INTERIM REPOR	RT
Cont	Accession No.
Contract Program or Project Title: Distri	ibution Coefficients for Radionuclide
in Aquatic Environments	+
Subject of this Document: Quarterly Repo Mar 80/May 80	orts (2) Dec 79/Feb 80 &
Type of Document: Interim Contractor Repo	orts
Author(s):	
Date of Document: Received July 1980	
Responsible NRC Individual and NRC Office or	

This document was prepared primarily for preliminary or internal use. It has not received full review and approval. Since there may be substantive changes, this document should not be considered final.

> Prepared for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

8101270 201

NRC FIN No. B5749

#### QUARTERLY REPORT

## DECEMBER 1979 - FEBRUARY 1980

21.5

# DISTRIBUTION COEFFICIENTS FOR RADIONUCLIDES

### IN AQUATIC ENVIRONMENTS

Prepared For The

Division of Safeguards, Fuel Cycle and Environmental Research U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRC FIN No. B5749

Laboratory of Radiation Ecology College of Fisheries University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195

### DISTRIBUTION COEFFICIENTS FOR RADIONUCLIDES IN AQUATIC ENVIRONMENTS

Laboratory of Radiation Ecology WH-10 University of Washington

1 December 1979 - 29 February 1980

This project was initiated in August 1976 to obtain new Introduction and better information for predicting the fate of transuranic elements, particularly americium and plutonium, in aquatic environments. The program has since been expanded to include the isotopes <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>106</sup>Ru, <sup>137</sup>Cs and 244 Cm. Our general approach has been to determine distribution coefficients  $(K_ds)$  for these radionuclides in constant shaking experiments using <63 m sediments and filtered water from a variety of aquatic environments. These experiments showed that the  $K_d$  values for the above radionuclides may vary significantly among sediment-water tems from different environments. A major research objective of the present contract is to determine how changes in selected environmental parameters can affect the distribution coefficients. During the past quarter we have used sediment-water systems from four locations in the Hudson River Estuary to study the effect of salinity and increased concentrations of selected organic ligands on the distribution coefficients of <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>106</sup>Ru, <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am. We have also evaluated a liquid scintillation counting technique to measure 244 Cm in both the dissolved and particulate phases of experimental systems.

This is the second quarterly report for the fourth year of this project.

<u>Hudson River Estuary Sediment-Water Systems</u> Distribution coefficients were determined for  ${}^{57}$ Co,  ${}^{106}$ Ru,  ${}^{137}$ Cs and  ${}^{241}$ Am for four different sedimentwater systems from the Hudson River Estuary. Water and <63µm sediment samples from mp. 0.1 (S=21°/°°), mp. 18.6 (S=18°/°°), mp. ... (S=3.3°/°°) and mp. 60.0 (S=0.3°/°°) were used for constant shaking experiments at 4°C and a sediment concentration of 200 mg/1. Sodium azide (NaN<sub>3</sub>) was added as a biological poison at a concentration of 0.1% weight/volume NaN<sub>3</sub>. The average distribution coefficient obtained at the four stations for each of the radionuclides is shown in Table 1.

After the spiked sediment-water systems were allowed to equilibrate for approximately 125 hours individual organic ligands were added to experimental containers from mp. 0.1, 18.6 and 43.3. The organic ligands, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; 1-mitroso - 2-maphthol; 1,10 phenanthroline; humic acid or glycolic acid, were added at concentrations of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$ M. This is equivalent to 0.25 - 1.5 x  $10^{-6}$ g C/ml (0.25 - 1.5 ppm). Samples were collected at four different times, 21 - 165 hours, after the addition of ligands for determination of distribution coefficients. The results of these calculations are presented in Tables 2 - 5.

These data will be interpreted in the progress report for the third quarter.

<u>Measurement of <sup>244</sup>Cm</u> Preliminary observations have been made to evaluate a liquid scintillation technique for measuring <sup>244</sup>Cm. The proposed experimental method consists of introducing <sup>244</sup>Cm as the only radionuclide in

2

a constant shaking sediment-water system. Five ml sub-samples are filtered through 0.45µm Millipore filters. The filtrate is acidified with 1/10 volume concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  and then diluted tenfold with distilled  $H_2SO_4$ . Four mls of the solubilized filter samples and 4 mls of filtrate are counted in 10 ml of scintillation cocktail (3a70B, Research Products International). Samples prepared in the above manner and spiked with <sup>244</sup>Cm immediately prior to counting have been evaluated for counting efficienty. For acidified samples the counting efficienty on a Packard Tri-Carb Liquid Scintillation Spectrometer is evuivalent for both dissolved and particulate samples. In addition, the counting efficiency is nearly 100% and both sample types can be counted in the same channels.

During the next quarter this technique will be used to determine  $K_d$  values of  $^{244}$ Cm in sediment-water systems from the Hudson River Estuary.

