LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 8	G A E I H 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 6 57 CAT 58
CON'T 0 1 7 8	REPORT SOURCE L 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 6 0 1 12 1 15 8 0 8 1 2 2 3 8 0 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	On 12-15-80, with Unit 2 in cold shutdown for refueling Bechtel Power
0 3	[Co. notified GPCo that the reactor vessel head insulation structure did]
0 4	[not conform to seismic class I requirements and would require modifica-]
0 5	tion. This event concerns only Unit 2. There was no effect on public
0 6	health or safety as a result of this event. This event is nonrepeti-
0 7	Ltive.
08	
7 8	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE SUB
	17) REPORT 8 0 - 1 6 3 - 20 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE COMPONENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB. PRIME COMPONENT MANUFACTURER FIRE Z 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 0 Y 23 N 24 N 25 T 2 8 0 26 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27
10	The vessel head insulation as supplied by Transco Co. was reviewed and
11	Lanalyzed by Bechtel and was found not to conform with seismic class I
1 2	requirements. Modifications were proposed but were not incorporated
1 3	during construction. The insulation structure will be modified.
14	9 80
	FACILITY STATUS WAS DISCOVERY DISCOV
	9 10 12 13 44 45 46 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) 1 7 (33) 1 7 (34) NA NA NA
7 8	9 10 11 44 45 80 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES
1 7	NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) NA 80
[1]a]	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
7 8	9 11 12 80 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43)
1 9	TYPE DESCRIPTION NA NA
2 0	PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION 45 NA 8101050460
7 8	NAME OF PREPARER C. L. Coggin. Supt. Plt. Eng. Serv. PHONE: 912-367-7781

LER #: 50-366/1980-163 Licensee: Georgia Power Company Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch Docket #: 50-366

Narrative Report for LER 50-366/1980-163

On Friday, 12-12-80, Bechtel Power Corporation notified the plant site that there was a potential problem with Unit 2 reactor head insulation structure in that it may not meet seismic class I requirements.

This came about during review of pictures taken at the site of the insulation for design of the refueling bellows insulation. On 12-13/14-80, the site reviewed the drawings and information from Bechtel, and on Monday, 12-15-80, the site notified Bechtel of the results of the investigation. Bechtel then confirmed that indeed the insulation structure did not meet seismic class I requirements and that the structure would need modifications as originally proposed by Bechtel during the Unit 2 construction phase.

The insulation structure will be modified before Unit 2 startup scheduled in early February.

This condition does not affect Unit 1 which has a similar insulation because Unit 1 has been verified to have already been modified to conform to seismic class I requirements.

There was no effect on public health and safety as a result of this event. The cause of the event was an oversight in modifying the insulation structure during construction of Unit 2. No other cause or details of this oversight is available or known to exist at this time.

No further corrective action other than that stated above is planned or deemed necessary.