TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANTS
SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING AND MITIGATION REPORT

HNP SMR-8
March 31, 1980

Knoxville, Tennessee October 1980

CONTENTS

						Page
Backg	ground and Highlig	hts				v
1.	General Status o	f Employment as of March 31, 1980				1
II.	General Characte	ristics of Movers			í	3
III.	Secondary Employ	ment				5
IV.	Functional Area	Impacts and Mitigation Actions				6
Table	S					
		tes of Population and Population Change tes of Population and Population Change				6
	between	n April 30, 1976 - March 31, 1980 Systems' Report of Hartsville Nuclear			٠	7
	Plants	Direct Impact Students				8 9
		ucation Mitigation Payments				10
		Evaluation				12
	Table 7. Summar	y of TVA Mitigation Expenditures as of				
	March	31, 1980	*	*		18
Appen	díxes					
	Appendix A - Deta	ailed Survey Results				
	Table A- 1. Table A- 2.	Town of Current Residence				20
	Table A- 3.	Employees		٠		22
	Table A- 4.	Population by County and Community . Comparison of Survey Results with				23
	Idole a 4.	Projections for Selected Parameters .				24
	Table A- 5.	Mover Summary				25
	Table A- 6.	Macon - Employees Living Within the				26
	Table A- 7.	Smith - Employees Living Within the				26
	Table A- 8.	County	*	*	*	27
	Table A- 9.	County		*	*	28
		County				29
	Table A-10.	Wilson - Employees Living Within the County				30

CONTENTS (continued)

Table A-11.		
Table A-12.	Gallatin - Employees I	31
Table A-13.	Hartsville - Employees Vi	32
Table A-14.	Hendersonville - Fm-1	33
Table A-15.	Lafayette - Employees V.	34
Table A-16.	Lebanon - Employees Line	35
Table A-17.	Nashville - Employees I	36
		37
Appendix B - Secon Harts Area	dary Socioeconomic Impact Monitoring of ville Nuclear Plant Environmental Impact	
		8
Appendix D - Employ	ic Level Defined	1
	yee Questionnaire	2
Exhibits		
Figure I - Towns Co	ntaining Construction Employees 45	

HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANTS SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING AND MITIGATION REPORT

Background and Highlights

For permits to construct the four-unit Hartsville Nuclear Plants located in Smith and Trousdale Counties, Tennessee, TVA agreed to certain monitoring and mitigation actions to reduce the socioeconomic impact in the area expected to accommodate movers. The impact area is defined as Trousdale, Smith, Macon, Sumner, and Wilson Counties, Tennessee. TVA also agreed to report to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission the results of the monitoring efforts and mitigation actions taken to accommodate the impacts.

This is the eighth in a series of semiannual reports which will be submitted during construction of the Hartsville project, including three reporting periods following issuance of the operating license for the last unit. This report is for the period October 1, 1979, through March 31, 1980.

Employment dropped from 6,340 to 5,436 this period. The number of movers and population influx to all five impact counties was lower than at the end of the previous reporting period. The mover rate was 32 percent for a total of 1,743 movers.

TVA has made mitigation payments totaling approximately \$7 million.

More than \$3 million of this amount was for employee transportation.

HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANTS

SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING AND MITIGATION REPORT

I. General Status of Employment as of March 31, 1980

A survey of all TVA employees as of March 31, 1980, was conducted during May of 1980. The timelag between employment and survey enables employees who moved to make personal adjustments and should provide a better picture of employee distribution, family characteristics, and housing choice. The employment had dropped to 5,436 employees and information was available for 5,286 employees (97 percent). Of those who provided information, 1,696 employees indicated they had moved for a mover rate of about 32 percent (table A-1). Information on the family status and housing choice was obtained for those who moved (table A-5).

The data on movers have been extrapolated on a ratio basis to the total 5,436 employees which results in an estimated total number of movers of 1,743. Of the total, 1,377 movers located in the five impact counties; and 366 moved to other counties. As shown in table A-1 and figure 1, local workers (nonmovers) are commuting to the project from a much more widespread area.

Information on the distribution of both movers and residents is found in tables A-1, A-2, and figure 1. Additional information on the distribution and characteristics of movers is continued in tables A-3 through A-18.

Table A-1 lists the town of current residence. This table is based on "Mailing Address" locations instead of jurisdictional locations. All employees are asked to provide a place name even though they may not live within any municipal limits. Figure 1 is based on this table. Table A-3 provides the movers' distribution which is based on city limits for the seven communities to which at least 50 employees moved.

Table A-2 shows both the origin and location of all employees. The diagonal line of the "From-To" tabulation shows resident employees, for example, resident employees from Trousdale County would be found by locating Trousdale County in the "From" column and going across the table to the "To" column which also reads Trousdale County. In this survey 204 residents of Trousdale County were employed at the end of March 1980. Movers are shown by any other data in this table. For example, 8 employees moved from Other Kentucky Counties to Macon County.

Table A-4 of this report series contains a comparison of the survey results with the projections contained in the environmental impact statement (EIS). For a workforce of approximately 5,400 employees, 54 percent had been projected to be movers. However, the survey found a mover rate of 32 percent. Summer County is still receiving the largest share of movers (30 percent) compared with the projected 20 percent. Macon County is receiving the smallest number of movers at 8 percent which is close to the projected 10 percent. The mover

rate to both Smith and Trousdale Counties is still much lower than projected. Smith County received only 12 percent compared with the projected 20 percent and the mover rate to Trousdale County remained at only 16 percer. compared with the projected 30 percent. The mover rate to Wilson County remained low at 14 percent compared with the projected 20 percent. Although Sumner County is receiving the largest number of movers, the survey shows that the number of movers dropped from 554 to 518 since the last report. The number of movers to Sumner County is close to that projected, but all other counties are receiving far fewer movers than expected. Mover projections were made only for the five impact counties, but 275 employees moved to other counties. The number of movers from Davidson County dropped from 129 movers to 91. The survey revealed that 192 employees moved from Davidson County and of those 150 moved to impact counties.

II. General Characteristics of Movers

Total Project--Table A-5 contains data on family status and characteristics and housing choice for all movers. Table A-4 contains a comparison of the extrapolation of some of these results with projections. As of March 31, 1980, 76 percent of those employees who moved brought their families and 24 percent moved without their families. School-age children per family averaged 0.9 compared with the projected 1.0, and the total average family size was 3.4 compared with the projected 3.0. The survey of housing choice shows 56 percent are living in houses, 23 percent in mobile homes, 14 percent in apartments, 3 percent in motel and sleeping rooms, and 5 percent in other accommodations. The "Other" category includes campers, motor homes, and vans.