3

	Radionuclides	57 <sub>Co</sub>	106 <sub>Ru</sub>	137 <sub>CS</sub>	241 <sub>Am</sub>
Station		Average K <sub>d</sub> ** m1/g x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	Average K <sub>d</sub> n m1/g x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	Average K <sub>d</sub> n m1/g x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	Average K <sub>d</sub> n m1/g x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
mp. 0.1	7	3.63 ± 0.63	6 4.94 ± 0.22	6 6.35 ± 0.63	7 >2.3
mp. 18.6	7	2.92 ± 0.62	7 3.23 ± 0.43	7 5.82 ± 0.70	7 2.55 ± 0.38
mp. 43.3	7	9.04 ± 1.03	6 3.22 ± 0.40	6 1.74 ± 0.08	7 >2.1
mp. 59.8	2	52.1 ± 2.5	4 2.55 ± 0.16	5 1.66 ± 0.13	4 1.35 ± 0.29

Table 1. Average K<sub>d</sub> values for <sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>106</sup>Ru, <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am in sediment-water systems from four stations in the Hudson River Estuary.

- \* n = The total number of K values determined for a radionuclide in a given sediment-water
  system "at equilibrium".
- \*\* Average K<sub>d</sub> is the mean for all determinations. Error terms are one standard deviation from the mean d for replicate determinations.

Table 2. Distribution Coefficients for  ${}^{57}Co$  (K x  $10^{-2}$  ml/g) in sediment-water systems from the Hudson River Estuary at different times following the addition of organic ligands. Error terms are two standard deviations of propagated counting errors.

			Organic	Compound		
Station	Time (Hours)	EDTA	NN	PM	Humic	GA
			1007	707 . 117	6.36 ± 1.20	4.21 ± 1.24
mp 0.1	21	3.92 ± 1.21	3671 ± 1297	707 ± 117		
	44	3.44 ± 1.17	2849 ± 2255	603.5± 64.2	4.31 ± 1.18	4.48 ± 1.19
	92	3.03 ± 1.00	2600 ± 1338	695 ± 75.9	3.19 ± 1.05	3.15 ± 1.05
	165	2.45 ± 0.93	893 ± 391	428 ± 29.93	3.36 ± 1.19	2.35 ± 1.03
mp 18.6	21	1.75 ± 0.91	9159 ± 6026	1124 ± 209	4.15 ± 0.85	2.82 ± 1.06
mp 10.0				1215 ± 148	5.13 ± 1.23	3.34 ± 1.10
	44	1.95 ± 1.02				2.64 ± 0.97
	92	$1.80 \pm 0.87$	2973 ± 1478	1193 ± 277	3.85 ± 1.14	
	165	1.28 ± 0.84	5239.7± 3544	976 ± 91.08	2.86 ± 1.06	3.60 ± 0.95
mp 43.3	21	4.22 ± 1.04	>3424	1548 ± 418	11.73 ± 1.58	8.73 ± 1.
	44	4.41 ± 0.99	2509 ± 1273	1352 ± 243	11.58 ± 1.53	10.46 ± 1.5
			5573 ± 4421	1178 ± 242	12.50 ± 1.44	8.47 ± 1.3
	92 165	3.46 ± 0.92 2.65 ± 0.89	2447 ± 959		10.29 ± 1.40	8.43 ± 1.4
			ine tetraacetic			
		1-nitroso - 2				
			throline monohyd	Irate		
		humic acid				
	GA:	glycolic acid				

Table 3. Distribution Coefficients for  $106_{Ru}(K_d \times 10^{-3} \text{ ml/g})$  in sediment-water systems from the Hudson River Estuary at different times following the addition of organic ligands. Error terms are two standard deviations of propagated counting erros.

	Time	Organic Compound				
Station		EDTA	NN	PN	Humic	GA
mp 0.1	21	5.91 ± 0.36	5.72 ± 0.35	6.46 ± 0.42	6.12 ± 0.39	5.93 ± 0.33
	44	5.14 ± 0.33	5.31 ± 0.38	6.80 ± 0.43	6.03 ± 0.36	6.59 ± 0.39
	92	5.09 ± 0.36	4.65 ± 0.30	6.04 ± 0.39	5.06 ± 0.35	4.98 ± 0.32
	165	4.14 ± 0.31	4.27 ± 0.31	5.63 ± 0.38	5.11 ± 0.35	4.75 ± 0.34
						a or 0.04
mp 18.6	21	3.54 ± 0.26	3.47 ± 0.23	4.12 ± 0.28	3.33 ± 0.21	3.05 ± 0.24
	44	3.48 ± 0.27	3.07 ± 0.28	4.36 ± 0.27	3.42 ± 0.25	3.51 ± 0.24
	92	2.89 ± 0.26	2.65 ± 0.21	3.67 ± 0.28	2.97 ± 0.23	3.42 ± 0.23
	165	2.74 ± 0.23	2.38 ± 0.18	3.25 ± 0.36	2.80 ± 0.21	2.96 ± 0.21
			•			
mp 43.3	21	3.39 ± 0.29	3.40 ± 0.25	4.45 ± 0.32	3.85 ± 0.26	3.52 ± 0.22
	44	3.18 ± 0.27	3.35 ± 0.25	4.73 ± 0.32	$3.79 \pm 0.32$	3.75 ± 0.29
	92	3.00 ± 0.25	3.07 ± 0.24	4.25 ± 0.30	3.41 ± 0.25	3.30 ± 0.25
	165	3.03 ± 0.23	2.83 ± 0.24	3.47 ± 0.26	3.01 ± 0.23	2.77 ± 0.24
	EDTA:	ethylene diamin		acid		
	NN:	1-nitroso - 2- 1,10 - phenant		drate		
	PM: Humic:	humic acid	in of the honory			
	GA:	glycolic acid				

