizations. The NRC has also required licensees to improve their analysis and dissemination of operating experience.

In the third part, the resolution urges the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to review operations room physical configurations to assure that operating personnel will have immediate, direct access to all controls and instrumentation necessary to properly respond to operating difficulties and equipment malfunctions.

In this regard, the NRC intends to require licensees to perform comprehensive reviews of control rooms using human factors design guidelines and evaluation criteria. These reviews and corrections of short lead-time revisions are to be completed by January 1983. NRC also intends to require that all licensees install by early 1982 a safety parameter display console in the control room that will consisely display to operating personnel a minimum set of critical parameters which defines the safety status of the plant.

The foregoing actions taken by NRC are described more fully in the enclosed NUREG-0660, NRC Action Plans Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident.

Enclosures:

 Sub-Agreement 2 between the Oregon Department of Energy and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Technical Criteria for Regulating Geologic Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste (45 Fed. Reg. 31393, 5/13/80)

 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Storage and Disposal of Nuclear Waste (44 Fed. Reg. 61372, 10/25/79)

 Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories: Proposed Licensing Procedures (44 Fed. Reg. 70408, 12/6/79)

 Final Environmental Assessment for Decontamination of the Three Mile Island Unit 2 Reactor Building Atmosphere, Volume 1, (NUREG-0662)

6. Report of the Siting Policy Task Force (NUREG-0625)

 Licensing and Regulatory Policy and Procedures for Environmental Protection; Alternative Site Reviews (45 Fed. Reg. 24168, 4/9/80)

8. Preliminary Statement on General Policy for Rulemaking to Improved
Nuclear Power Plant Licensing (NUREG-0499)

9. Workshop on Alternative Site Rulemaking (MITRE Report No. MTR-79W00112)

 Emergency Planning, 10 CFR Part 50: Proposed Rule (44 Fed. Reg. 75167, 12/19/79)

11. NRC Action Plans Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident (NUREG-0660) and Commission Memorandum & Order, 6/12/80

Charles F. Neb	211		ACTION CONTROL	5/23/9)	CONTROL NO 08960
Pa. House of &		tives	ACKNOWLEDGMENT		DATE OF DOCUMENT
			INTERIM REPLY		6/3/30 4 5/9/80
TO:					PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE
Chairman Anearne			FINAL REPLY/5/60	fearne	CHAIRMAN
			FILE LOCATION 7	1 80	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
DESCRIPTION LE	TTER ME	MO G REPORT GOTHE	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION	ONS OR REMA	ARKS
	D INI THE	consideration as			
per directions		d in the resolution	S	PRIDRITY	
	CLASSIFIED	DATA	s	PALICRITY	
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.		OATA CLASSIFICATION	S	PALIORITY	
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.		CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY		MINIT	
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO.	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FR	SECT 30 0	946	
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO:	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY	SECT DO C	9+6 TEW	☐ FINAL ☐ COPY
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO.	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FR	SECT 30 0	946	FINAL COPY NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: EDO ADMIN & CORRES BR EXT. COMMENTS, NOTIFY: EXT.

TORM 232

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE THIS COPY

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

γ	
•	
1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-199	
No. 80 - 1985 Logging Date 5/12/80	
NRC SECRETARIAT	
TO: Commissioner Date	
X Exec. Dir./Oper. Gen. Counsel	
Cong. Liaison Solicitor Public Affairs Secretary	
Inspector & Auditor	
Policy Evaluation	
From: Commonwealth of PA	
From:Commonwealth of PA	
To Ahearne Date5/8/80	
Subject: submits resolution # 207, 209, 210, 211	

ATT.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Parameter Company of the Company of	
XX Prepare reply for signature of: XX Chairman Date due Comm; May 23	
Parameter Company of the Company of	
Commissioner	N_
Commissioner Date due Comm; May 23	
Commissioner	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted	
Commissioner Date due Comm; May 23	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted XXI Return original of incoming with response For direct reply*	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted Return original of incoming with response For direct reply* Rec'd Off. EDD	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted Return original of incoming with response For direct reply*	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted Return original of incoming with response For direct reply* For appropriate action Rec'd Off. EDO Date	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted XM Return original of incoming with response For direct reply* For appropriate action Rec'd Off. EDD Date	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted Return original of incoming with response For direct reply* For appropriate action Rec'd Off. EDO Date	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE Signature block omitted Return original of incoming with response For direct reply* For appropriate action Rec'd Off. EDO Date	

NAC 62

ACTION SLIP



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

May 8, 1980

John F. Ahearne, Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

Enclosed is a copy of House Resolution No 207 , adopted by the House of Representatives on May 5, 1980.