Impact Counties and Communities

Data on ramily status and characteristics and housing choice for movers into each impact county are contained in tables A-6 through A-10 and for movers within the city limits of each of the impact communities containing at least 50 movers in tables A-11 through 17.

Other Counties and Communities

One part of the computer program which processes the survey data was designed to print out separate reports for counties and communities other than those identified in the impact analysis when a certain number of movers located there. The level chosen for communities was 50 and for counties 100. These were judged to be small enough to serve as an "early warning system" for those jurisdictions if the actual location pattern varied significantly from the projected location pattern. These include Hendersonville (70) and Nashville (52) as shown in table A-3. Hendersonville with an approximate population of 28,000 and Nashville with 469,000 would not be affected by an employment change of this magnitude. Detailed survey results are shown in tables A-16 and A-17. Two earlier surveys contained tables for Davidson County; but because the number of movers dropped below 100 in this survey, this report does not include the detailed survey results for Davidson County,

III. Secondar, Employment

Secondary employment impact is defined as a temporary increase in the trade and service-related resident population which can be attributed to the Hartsville project. If the population increase in a county is greater than that arising directly from the project or from other primary employment increases, the possibility of secondary employment exists.

The survey results of March 31, 1980, revealed a decline in project-related population in all impact area counties from the September 30, 1979, survey (see table 1). Macon, Sumner, and Trousdale Counties experienced population declines according to the estimates while Smith and Wilson Counties showed population increases. The declining project-related populations remove the possibility of any secondary employment impact during this period.

Table 2 contains data for the period April 30, 1976, to March 31, 1980, covering the period from start of construction to the date of the most current survey. Only two counties, Macon and Smith, have experienced population change which cannot be explained by other primary employment. Macon County experienced a decline in total employment during the period which eliminates the possibility of any project-related secondary employment there. Smith County, on the other hand, experienced a nonproject-related primary increase in employment, but was not sufficient to explain all the change in population. However, the unexplained change in population was only 1.3 percent of county population which is well within the error of estimate.

ESTIMATES OF POPULATION AND POPULATION CHANGE

FOR MACON, SMITH, SUMNER, TROUSDALE, AND WILSON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE FOR MONITORING SECONDARY SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS OF HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT CONSTRUCTION SEPTEMBER 30, 1979 - MARCH 31, 1980

	Population Estimate 9/30/79	Population Estimate 3/31/80	Change in Population Estimate _9/30/79 - 3/31/80	Change in Project* Related Population 9/30/79 - 3/31/80
Macon County	15,793	15,212	- 581	-2424
Smith County	13,858	14,176	318	-19
Sumner County	80,488	78,511	-1,977	-71
Trousdale County	5,364	5,278	-86	-60
Wilson County	50,146	50,930	784	- 5lı

*TVA Employee Surveys.

Population estimates by Community Economics Projects Group.

Community Economics Projects Group 10/3/80

ESTIMATES OF POPULATION AND POPULATION CHANGE

FOR MACON, SMITH, SUMMER, TRUUSDALE, AND WILSON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE

FOR MONITORING SECONDARY SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS OF HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT CONSTRUCTION

APRIL 30, 1976 - MARCH 31, 1980

	Population Estimate 4/30/76	Population Estimate 3/31/80	Change in Population Estimate 4/30/76 - 3/31/80	Change in Project* Related Population 4/30/76 - 3/31/80	Remainder of Nonproject Related Population as of 3/31/80	Change in Population Possible From Other Primary Employment	Change in Population Unexplained
Macon County	13,602	15,212	1,610	435	1,175	0	1,175
Smith County	12,643	14,176	1,533	543	990	810	180
Sumner County	69,544	78,511	8,967	1,525	7,442	19,728	
Trousdale County	5,232	5,278	46	658	0		
Wilson County	45,709	50,930	5,221	707	4,514	10,620	

*TVA Employee Surveys.

Population estimates by Community Economics Projects Group.

Community Economics Projects Group 10/3/80

Census of population data for 1980 will be available prior to the next report and all data will be revised.

IV. Functional Area Impacts and Mitigation Actions

Education—Monitoring direct impact or education continued to occur primarily through reports from the seven school districts and the Tennessee Department of Education. School districts and the department submit reports in October and May of each school year showing the names of students whose parents are employed at the Hartsville Nuclear Plants, the school previously attended, school and grade in which enrolled, and essential attendance and transportation information. A summary of school districts reports is shown in Table 3. All school districts reported a smaller direct impact student enrollment than anticipated for school year 1979—80.

Table 3
School System Reports of Hartsville Nuclear Plants
Direct Impact Students

March 31, 1980

School System	Number of (of Children Movers	Total
Macon County	221	120	341
Smith County	289	61	350
Sumner County	502	274	776
Trousdale County	230	79	309
Wilson County	208	30	230
Lebanon City	124	13	137
Watertown City	12	_4	16
T	OTAL 1,586	581	2,167

TVA, the Tennessee Department of Education, and the seven local school districts in the impact area continue to operate under agreements for alleviating impacts on local school districts.

Under these agreements, TVA provides funds for classrooms and schoolbuses. Education mitigation payments this period totaled \$26,325.

Table 4

TVA Education Mitigation Payments

(October I, 1979 - March 31, 1980)

School System	Facilities	Buses	Total
Macon County			-
Smith County			-
Sumner County		\$17,392	\$17,392
Trousdale County	\$8.933		\$ 8,933
Wilson County			
Lebanon City			
Watertown City			-
TOTAL	\$ 8,933	\$17,392	\$26,325

Note: Public Law 81-874 assistance is available to the school systems to offset additional operating cost.

All school systems in the Hartsville Nuclear Plants project area are eligibile to participate in School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas, Title I, Public Law 81-874 programs in fiscal year 1980. Wilson County became eligible for the first time in fiscal year 1978, Macon County in fiscal year 1977, and Trousdale in fiscal year

1976; Smith County, Summer County, and Lebanon City were eligible and participated prior to fiscal year 1975. Watertown Special School District did not participate in fiscal year 1980. The number of students claimed by the four participating school systems in fiscal year 1976 was 983. In fiscal year 1977, 1,573 students were claimed by five participating school systems. In fiscal year 1978, the six participating school systems claimed 2,467 students; in fiscal year 1979, 3,026 were reported. Even though there is an increase of 174 students for fiscal year 1980, over fiscal year 1979, there is an apparent leveling off of TVA-related students.

According to the school systems in the impact counties and the State Department of Education, TVA is continuing to meet its commitments; and the school systems are able to serve the direct impact students.