Table 4. Distribution Coefficients for  $^{137}$ Cs (K<sub>d</sub>x10<sup>-2</sup> ml/g) in sediment-water systems from the Hudson River Estuary at different times following the addition of organic ligands. Error terms are two standard deviations of propagated counting errors.

		Organic Compound						
Station	Time	EDTA	NN	PM	Humic	GA		
				C 00 . 0 05	7 02 + 0 07	7 00 + 0 07		
mp 0.1	21	6.62 ± 0.76	7.08 ± 0.85	6.93 ± 0.85	7.92 ± 0.87	7.98 ± 0.87		
	44	7.12 ± 0.80	7.50 ± 0.87	7.03 ± 0.87	7.84 ± 0.87	7.69 ± 0.87		
	92	6.66 ± 0.79	6.55 ± 0.80	7.88 ± 0.83	7.23 ± 0.82	7.03 ± 0.81		
	165	5.89 ± 0.81	7.73 ± 0.89	8.00 ± 0.95	6.62 ± 0.87	6.99 ± 0.84		
mp 18.6	21	5.96 ± 0.71	5.80 ± 0.75	6.26 ± 0.77	6.30 ± 0.72	5.40 ± 0.73		
	44	6.91 ± 0.83	6.44 ± 0.80	6.33 ± 0.74	7.53 ± 0.82	6.70 ± 0.83		
	92	6.13 ± 0.84	5.59 ± 0.76	6.79 ± 0.82	7.08 ± 0.82	6.42 ± 0.75		
	165	5.97 ± 0.69	6.30 ± 0.73	6.55 ± 0.92	6.91 ± 0.80	6.20 ± 0.74		
mp 43.3	21	16.92 ± 1.46	17.17 ± 1.34	18.91 ± 1.47	17.97 ± 1.53	16.10 ± 1.11		
	44	17.77 ± 1.50	17.43 ± 1.39	18.03 ± 1.47	19.19 ± 1.60	18.21 ± 1.44		
	92	17.05 ± 1.48	17.90 ± 1.40	17.31 ± 1.37	19.19 ± 1.47	16.50 ± 1.36		
	165	18.86 ± 1.47	18.36 ± 1.46	18.18 ± 1.40	17.48 ± 1.42	17.36 ± 1.49		
	EDTA:	ethylene diar	nine tetraacetic	acid				
	NN:	1-nitroso - 2						
	PM:		throline monohyd	irate				
	Humic:							
	GA:	glycolic acid						

1. 8.

Table 5. Distribution Coefficients for  $^{241}$ Am (K x  $10^{-4}$ ml/g) in sediment-water systems from the Hudson River Estuary at different times following the addition of organic ligands. Error terms are two standard deviations of propagated counting errors.

	Time	Organic Compound						
Station		) EDTA	NN	PM	Humic	GA		
mp 0.1	21	12.34 ± 3.01	>73	19.9 ± 3.4	16.7 ± 10.4	>26		
	44	8.94 ± 1.70	25.8 ± 23.1	>44	>82	>49		
	92	7.64 ± 3.06	>69	>38	>31	>50		
	165	18.3 ±16.9	>25	>64	35.4 ± 26.1	>27		
mp 18.6	21	1.72 ± 0.33	43.6 ± 29.0	>40	16.0 ± 4.2	>20		
	44	1.60 ± ( 26	19.4 ± 13.7	43.9 ± 26.8	11.16 ± 5.13	45.8 ± 42.8		
	92	0.70 ± 0.09	>26	23.1 ± 20.3	11.36 ± 6.32	31.9 ± 29.2		
	165	0.73 ± 0.10	>40	45.5 ± 35.5	14.74 ± 4.22	48.2 ± 42.5		
mp 43.3	21	1.38 ± 0.25	21.0 ± 17.6	17.5 ± 13.0	7.41 ± 1.02	34.5 ± 22.1		
	44	0.91 ± 0.14	>51	>38	3.42 ± 1.26	18.2 ± 13.6		
	92	0.59 ± 0.10	>51	23.0 ± 20.0	4.72 ± 1.35	>21		
	165	0.57 ± 0.06	32.7 ± 23.6	40.8 ±,27.5	3.78 ± 0.88	26.8 ± 18.8		
	EDTA:	athylene diamin	e tetraacetic a	cid				
	NN:	1-nitroso - 2-n	aphtho1					
	Pt1:	1,10 - phenanth	roline monohydra	ate				
	Humic:	humic acid						
	GA:	glycolic acid						