This Resolution is sent to you for your consideration as per the direction contained in said Resolution.

Very truly yours,

larles F. Mebus

Chief Clerk

CFM:mvq

Enclosure

5-yel 8008670032

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 207

Session of 1980

INTRODUCED BY MESSRS. J. L. WRIGHT, JR., MANMILLER, PICCOLA, YAHNER, STUBAN, COMEN, KLINGAMAN, MCKELVEY, RAPPAPORT, REED, DORB, A. C. POSTER, JR., GEESEY, BARBER, FREIND, MOEHLMANN, HOEFFEL AND WENGER, MARCH 18, 1980

AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE ON RULES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, APRIL 29, 1980

In the House of Representatives, March 18, 1980

- 1 WHEREAS. The House Select Committee THI found that the
- 2 decontamination and clean-up at TMI will continue over a period
- 3 of several years during which time the potential exists for
- 4 future radiological accidents; and
- 5 WHEREAS, The consittee also found that the dissemination of
- 6 clear and concise information to appropriate State agencies for
- 7 action after an accident is necessary to safeguard the health of
- 8 the citizens of the Commonwealth and that such action could be
- 9 provided by trained State personnel at each nuclear plant site;
- 10 therefore be it
- 11 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the
- 12 Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, through the
- 13 Bureau of Radiation Protection to establish a Division of
- 14 Nuclear Plant Inspectors to provide continuous operation and
- 15 safety inspection capabilities at all nuclear power plants in
- 16 the Commonwealth; and be it further

- 1 BESOLVED, That the House of Bepresentatives urge the Nuclear
- 2 Regulatory Commission to make the presence of such State
- 3 inspectors a condition of operating the facility; and be it
- 4 further
- 5 RESOLVED, THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REQUESTS THE
- 6 SECRETARY OF THE PENNSTLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
- 7 RESOURCES AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
- 8 TO INDICATE TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THREE
- 9 HILE ISLAND, IN WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS POLLOWING RECEIPT OF A
- 10 COPY OF THIS RESOLUTION, THE STATUS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET
- 11 FORTH HEREIN; AND BE IT PORTHER
- 12 RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to
- 13 the Secretary of Environmental Resources and the Chairman of the
- 14 Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROOM . 129 MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

May 9, 1980

John F. Ahearne, Director Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

Enclosed is a copy of House Resolution No.209 , adopted by the House of Representatives on May 5, 1980.

This Resolution is sent to you for your consideration as per the direction contained in said Resolution.

Very truly yours,

Charles F. Mebus

Chief Clerk

CFM:mvg

Enclosure

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No.

209

Session of 1980

INTRODUCED BY MESSES. J. L. WRIGHT, JR., MANMILLER, PICCOLA, YAHNER, STUBAN, COHEN, KLINGAMAN, MCKELVEY, RAPPAPORT, REED, A. C. POSTER, JR., BARBER, FREIND AND MOEHLMANN, MARCH 18, 1980

AS REPORTED PROM COMMITTEE ON RULES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, APRIL 29, 1980

In the House of Representatives, March 18, 1980

- 1 WHEREAS, The House Select Committee THI learned that there
- 2 existed at the time of the TMI incident, and immediately
- 3 thereafter, several logistic, transportation and evacuation
- 4 problems which the committee believes would not have existed had
- 5 the plant been located away from a densely populated area; and
- 6 WHEREAS, The committee agrees with the President's Commission
- 7 on the Accident at Three Mile Island, that one of the most
- 8 serious effects of the TMI incident was the physiological effect
- 9 on the health of the residents in the area which would have been
- 10 lessened had the plant not been located in an area of high
- 11 population density; therefore be it
- 12 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives arge the
- 13 Commonwealth, the Department of Environmental Resources, the
- 14 Pennsylvania Public Otility Commission and the Nuclear
- 15 Regulatory Commission to investigate the implementation of a
- 16 plan of nuclear plant siting which would provide for location of