Housing--The objective of the Hartsville Nuclear Plants housing mitigation program has been to assist in the development of mobile home parks in Macon and Trousdale Counties as a base for temporary housing demanded by TVA construction workers. One hundred sixty-nine (169) mobile home spaces have been developed through this program. The Shady Grove Mobile Home Park in Hartsville, Tennessee, has 93 spaces. At present there are 78 units occupied. The Hillsdale Mobile Home Park, located approximately seven alles from the construction site, has 43 of their 76 units presently occupied. Due to financial difficulty, the Upper Cumberland Housing Development Corporation is in the planning stages of possible liquidation of the Hillsdale Mobile Home Park.

Local Planning and Coordination Assistance—TVA is continuing to assist the local planning commissions affected by this project.

Letters of agreement covering half of their cost for Tennessee State Planning Office services for a period from October 1 each year through September 30 of the following calendar year has been executed for the major impact communities. The need for additional assistance to the local planning commissions is evaluated and negotiated on a year-to-year basis as appropriate. A summary of these payments are shown on table 5.

TVA continues to provide annual support for the Hartsville Project Coordination Committee for professional staff services. The committee is composed of the chief elected officials in the five-county impact area (five county judges and the mayors of the incorporated towns within the five counties). To date, \$225,000 has been provided to the committee with funding at \$12,500 per quarter.

Water and Sewer--The city of Lafayette requested TVA's assistance with water system problems that they felt were directly related to the impact of population increases resulting from the nuclear plant construction. Careful investigation has revealed that some of Lafayette's water problems have probably existed for quite some time. Actually the number of inmoving workers and their families were much less than had been originally projected for the Lafayette area during peak employment at the plant and no justification for assistance to Lafayette could be found.

TABLE 5
TVA LOCAL PLANNING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

Planning Commissions	Amount Paid This Period	Total Paid to date
Hartsville-Trousdale County	\$ 1,000	\$ 4,308
Smith County	688	5,313
Sumner County	2,375	6,125
Wilson County	3,000	6,750
Carthage		3,252
South Carthage	625	2,444
Gordonsville		476
Lafayette		1,221
Gallatin		6,256
Lebanon		6,725
Hendersonville	744	6,725
Tennessee State Planning Office		20,000
TOTAL	\$ 8,432	\$69,595

Of the \$75,000 originally paid to Carthage for improvements to their water treatment facilities, \$66,097 has been expended thus far for these purposes.

Health and Medical Sarvices—TVA has provided technical assistance to Trousdale and Smith Counties in the operation and expansion of their county ambulance services. These two counties are the primary and secondary emergency medical backup systems for Eartsville Nuclear Plants. TVA will continue to assess the health needs of the 5-county impact area; however, it is not anticipated that significant additional expenditures will be required.

Traffic—In accordance with an agreement between TVA and the Tennessee Department of Transportation, the department has been supplying TVA with traffic counts for 12 locations on a quarterly basis beginning in October 1975. With approximately 5,400 employees, peak—hour traffic on Highway 25 was 440 vehicles per hour (VPH) east of the site and 920 VPH west of the site. Counts for other key highway segments are shown in the following table. Peak—hour volumes at all these locations are within the limits of acceptable volumes for evel of service D operation of these highways (see appendix B). In an effort to mitigate the peak—hour traffic volumes, TVA is continuing the employee transportation program (commuter vans and buses).

Table 6

TRAFFIC EVALUATION

(October 1975 - March 1980)

	Number of Vehicles During Peak Hour*		TVA Commuter Traffic	
	1975	1980		
Highway 25 East of Plant	120	440	340	
Highway 25 West of Plant	120	920	850	
Highway 25 West of Hartsville	290	720	530	
Highway 25 West of 231 Junction	210	500	280	
Highway 231 South of 25 Junction	150	280	180	
Highway 231 North of Lebanon	150	300	110	

^{*}The peak hour occurred during the 3-4 p.m. hour.

Employee Tresportation-During this period, one bus was discontinued serving the Manchester area due to insufficient ridership. This brought the total number of buses operating to and from the Hartsville Nuclear Plant to 16 as follows: Nashville - seven buses; Gallatin and Lebanon - two buses each; and Lafayette, Portland, Hermitage, Mt. Juliet, and Murfreesboro - one bus each. The number of van pools dropped to 131 from 148 last period. Ridership increased from 47 percent last period to 56 percent of the employees on the day shift riding vans and buses to work. An estimated 1,067 cars are being kept off the road as a result of the TVA-sponsored van pools and buses.

TVA's expenditures for employee transportation for this period were approximately \$981,000.

Local Government Budgets—The impact on the budgets of local governments in the impact area of the Hartsville construction project is measured on an annual basis. This measurement is made by projecting the project—related revenues to be received by the local governments. The projected revenues and expenditures are then compared to determine whether deficits will occur. TVA then negotiates and executes contracts with local governments to provide payments to cover the amounts of any projected deficits. Payments made to local governments during this period are as follows:

Trousdale County	\$71,000
Macon County	22,786
Smith County	43,500
City of Gallatin	18,600
	\$155,886

In the previous reporting period, payments for local government budgets in the amounts of \$2,200 for the city of Hendersonville and \$900 for the town of Carthage were reported in error.

TVA continues to monitor local government impacts after the contracts are negotiated, and if additional impacts occur, TVA will renegotiate with the local governments in order to remove the deficit.

Local Recruitment and Training--A total cf 1,982 workers were local residents of the 5-county impact area, and 738 were residents of Davidson County. This indicates that local recruitment and training

initiatives are continuing to be successful in reducing the overall socioeconomic impact within the five counties. The steamfitter training program has graduated a total of 73 trainees who have all taken jobs at the Hartsville Nuclear Plant. Due to the leveling off in demand for steamfitters at the plant, the steamfitter training program has been discontinued. The boilermaker training program has graduated 79, of which 29 are presently at the Hartsville Nuclear Plant. Most of the other graduates are at other TVA plants in middle Tennessee (e.g., Gallatin and Johnsonville steam plants). These two programs were developed to help meet the demand for highly skilled craftsmen in critical skill manpower shortage areas and to lessen TVA's construction mover impact. Trainees in these programs are from the 16-county recruitment area.

Recreation -- Community recreation impacts were monitored through local contacts and field review. Technical assistance was provided to several communities for design of recreation facilities. Communities assisted during the reporting period include the following:

- Gordonsville Grading plan for development of Gordonsville City Park.
- 2. Hartsville Trousdale County
 - A. Architectural design assistance for renovation of Ward School Community Building.
 - B. Site design assistance for development for Kate Wilson School tennis courts.

- C. Site design assistance for development for Taylor's Landing Recreation Area.
- Macon County Site planning assistance for development of Macon County Park.
- 4. Summer County Site design assistance for development of Summer County Park.
- Lafayette Site design assistance for development of Key Jackson Park.
- Westmoreland Site design assistance for development of Westmoreland City Park.

