

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION V
1990 N. CALIFORNIA BOULEVARD
SUITE 202, WALNUT CREEK PLAZA
WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

February 26, 1981

Docket No. 50-312

Sacramento Municipal Utility District P. O. Box 15830 Sacramento, California 95813

Attention: Mr. John J. Mattimoe

Assistant General Manager and Chief Engineer

Gentlemen:

Thank you for your letter dated January 26, 1981, informing us of the steps you have taken to correct the items which we brought to your attention in our letter dated January 9, 1981. Your corrective actions will be verified during a future inspection.

It is noted, however, that in your response, you stated that Item B of the Notice of Violation should be withdrawn. The basis for your statement is that, "The governing operational document is the Technical Specifications when applicable, not 10 CFR." In reply we would state that in the present instance we are not of the opinion that a conflict exists between the Technical Specifications and 10 CFR. In particular, the license amendment implementing this Technical Specification merely had the effect of changing the required frequency for report submission from annually to monthly. In addition, the revised Technical Specification referred directly to 10 CFR 50.59(b) and did not change the requirement for a summary safety evaluation. Accordingly, your request for withdrawal of Item B of the Notice of Violation is denied.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

J/Ll Frews, Chief Reactor Operations Projects Branch