- 1 plants in sparsely populated areas of the Commonwealth, such
- 2 plan to also include siting input by surrounding municipalities
- 3 within any potential danger area; and be it further
- 4 RESOLVED, THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REQUESTS THE
- 5 GOVERNOR OF THE COMMONWEALTH, THE SECRETARY OF THE PENNSYLVANIA
- 6 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE
- 7 PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE
- 8 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO INDICATE TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE
- 9 SELECT COMMITTEE ON THREE MILE ISLAND, IN WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS
- 10 POLLOWING RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THIS RESOLUTION, THE STATUS OF
- 11 THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND BE IT FURTHER
- 12 RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to
- 13 the Governor of the Commonwealth, the Secretary of Environmental
- 14 Resources, the Chairman of the Public Utilities Commission and
- 15 the Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROOM . 129 MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

May 8, 1980

John F. Ahearne, Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

Enclosed is a copy of House Resolution No. 210 , adopted by the House of Representatives on May 5, 1980.

This Resolution is sent to you for your consideration as per the direction contained in said Resolution.

Very truly yours,

Charles F. Mebus

Chief Clerk

CFM:mvg

Enclosure

5-e 4008070039

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 210

Session of 1980

INTRODUCED BY MESSRS. J. L. WRIGHT, JR., MANMILLER, PICCOLA, YAHNER, STUBAN, COMES, KLINGAMAN, MCKELVEY, RAPPAPORT, A. C. POSTER, JR., BARBER, FREIND, MOEHLMANN, B. F. O'BRIEN, WENGER, HOEFFEL, COWELL, SCHMITT AND DORR, MARCH 18, 1980

AS REPORTED PROB COMMITTEE ON BULZS, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, APRIL 29, 1980

In the House of Representatives, March 18, 1980

- HEREAS, The House Select Consittee-THI learned that most of
- 2 the residents in the area of TMI and throughout the State were
- 3 basically unknowledgeable in the areas of operation of nuclear
- 4 power plants, the role of nuclear power to the overall energy
- 5 future of the United States, the basic science of nuclear energy
- 6 and the health effects of exposure to radiation sources; and
- 7 WHEREAS, The consittee realizes that existing nuclear power
- 8 plants will be operating for the foreseeable future, it is to
- 9 the benefit of the residents of Pennsylvania and the United
- 10 States to be informed of at least the minimal basics of nuclear
- 11 energy: therefore be it
- 12 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives arge the Muclear
- 13 Regulatory Commission to immediately institute a program for the
- 14 education of the citizens of the United States in the basic
- 15 aspects of nuclear energy, radiation and the role of nuclear
- 16 power in the foreseeable future; and be it further

- RESOLVED, THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REQUESTS THE
- 2 CHAIRMAN OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO INDICATE TO THE
- 3 CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THREE MILE ISLAND, IN
- 4 WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS POLLOWING RECZIPT OF A COPY OF THIS
- 5 RESOLUTION, THE STATUS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET PORTH HEREIN;
- 6 AND BE IT FURTHER
- 7 RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to
- 8 the Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.



ROOM . 129

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

May 8, 1980

John F. Ahearne, Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

Enclosed is a copy of House Resolution No. 211, adopted by the House of Representatives on May 5, 1980.

This Resolution is sent to you for your consideration as per the direction contained in said Resolution.

ery truly yours,

Charles F. Mebus

Chief Clerk

CFM:mvg

Enclosure

Deple 8008076041

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 211

Session of 1980

INTRODUCED BY MESSRS. J. L. WRIGHT, JR., MANMILLER, PICCOLA, YAHNER, STUBAN, COHEN, KLINGAMAN, MCKELVEY, RAPPAPORT, REED, DORR, A. C. FOSTER, JR., GEESEY, DININNI, BARBER, FREIND, MOZHLHANN AND HOZFFEL, MARCH 18, 1980

AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE OF RULES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, APRIL 29, 1980