Recreation mitigation assistance is also anticipated for Smith and Macon Counties to provide financial assistance for the construction of needed park facilities. Recreation Resources Program staff are currently working with local officials to implement these projects. TVA will continue to provide technical and financial assistance to offset any significant impacts on community recreation resources.

Hartsville Intergenerational Child Care Program—The contract to coordinate the program was signed on April 3, 1980, by the Executive Director of the Mid-Cumberland Human Resource Agency. The Tennessee Department of Human Services is also working closely with the program which is designed to supplement fixed incomes of area residents while it provides for increased child care news around the Hartsville Nuclear Plant site. Selection of six to eight residents to provide child care in their homes will occur prior to September 1, 1980.

During the time period of October 1979 and March 1980 no money was spent.

Summary of Mitigation Expenditures——In the functional areas of mitigation, TVA has made payments totaling \$6,838,605 as noted in table 7.

TABLE 7
Summary of TVA Mitigation Expenditures
as of March 31, 1980

	Expenditures This Period	Expenditures To Date
Education	\$ 26,325	\$1,523,084
Housing		423,000
Local Planning and Coordinat: n Assistance	33,431	294,127
Water and Sewer		380,000 ²
Health		108,772
Local Governments	155,886	764,939
Employee Transportation	981,000	3,344,683
TOTAL	\$1,196,642	\$6,838,605

^{1.} Includes \$60,000 interest-bearing loan.

^{2.} Includes \$125,000 noninterest-bearing loan.

APPENDIXES

Table A-1

FOLLOW UP SURVEY
TOWN OF CURRENT RESIDENCE RUN DATE 07/29/80
HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT EMPLOYEES RUN TIME 125047
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 REPORT 2

		MOVED TO	ALREADY			
TOWN		TOWN	IN TOWN	TOTAL	POPULATION	
		104.1	the road	10146	FORGLATION	
ALEXANDRIA	TN	11	3.7	48	680	
BAXTER	TN	8	53	61	1.314	
CARTHAGE	TN	74	121	195	2,491	
COOKEVILLE	TN	32	76	108	17.800	
CROSSVILLE	TN	12	43	55	5,381	
DOWELL TOWN	TN	5	1.5	20	329	
GAINESBORD	TN	7	3.8	45	1.101	
GALLATIN	TN	301	374	675	13.362	
GORDONSVILLE	TN	11	3.4	45	601	
HARTSVILLE	TN	263	203	466	2,243	
HENDER SONVILLE	TN	75	137	212	28,000	
LAFAYETTE	TN	122	201	323	2,583	
LEBANON	TN	194	240	434	12,492	
MCMINNVILLE	TN	6	8	14	11.610	
MANCHESTER	TN	8	2.2	30	6.659	
MURFREESBORO	TN	15	39	54	28,700	
NASHVILLE	TN	57	432	489		
PORTLAND	TN	16	52	68	3.081	
RED BOILING SPRINGS	TN	12	54	66	956	
SMITHVILLE	TN	8	104	112	3.762	
SOUTH CARTHAGE	TN	9	25	34	859	
SPARTA	TN	12	10	22	4.930	
WESTHORELAND	TN	22	66	88		
ASHLAND CITY	TN	5	10	15	1,423	
BE THPAGE	TN	18	-		2,027	
	TN	60	46	64	400	
CASTALIAN SPRINGS	TN		38	98	150	
		6	15	21	100	
DIXON SPRINGS	TN	71	34	105	100	
GOODLETTSVILLE	TN	7	51	58	7,541	
HERMITAGE	TN	8	32	40	6,000	
LANCASTER	TN	5	7	12	150	
MADISON	TN	9	95	104	21.500	
MOUNT JULIET	TN	29	77	106	1,568	
OLD HICKORY	TN	8	54	62	6,000	
PLEASANT SHADE	TN	13	30	43	150	
RIDOLETON	TN	9	18	27	100	
SILVER POINT	TN	5	16	21	150	
WATERTOWN	TN	6	28	34	1,061	
WHITE HOUSE	TN	13	9	22	1,305	
SUBTOTAL		1552	2944	4496		
CELT NA	74	,		24	1.376	
CELINA	TN	3 2	21	24	1,370	
CHARLOTTE	11/4	2	8	10	610	

Table A-1, Page 2

FOLLOW UP SURVEY
TOWN OF CURXENT RESIDENCE RUN DATE 07/29/80
HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT EMPLOYEES RUN TIME 125047
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 REPORT 2

TOWN		MOVED TO	ALREADY IN TOWN	TOTAL	POPULATION
CLARKSVILLE	TN	1	9	10	44,900
FRANKL IN	TN	0	6	6	11,298
GREEN BRIER	TN	2	27	29	2,279
LA VERGNE	TN	3	11	14	5,209
LIBERTY	TN	1	23	24	332
LIVINGSTON	TN	0	5	5	3,050
MONTEREY	TN	3	5	8	2,351
RIDGETOP	TN	1	7	. 8	810
SHELBYVILLE	TN	0	11	11	11,900
SHYRNA	TN	3	11	14	5,698
SPRINGFIELD TULLAHOMA	TN	2	7	9	9,720
WOODBURY	TN	4	14	18	2.087
ANTIOCH	TN	ī	14	15	900
AUBURNTOWN	TN	1	5	6	213
BRUSH CREEK	TN	2	18	20	230
BUFFALO VALLEY	TN	2	15	17	100
CHESTNUT MOUND	TN	ō	7	7	125
CROSS PLAINS	TN	0	8	8	261
DONEL SON	TN	0	11	11	25,500
ELMWOOD	TN	3	12	15	100
GRANVILLE	TN	0	6	6	100
HICKMAN	TN	3	20	23	200
JOELTON	TN	0	19	19	900
MOSS	TN	2	11	13	200
WHITLEYVILLE	TN	1	5	6	50
BOWLING GREEN	KY	1	5	6	39,400
FRANKLIN	KY	2	7	9	7,176
GAMALIEL	KY	0	5	5	431
SCOTTSVILLE	KY	4	76	80	3,584
TOMPKINSVILLE	KY	4	17	21	2,207
ADOLPHUS	KY	2	9	11	250
SUBTOTAL		56	456	512	
OTHER		88	190	278	
TOTAL RESPONSES		1696	3590	5286	
HNP WORKFORCE TOTAL 03-31-80				5436	

FOLLOW UP SURVEY SOURCE AND LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYEES RUN DATE 07/29/80

HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT

RUN TIME 125211

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80

REPORT 4

FROM TO	TROUSDALE	NITH	IMPACT MACON	COUNTIES SUMNER	WIL SON	DAVIDSON	OTHER REC. COUNTIES	OTHER TENN. COUNTIES	OTHER KENTUCKY COUNTLES	OTHER (4)	TOTAL
TROUSDALE (1)	204	9	6	24	6	2	3				
SMITH (1)	7	344	6	2	7		8	3			254
MACON (1)	4	4	268	6	4	1	1				379
SUMNER (1)	21	7	8	759	9	14	9	2			288
WILSON (1)	12	7		17	352	9	10	6			830
DAVIDSON (1)	13	21	3	74	35	71.7	20	21			413
OTHER RECRUITING COUN (2)	12	28	14	19	14	7	764				904
OTHER TN. COUNTIES (3)	104	63	37	165	80	28	39	262	•		880
OTHER KY. COUNTIES (3)	4	1	8	8	3			202	2	6	786
ALABAMA	22	18	6	57			3		20		47
ARKANSAS					24	θ	4	5		5	146
	2	1	2	4							9
GEORG14	5	1	2	3	6		1	1		1	20
MISSISSI PPI	1		1	4	1	1	1				9
NORTH CAROLINA		1	1	2	4						9
SOUTH CAROLINA	1	1		1		1	1				
OTHER STATES	55	29	37	90	36	17	13	16			5
*** TOTAL	467	535	399					15		15	307
	401	233	377	1235	581	806	877	330	28	28	5286

NOTE: DATA ON DIAGONAL INDICATE NONMOVERS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF MOVES WITHIN A COUNTY. ALL OTHER DATA INDICATE MOVERS.

KENTUCKY COUNTIES : ALLEN, MONROE, SIMPSON

⁽¹⁾ RECRUITING COUNTY

⁽²⁾ TENNESSEE COUNTIES: CANNON, CLAY, DEKALB, JACKSON, PUTNAM, ROBERTSON, RUTHERFORD

⁽³⁾ ALL COUNTIES IN KENTUCKY AND TENNESSEE OTHER THAN RECRUITING COUNTIES

^{(4) &}quot;OTHER" INCLUDES NON-RESPONSES OR ERRONEOUS RESPONSES FOR CURRENT ADDRESS.

Table A-3

Hartsville Nuclear Plants

Distribution of Movers and Associated

Population by County and Community

March 31, 1980

	Percent	Number ¹ of	Population	
County	Movers	Movers	School age	Total
Trousdale	16	270	137	658
Smith	12	211	118	543
Macon	8	142	126	435
Sumner	30	518	382	1,525
Wilson	14	236	178	707
Other Counties	20	366	247	1,014
Total	1002	1,743	1,195	4,882
Community ³				
Carthage	3	51	17	102
Gallatin	11	190	103	516
Hartsville	10	175	79	383
Hendersonville	4	70	44	177
Lafayette	3	59	64	189
Lebanon	4	65	23	151
Nashville	3	52	23	122
Total	38	662	353	1,640

- 1. Numbers extrapolated.
- 2. Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding.
- 3. Within municipal limits.

Table A-4

Hartsville Nuclear Plants Comparison of Survey Results with Projections for Selected Parameters Employment Level - 5,436

	Proj	ected	Surveyed	1	
Number Movers Percent Movers School age Children School age Children/Family Total Population	2,93 5 1,90 1. 6,75	4 8 0	1,743 32 1,195 0.9 4,882		
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
Movers with Families Movers without Families	35	1,908 1,027	76 24	1,333	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
Housing Choice:					
Houses Mobile Homes Apartments Motel and Sleeping Rooms Other Total	31 47 18 4 0	910 1,380 528 117 0	56 23 14 3 5	979 392 235 48 89	
Iotal	100	2,935	100	1,743	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
Distribution by County:					
Davidson Trousdale Smith Macon Sumner Wilson Other Counties	0 30 20 10 20 20	0 881 587 293 587 587	5 16 12 8 30 14 16	91 270 211 142 518 236 275	
Total	100	2,935	1002	1,743	

- 1. Numbers extrapolated.
- 2. Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Table A-5

WORKERS WHO MOVED INTO HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 018

HOVER SUMMARY
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80

RUN DATE 07/29/80
REPORT 1F
RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL		143 15 7 5 7 2 0 0	359 45 16 6 22 5 2 1 456	183 22 7 7 6 4 0 0 229	64 6 2 0 2 0 0 0 74	14 8 55 9 16 3 2 5	262 56 84 14 41 5 3 13
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
MOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	340 225 87 81 150 5 4 39	209 100 27 32 70 0 2 18 458	553 307 80 102 196 3 8 51	278 142 26 44 96 0 4 20 610	131 56 12 15 27 0 0 8 249	14 55 58 55 40 16 14 35 287	354 280 145 136 190 21 18 74 1218
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT PENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	58 8 27 3 11 6 85 17 5 7 5 47 129 7	352 115 34 37 77 2 2 18 637	912 352 96 108 218 8 10 52	461 164 33 51 102 4 20 839	195 62 14 15 29 0 0 8	28 63 113 64 56 19 16 40	616 336 229 150 231 26 21 87 1696

Table A-6

EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE COUNTY TOTAL REPORT 18
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

ANNUAL EMPLOYEES	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOTEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	10 4 2 1 0 0	7 3 5 1 0	17 5 0 1 0	10 3 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	10 4 2 1 2 2
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	45 14 5 14 18 0 0 4	26 9 3 4 7 0 2 3 52	72 24 8 18 19 0 0 4	43 18 4 6 9 0 0 3 83	16 3 0 2 2 2 0 0 0	0 2 0 8 3 3 1 2	45 16 5 22 21 3 1 6
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	55 18 7 15 18 0 0 4	33 12 3 5 7 0 0 3 63	89 29 8 19 19 0 0 4	53 21 4 7 9 0 0 3	17 5 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 8 5 3 1 2	55 20 7 23 23 3 1 6

Table A-7

SMITH
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE COUNTY TOTAL REPORT 18
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	8 7 0 2 6 0	6 3 0 2 1 0	16 9 0 4 1 0	10 4 0 4 0 0	3 1 0 0 1 0	1 3 5 6 2 1	9 10 5 8 8 1 1 42
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOTE RENTED APALIMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	31 28 2 13 38 0 0 5	20 12 0 5 13 0 0 2	48 37 2 14 45 0 0 6 152	23 16 0 6 16 0 0 4 65	16 6 0 0 5 0 0 0 0	1 5 5 13 13 4 1 4	32 33 7 26 51 4 1 9
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME NED SLEEPING HOOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	39 35 2 15 44 0 0	26 15 0 7 14 0 0 2 64	64 46 2 18 46 0 0 6 182	33 20 0 10 16 0	19 7 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 32	2 8 10 19 15 5 1 4	41 43 12 34 59 5 1 10 205

