UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

4/0/81

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

. . .

DUKE POWER COMPANY

(William B. McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-369 50-370

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO CESG'S REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION OR REFERRAL

By its "...Objection to Memorandum and Order of Debruary 17, 1981 and Motion for Certification or Referral to the comparison mission," dated March 2, 1981, Carolina Environmental Study Group ("CESG"), Intervenor herein, has requested this Board to endorse an interlocutory appeal to the Commission from the subject Memorandum and Order, which denied CESG's attempt to have litigated certain additional contentions. The Board, during the recently concluded evidentiary hearings, smally extended the time within which Applicant and Staff could respond, to ten days after the record was closed. The record was closed during the March 25, 1981 conference call between the Board and parties. Applicant Duke Power Company hereby responds in opposition to CESG's motion.

There is, of course, no interlocutory appeal as of right from an order declining to admit one or more contentions, short of a total denial of a petition to intervene. 10 CFR §2.714a 1/

Footnote continued on next page.

8104090601

7 1981

APR

^{1/} The rule is explained and the authorities reviewed in <u>Gulf</u> <u>States Utilities Company</u> (River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2) ALAB-329, 3 NRC 607, 610 (1976). For more recent

Applicant is cognizant however that 10 CFR §2.730(f) does provide for such appeal when "necessary to prevent detriment to the public interest." Applicant submits that the Commission's Statement of Interim Policy regarding Class 9 Accidents 2/ was promulgated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act and the Atomic wergy Act and thus it cannot be said that the public interest has not been adequately considered. In the interim Policy Statement the Commission has exempted applications, such as McGuire, from its requirements. Inasmuch as the Commission has specifically addressed this matter, certification or referral is unnecessary.

It should be noted that Intervenor has had an opportunity to raise the issue, for the Commission has provided a forum upon the showing of special circumstance. The pleadings in the instant case and the Board's decision clearly demonstrate that Intervenor has made no such showing. This failure must weigh heavily against its request for certification or referral.

Footnote continued from previous page.

decisions to the same effect, see the two related decisions in <u>Houston Lighting and Power Company</u> (Allen's Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1) ALAB-585 and ALAM-586, 11 NRC 469 (1980) and 11 NRC 472 (1980), respectively.

2/ See Commission Statement of Interim Policy entitled "Nuclear Power Plant Accident Considerations Under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969," 45 Fed. Reg. 40101, June 13, 1980.

- 2 -

For the above stated reasons, Applicant requests Intervenor's motion be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Michael McGarry, MI DEBEVOISE & LIBERMAN 1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 857-9800

Of Counsel

. . .

William L. Porter Associate General Counsel DUKE POWER COMPANY

April 6, 1981

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of ,		
UUKE POWER COMPANY	Docket Nos.	50-369 50-370
(William B. McGuire Nuclear) Station, Units 1 and 2))		50-570

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "Applicant's Response T. CESG's Request For Certification Or Referral" dated April 6, 1981 in the captioned matter, have been served upon the following by deposit in the United States mail this 6th day of April, 1981.

Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Licensing Board Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Dr. Richard F. Cole Administrative Judge Administrative Judge Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Atomic Safety and Licensing Commission Atomic Safety and Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Board Panel Washington, D.C. 20555

Charlotte, North Carolina 28207 Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert M. Lazo, Esq. Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Edward G. Ketchen, Esq. Counsel for NRC Regulatory Staff Office of the Executive Legal Director Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

William L. Porter, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Duke Power Company Post Office Box 33189 Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

> Board Panel Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Carolina Environmental Study Group 854 Henley Place Charlotte North C

Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

. . . .

Dr. John M. Barry Department of Environmental Health Mecklenburg County 1200 Blythe Boulevard Charlotte, North Carolina 28203 Shelly Blum, Esq. 1402 Vickers Avenue Durham, North Carolina 27707

Chase R. Stephens Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Aliceback Al Jana

Michael McGarry, III