In the House of Representatives, March 18, 1980

- 1 WHEREAS, The House Select Committee THI learned that there
- 2 was a serious deficiency of trained Nuclear Regulatory
- 3 Commission personnel at the TMI site at the time of the incident
- 4 to handle the fast moving events which occurred and that the
- 5 utility operating personnel were not trained to handle an
- 6 incident of the type which occurred; and
- 7 WHEREAS, The committee also learned that an incident of
- 8 similar nature had recently occurred at a nuclear power plant in
- 9 Ohio and that it was the opinion that the dissemination of
- 10 information on the incident could possibly have minimized the
- 11 effects of the TNI incident; and
- 12 WHEREAS, The consittee learned that the operating controls
- 13 and visual instrumentation in the control room of THI Unit 2
- 14 were designed and placed in such a manner that the operators on
- 15 duty at the time of the incident did not have immediate visual
- 16 or manual access to the necessary controls and instrumentation;

- 1 and
- 2 WHEREAS, The consittee feels that the operation of a nuclear
- 3 power plant requires highly trained operating personnel because
- 4 of the nature of and the dangers associated with nuclear energy;
- 5 therefore be it
- 6 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Muclear
- 7 Regulatory Commission to provide, at each operating nuclear
- 8 power plant, a continuous team of personnel trained in the
- 9 individual plant characteristics and emergency procedures and
- 10 that these personnel have the communications facilities
- 11 necessary to immediately be in contact with appropriate
- 12 officials of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the case of
- 13 accident; and be it further
- 14 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Buclear
- 15 Regulatory Commission to immediately review its procedures for
- 16 the dissemination of information, reports and corrective actions
- 17 taken at any facility as a result of operational malfunction
- 18 among all operating nuclear power plants under its jurisdiction;
- 19 and be it further
- 20 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives urge the Muclear
- 21 Regulatory Commission to review operation room physical
- 22 configurations to assure that operating personnel will have
- 23 immediate, direct access to all controls and instrumentation
- 24 necessary to properly respond to operating difficulties and
- 25 equipment malfunctions; and be it further
- 26 RESOLVED, THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REQUESTS THE
- 27 CHAISMAN OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO INDICATE TO THE
- 28 CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THREE MILE ISLAND, IN
- 29 WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THIS
- 30 RESOLUTION, THE STATUS OF THE RECORDENDATIONS SET PORTH HEREIN;

- 1 AND BE IT FURTHER
- 2 RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to
- 3 the Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Charles F. Nebu	s. Cutef	Clerk	COMPL DEADLINE	DATES 5/27/30	CONTROL NO 08980
Pa. House of Re	presentat	tves	ACKNOWLEDGMENT		DATE OF DOCUMENT
ra. mouse of	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		INTERIM REPLY		5/9/80
TO:					PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE
			FINAL REPLY DE	Longe	CHAIRMAN
Chairman Ahearn	•		FILE LOCATION 7	-10 m	OTHER:
DESCRIPTION LE	TTER ME	MO REPORT OTHER	SPECIAL INSTRUCTI	ONS OR REM	ARKS EDO-3960
concerning wast	S TON AGE	sting of TNI gastes			
consercial west	rs and Aes	iting of Mi gastus	NHSS to pr	roride in	put as necessary
consercial west	CLASSIFIED	DATA	NHSS to pa	roride te	put as necessary
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.	rs and Aes	DATA CLASSIFICATION	NMSS to pa	rortde te	put as necessary
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.	CLASSIFIED	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY	esev and		put as necessary
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO.	CLASSIFIED	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRO	esev and	202	put as necessary
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO:	CLASSIFIED DATE	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRD INFORMATION ROUTING	SECY 80-1	202	FINAL COPY
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO.	CLASSIFIED	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRO INFORMATION POUTING	SECY 80-16	XX2	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO:	CLASSIFIED DATE	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRO INFORMATION ROUTING DIFCAS CATRELL	SECY 80-16	XX2	FINAL COPY NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: EDO ADMIN & CORRES BRI EXT.
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO:	CLASSIFIED DATE	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRO INFORMATION ROUTING DITCES COTNELL RELIEF	SECY 80-16	XX2	T FINAL COPY NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: EDO ADMIN & CORRES BR
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO:	CLASSIFIED DATE	DATA CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY NSI RD FRO INFORMATION ROUTING DIFCAS CATRELL	SECT 80-1	VIEW DATE	PINAL COPY NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: DEDO ADMIN & CORRES BREET, EXT. COMMENTS, NOTIFY: EXT.