Table A-8

SUMNER
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE COUNTY TOTAL REPORT 18
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	153 11 15 1 7 1 1 2	87 4 2 1 2 1 2 1 0 97	221 8 9 1 9 3 2 0 253	111 5 2 1 2 2 0 0 123	45 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	9 2 23 1 3 1 0 1	162 13 38 2 10 2 1 1 3 231
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	97 57 26 5 25 1 2 6 219	63 25 7 2 14 0 0 0 2	360 87 22 4 33 0 4 6 316	88 36 8 2 17 0 0 1	28 11 1 0 8 0 0 1 49	5 9 15 11 7 3 0 4 54	102 66 41 16 32 4 2 10 273
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME GWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	250 68 41 6 32 2 3 8 410	150 29 9 3 16 1 0 2	381 95 31 5 42 3 6 6 5	199 41 10 3 19 2 0 1	73 13 2 0 8 0 0 1	14 11 38 12 10 4 0 5	264 79 79 18 42 6 3 13

Table A-9

TROUSDALE

EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE COUNTY TOTAL REPORT 18

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	6 8 3 1 10 0	3 2 1 1 4 0	10 8 1 0 10 0	3 5 1 1 4 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 0	0 1 11 2 6 1 1	6 9 14 3 16 1
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL ETHER TOTAL	20 32 13 32 36 1 1 2	9 18 4 12 22 9 1 2 68	30 45 10 42 46 3 2 5	15 20 1 20 25 0 2 2 85	5 13 4 9 8 0 0 0 39	1 8 17 19 11 5 7 9	21 40 30 51 47 6 8 11 214
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	26 40 16 33 46 1 1 2	12 20 5 13 26 0 1 2	40 53 11 42 56 3 2 5	18 25 2 21 29 0 2 2	5 14 4 9 9 0 0 0	1 9 28 21 17 6 7 9	27 49 44 54 63 7 8 11 263

Table A-10

WILSON
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE COUNTY TOTAL
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80

RUN DATE 07/29/80
REPORT 18
RUN TIME 125018

MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT	TOTAL
59					FAMILY	MOVERS
59						
9	33 1 2	80 7 2	41 3 2	0 0	2 2 6	61 11 11
1 0 3 78	0 0 0 37	2 2 0 1 94	0 2 0 0 4 6	0 0 0 0 0 9	3 0 1 0	1 1 3 92
45 22 16 5 16 1 0 9	31 14 5 3 7 0 0 1 61	75 33 19 5 29 0 0 11	36 17 5 4 16 0 0 1	21 10 3 0 2 0 0 1 37	0 9 6 1 4 0 2 2 2 2	45 31 22 6 20 1 2 11 138
104 31 21 5 17 2 0	64 15 7 3 7 1	155 40 21 5 31 2 0	77 20 7 4 16 2 0	30 10 3 0 2 0 0	2 11 12 1 7 0 3 2	106 42 33 6 24 2 3 14 230
	9 5 1 1 0 3 78 45 22 16 5 16 1 0 9 114	9 1 5 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 1 7 5 2 2 1 0 2 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 3 0 1 78 37 94 45 31 75 22 14 33 16 5 19 5 3 5 16 7 29 1 0 0 0 9 1 11 114 61 172 104 64 155 31 15 40 21 7 21 5 3 5 17 7 31 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 12 1 12	9 1 7 3 5 2 2 2 1 0 2 0 1 1 2 2 0 1 1 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 0 78 37 94 46 45 31 75 36 22 14 33 17 16 5 19 5 5 3 5 4 16 7 29 16 1 0 0 0 0 9 1 11 1 114 61 172 79 104 64 155 77 31 15 40 20 9 1 11 1 114 61 172 79	9 1 7 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	9 1 7 3 0 2 5 2 2 2 0 0 6 1 0 2 0 0 3 1 1 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 78 37 94 46 9 14 45 31 75 36 21 0 9 14 45 31 75 36 21 0 9 14 45 31 75 36 21 0 9 14 46 5 19 5 3 6 5 3 5 4 0 1 16 7 29 16 2 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 1 11 1 1 2 114 61 172 79 37 24

Table A-11

CARTHAGE
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS REPORT 1A
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED	0 2 0	2 0	0 6 0	0 3 0	0 1 0	1 3 5	1 5 5
SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER	0)	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	2.	2	6	3	1	10	12
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL DTHER TOTAL	6 4 1 1 8 0 0 0 20	3 3 0 0 2 0 0 0 8	6 7 0 2 6 0 0 0 21	3 6 0 0 2 0 0 0 11	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 4 6 2 1 1 18	6 5 4 5 14 2 1 1 38
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE DWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME CWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	6 6 1 1 8 0 0 0 22	3 5 0 0 2 0 0	6 13 0 2 6 0 0 0	3 9 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 4 8 4 6 3 1 1 28	7 10 9 5 14 3 1

Table A-12

GALLATIN
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80

RUN DATE 07/29/80
REPORT 1A
RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILOREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL	42 3 8 0 4	19 2 1 0 0	52 3 6 0 4	23 2 1 0 0	6 0 0 0	5 0 11 1 2	47 3 19 1 6
OTHER TOTAL	57	0 22	0 65	26	6	21	78
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	31 26 13 0 8 1 1	20 12 5 0 0 1 42	46 38 15 0 9 0 1 3	24 17 5 0 3 0 0 1	10 4 1 0 3 0 0 0	2 2 5 8 1 3 0 3 24	33 28 18 8 9 4 1 6
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE DWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED NOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	73 29 21 0 12 1 1 3	39 14 6 0 4 0 0 1 64	98 41 21 0 13 0 1 3	47 19 6 0 3 0 0 1 76	16 4 1 0 3 0 0 0 0	7 2 16 9 3 4 0 4 45	80 31 37 9 15 5 1 7

Table A-13

FOLLOW UP SURVEY WORKERS WHO MOVED INTO HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 003

HARTSVILLE RUN DATE 07/29/80
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS REPORT 1A
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME GWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	2 3 3 0 4 0	1 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 7 1 0 4 0	1 5 1 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 10 1 4 1	2 3 13 1 8 1
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	9 19 13 20 18 1 1 0 81	5 12 4 6 10 0 1 3 38	14 26 10 22 1: 3 2 0 94	7 14 1 10 11 0 2 0 45	3 9 4 2 3 0 0 0 21	0 6 16 10 5 3 5 61	9 25 29 36 28 6 4 5
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	11 22 16 20 22 1 0 93	6 14 5 6 12 0 1	18 33 11 22 21 3 2 0	8 19 2 10 14 0 2	3 10 4 2 3 0 0	0 6 26 17 14 6 3 5	11 28 42 37 36 7 4 5