NRC FORM 232 (11-75) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE THIS COPY

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

	NRC SECS	RETARIAT	
	MAC SECH	TAHIAT	
TO: Commissio	ner		Date
XXX Exec. Dir./	Oper		Gen. Counsel
Cong Liais			Solicitor
Public Atta	nirs .		Secretary
			Inspector & Audito
			Policy Eveluation
Incoming Char	les Mebus	. Chief Cle	erk
From: House	isburg PA		
To Ahear		Date	5/0/00
Subject Submit	ts mesalu	tion #200	- TMI
repare reply for signatur			
Chairman	Sa Date	e due: May	25
	Sa Date	e due: May	25
Commissioner			25
Commissioner	PA, SECY, IA		25
Commissioner	PA, SECY, IA		25
Commissioner	PA, SECY, IA		25
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi	PA, SECY, IA	PE	25
Commissioner	PA, SECY, IA	PE	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi	PA, SECY, IA	PE	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi	PA, SECY, IA	PE	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL. Signature block omi XReturn original of in	PA, SECY, IA	PE	5/14/80
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL. Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action	PA, SECY, IA	PE Sponse Scotta	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL. Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action	PA, SECY, IA	PE	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL. Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action	PA, SECY, IA	PE Sponse Scotta	
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action or information	PA, SECY, IA	PE Recident Fate Times80-0985)	5/10/80
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL. Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action	PA, SECY, IA	PE Recident Fate Times80-0985)	5/10/80
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action or information	PA, SECY, IA	PE Recident Fate Times80-0985)	5/10/80
Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, SOL, Signature block omi XReturn original of in or direct reply* or appropriate action or information	PA, SECY, IA	PE Recident Fate Times80-0985)	5/10/80

ACTION SLIP

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

May 9, 1980

John F. Ahearne, Director No ulatory Commission V Jon, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

Enclosed is a copy of House Resolution No. 208, adopted by the House of Representatives on May 5, 1980.

This Resolution is sent to you for your consideration as per the direction contained in said Resolution.

Very truly yours,

Charles F. Mebus

Chief Clerk

CFM:mvg

Enclosure

D-pe 400 9070035 THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVALAL

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 208

Session of

INTRODUCED BY MESSRS. J. L. WRIGHT, MANNILLEE, PICCOLA, YAHNER, STUBAN, COHEN, KLINGABAN, ACKELVEY, BAPPAPORT, REED, DORR, A. C. POSTER, BARBER, B. P. O'BRIEN, PREIND, MOERLMANN AND SCHHITT, MARCH 18, 1980

AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE ON BULES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, APRIL 29, 1980

In the House of Representatives, March 13, 1980

- 1 WHEREAS, The House Select Committee THI, has found that the
- 2 disposal of radioactive waste from nuclear power plants is now a
- 3 serious problem and will become more serious as more plants now
- 4 under construction are put on line; and
- 5 WHEREAS, The committee learned that there is great concern by
- 6 the citizens residing in the areas down-river from TAI as to the
- 7 disposal of substantial amounts of radioactive water into the
- 8 Susquebanna Biver and the venting of radioactive gas into the
- 9 atmosphere; therefore be it
- 10 RESOLVED, That the General Assembly strongly urge the
- 11 President of the United States, the Muclear Regulatory
- 12 Commission and the Congress to open the presently existing
- 13 Pederal radioactive waste disposal sites for commercial waste
- is disposal; and be it further
- 15 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives strongly urge the
- 16 Nuclear Regulatory Commission to review existing facilities and

- proposals for the reprocessing of radioactive waste; and be it
- further
- 3 BESOLVED, That the House of Representatives continues to
- 4 maintain its position that no contaminated water from TMI be
- 5 dusped into the Susquehanna Piver and no radioactive gases be
- 6 vented from TSI without proper controls and that the Nuclear
- 7 Regulatory Commission continuously monitor the processing and
- 8 ultimate disposal of water and gas from TSI; and be it further
- 9 RESOLVED, THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REQUESTS THE
- 10 CHAIRSAN OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO INDICATE TO THE
- 11 CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THREE MILE ISLAND, IN
- 12 WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THIS
- 13 RESOLUTION, THE STATUS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET PORTH HEREIN;
- 14 AND BE IT FURTHER
- 15 RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to
- 16 the presiding officers of the House of Representatives and the
- 17 Senate of the United States Congress, the President of the
- 18 United States and the Director of the Nuclear Regulatory
- 19 Commission.