Table A-14

FOLLOW UP SURVEY WORKERS WHO MOVED INTO MARTSVILLE MUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 016

HENDERSONVILLE RUN DATE 07/29/80
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN CITY LIMITS REPORT 10
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	IN GRADE	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYERS							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED	5	2	6	2	1	2	7
APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME CHINED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL	7	1	3	1	1	10	17
TOTAL	12	3	9	3	2	12	24
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED	1.6	12	30	20	6	0	16
HOUSE RENTED	6	3	10	2	3	0	6
APARTMENT RENTED	9	2	7	3	0	9	18
MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL	3	1	1	*	0	0	3
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	35	18	48	29	9	9	44
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED	21	14	36	22	7	2	23
HOUSE RENTED	6	3	10	2	3	0	6
APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED	16	3	10	4	1	19	35
MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL	3	1	1	*	0	0	3
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	4.7	21	57	32	11	21	68

Table A-15

FOLLOW UP SURVEY MORKERS WHO MOVED INTO HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 004

LAFAYETTE RUN DATE 07/29/80
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS REPORT 1A
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED	4	3	6	5	1	0	4
APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	5	3	6	5	1	0	5
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	22 9 5 7 0 0 0 43	15 5 3 3 0 0 0 0 0	39 15 8 11 0 0 0 0	26 10 4 4 0 0 0 0	10 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1 3 1 1 1 9	22 10 5 9 1 3 1 1 1 5 2
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	26 9 6 7 0 0 0 48	18 5 3 3 0 0 0	45 15 8 11 0 0 0	31 10 4 0 0 0	11 0 0 2 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1 3 1 1 9	26 10 6 9 1 3 1

Table A-16

FOLLOW UP SURVEY WORKERS WHO MOVED INTO HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 005

EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS REPORT 1A
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED	7 2 4	3 1 1	5 4 1	3 3 1	0 0	0 0	7 2 10
MOBILE HOME OWNED	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	0 2 15	0 0 5	0 1 11	0 0 7	0 0 1	0 9	1 2 24
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL OTHER TOTAL	4 5 10 1 3 1 0 3 27	4 3 1 0 0 0 0	7 7 9 0 6 0 0 2 31	5 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 5	0 5 3 0 2 0 2 0 1 2	10 13 1 5 1 2 3 39
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL DTHER TOTAL	11 7 14 1 3 1 0 5	7 4 2 9 1 0 0 0 1 4	12 11 10 0 6 0 0	6 6 2 0 0 0	2 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 6	0 5 9 0 4 0 3 0 21	11 12 23 1 7 1 3 5

Table A-17

FOLLOW UP SURVEY WORKERS WHO MOVED INTO HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT AREA PAGE 017

NASHVILLE RUN DATE 07/29/80
EMPLOYEES LIVING WITHIN CITY LIMITS REPORT 1D
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 03-31-80 RUN TIME 125018

	MOVERS WITH FAMILY	WITH CHILDREN IN SCHOOL	TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN	CHILDREN IN GRADE SCHOOL	CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL	MOVERS WITHOUT FAMILY	TOTAL MOVERS
ANNUAL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED HOUSE RENTED	2	0	2	0	0	1	3
APARTMENT RENTED MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM MOTEL	О	0	0	٥	0	6	6
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
TOTAL	3	٥	2	0	0	9	12
HOURLY EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED	9	7	17	8	4	1	10
HOUSE RENTED	11	3	6	5	0	3	14
APARTMENT RENTED	11	3	7		0	2	13
MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME OWNED SLEEPING ROOM	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
MOTEL OTHER	0)	0	,	0	1	1
TOTAL	32	14	31	1.8	4	7	39
ALL EMPLOYEES							
HOUSE OWNED	11	7	19	8	4	2	13
HOUSE RENTED	11	3	6	5	0	3	14
APARTMENT RENTED	11	3	7	4	0	8	19
MOBILE HOME RENTED MOBILE HOME CWNED SLEEPING ROOM	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
MOTEL	0	3	0	0	0	1	1
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
TOTAL	35	14	33	18	4	16	51

Appendix B

SECONDARY SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACT MONITORING OF HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AREA

Secondary impact is defined as a temporary increase in the trade and service related resident population of the five-county area having an impact on community facilities and services which can be attributed to the Hartsville construction project.

TVA's monitoring program consists of a three-step procedure during each reporting period for estimating and reconciling population changes for each of the impact area counties. Residential customers of power distributors and school enrollment are used to provide independent estimates of county residential population change during a given reporting period. Population for the beginning of the first reporting period is estimated by applying the procedure outlined in Step I below to the most current estimate of county population provided by U.S. Bureau of the Census Current Population Reports. Subsequent estimates of population for the start of a reporting period is the TVA estimate at the end of the previous reporting period and is adjusted each time more current census estimates are available.

An estimate of secondary impact is made using the following three-steps process.

Step I

Ratios of school enrollment and residential customers to population is calculated at the beginning of the reporting period for each of the impact area counties. These ratios are applied to the number of residential

customers and school enrollment at the end of the period. This yields two estimates of population change during the reporting period.

These two estimates are averaged to produce a single estimate of population change for each of the impact area counties.

Step II

Primary employment population, taken from TVA employee surveys, is subtracted from total adjusted population. If a residual population is derived, the analysis will continue to Step III.

Step III

This step comprises an analysis of nonproject related primary employment and its secondary effect to determine what part of the remaining unexplained population change should not be attributed to the project.

An estimate of change in total employment in each of the impact area counties during the reporting period is made using monthly data from the "CPS Labor Force Summary" produced by the Tennessee Department of Employment Security. In order to determine that part of the change in total employment which can be attributed to forces other than the construction project, it is necessary to estimate the change in nonproject related employment. Change in nonprojected related primary employment is estimated using a linear interpolation of primary employment as a percent of total employment in 1970 and projected to 1980, as given in the 1974 report by the Tennessee State Planning Office, Tennessee Mitigation,

Population Families Income, and Manpower Demand Projection to 1990 for Development Districts and Counties. A ratio of .65 will be applied to the

change in nonproject related primary employment to determine that part of the change in secondary enployment which can be considered nonproject related. The total employment change is converted to population using an average family size of three and subtracted from any unexplained population remaining. If no other reason exists for the remaining population it will be considered as secondary impact.

As results of the 1980 Census of Population or any other special census of census estimates are published, the population base of the impact area counties will be recalibrated. Residential customers, school enrollment, and total population will be recorrelated. Essentially, a new population base will be established from which to measure change throughout the remainder of the monitoring period.

Community Economics Projects 2/23/77

Appendix C
TRAFFIC LEVEL DEFINED

Level of Service D

Level of service D approaches unstable flow, with tolerable operating speeds being maintained though considerably affected by changes ir operating conditions. Fluctuations in volume and temporary restrictions to flow may cause substantial drops in operating speeds. Drivers have little freedom to maneuver, and comfort and convenience are low, but conditions can be tolerated for short periods of time.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Highway Research Board Special Report 87, 1965.

Appendix D-1

EMPLOYEE QUESTIONNAIRE - TRADES AND LABOR

2				
	NAME	SOCIA	AL SECURITY NO.	
	LAST FIRST			
1.	WHERE DID YOU LIVE BEFORE SEGINNING WO	RK ON T	HIS PROJECT?	
				CITY
				COUNTY
				STATE
2.	WHERE ARE YOU NOW LIVING DURING THE WO	RK WEE	K7	
				STREET ADDRE
		15.11		CITY
				COUNTY
				STATE
3.	DO YOU LIVE INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS?	YES.	NO	
	HOW DID YOU OBTAIN MOST OF THE TRAINI TRADE OR TYPE OF WORK? (CHECK ONLY ONE		AT PREPARED YO	U FOR YOUR PRESEN
	1. HIGH SCHOOL	-	4. ARMED SERV	
	2. COLLEGE 3. VOCATIONAL SCHOOL OR FORMAL	-		BUT NOT FORMAL CESHIP PROGRAM
	APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM		6. OTHER (PLEA	
	WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS WERE YOU IN (SUCH OR MAINTENANCE SHOP, ETC.)?	AS MA	NUFACTURING, CO	ONSTRUCTION, REPA
7. 1	WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED?			CITY
				STATE
8. (CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE PRO.	SECT AF	TEA WHERE YOU N	OW LIVE
	1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM		4. MOBILE HOM	
	2. MOTEL		5. HOUSE	
	3. AFARTMENT	-	6. OTHER (PLEA	SE SPECIFY)
	E VALUE IN A MODISE AS MOST E MORE IN THE	nuc anno	ECT AREA DO VO	U. OWN ITS
	F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME IN T	ne rnu	JECT AREA, DO TO	JU UMN 117
	YES NO			
S 1	N THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM YOU	LIVE.	a water account	
	1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY	-	3. WITH ROOMM HOW MANY?	
		-	4. OTHER (PLEA	SE SPECIFY)
	HOW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE P	ROJECT	AREA?	
	OF THESE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHOOL	-	-	
	OF THESE, HOW MANY GO TO HIGH SCHOOL?	-		
H	IAVE YOU LIVED AT YOUR PRESENT ADDRESS!	MORE TI	HAN SIX MONTHS?	
-	YES NO			
	HANK YOU FOR COOPERATING IN THIS SURVEY			

Appendix D-2

EMPLOYEE QUESTIONNAIRE - SALARY POLICY

NAME	SOCIAL SECURITY NO.
LAST FI	RST
WHERE DID YOU LIVE BEFORE BEGINNIN	IG WORK ON THIS PROJECT?
	CITY
	COUNTY
	STATE
WHERE ARE YOU NOW LIVING DURING TH	
	STREET ADDRE
	CITY
	COUNTY
00 YOU LIVE INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS?	STATE
TRADE OR TYPE OF WORK? ICHECK ONL	RAINING THAT PREPARED YOU FOR YOUR PRESENT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING)
1. HIGH SCHOOL	4. ARMED SERVICES
2. COLLEGE 3. VOCATIONAL SCHOOL	5. ON THE JOB BUT NOT FORMAL
	TRAINING PROGRAM 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
	a second control of
WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS WERE YOU IN SALES, SERVICES, ETC.)?	NEERING AIDE, LABORER, ETC.17 (SUCH AS MANUFACTURING, CONSTRUCTION, RETA
SALES, SERVICES, ETC.]/	
WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS WERE YOU IN SALES, SERVICES, ETC.]? WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED?	ISUCH AS MANUFACTURING, CONSTRUCTION, RETAI
SALES, SERVICES, ETC.]/	ISUCH AS MANUFACTURING, CONSTRUCTION, RETAI
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED?	(SUCH AS MANUFACTURING, CONSTRUCTION, RETAIL CITY STATE
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED?	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE.
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED?	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE.
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME
CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT	CITY STATE PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE
NHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YESNO	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOM!	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT?
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOMI YES NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOMI YES NO N THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES.
NHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YES NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME — YES NO NOTHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA CHECK WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA.	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOMI YES NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE SE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHO	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YES NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THESE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHOOF THESE, HOW MANY GO TO HIGH SCHOOF	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) THE PROJECT AREA? JOL? L?
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YES. NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE THESE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHOOL THESE, HOW MANY GO TO HIGH SCHOOL AVE YOU LIVED AT YOUR PRESENT ADDA	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) THE PROJECT AREA? JOL? L?
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YES NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE THESE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHOOL THESE, HOW MANY GO TO HIGH SCHOOL AVE YOU LIVED AT YOUR PRESENT ADDRESS.	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) THE PROJECT AREA? SOL? L? RESS MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?
WHERE WAS THIS BUSINESS LOCATED? CHECK WHICH TYPE OF RESIDENCE IN THE 1. BOARDING OR SLEEPING ROOM 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT F YOU LIVE IN A HOUSE OR MOBILE HOME YES. NO NITHE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH WHOM 1. BY MYSELF 2. WITH MY FAMILY OW MANY CHILDREN LIVE WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT AREA, CHECK WITH YOU IN THE THESE, HOW MANY GO TO GRADE SCHOOL THESE, HOW MANY GO TO HIGH SCHOOL AVE YOU LIVED AT YOUR PRESENT ADDA	CITY STATE E PROJECT AREA WHERE YOU NOW LIVE. 4. MOBILE HOME 5. HOUSE 6. OTHER IPLEASE SPECIFY) E IN THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU OWN IT? M YOU LIVE. 3. WITH ROOMMATES, HOW MANY? 4. OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) THE PROJECT AREA? FOOL? CRESS MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?

Appendix D-3

FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE

1.	Do you still li	ve at(Employee's Address)	?
	YES,		IN 2. R THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS: LIVING DURING THE WORK WEE	
		DO YOU LIVE INSIDE TH	E CITY LIMITS?	YESNO
		1. BOARDING C 2. MOTEL 3. APARTMENT 4. MOBILE HOM 5. HOUSE	38	YOU LIVE IN
2.	IF YOU LIVE IN A H	6. OTHER (PLE)	N THE PROJECT AREA, DO YOU	OWN IT?
3.	1. BY MYS 2. WITH M 3. WITH RO			
4.	OF THESE, HOW MA	EN LIVE WITH YOU IN TH NY GO TO GRADE SCHOOL NY GO TO HIGH SCHOOL?	.7	
5	HAVE YOU LIVED A	T YOUR PRESENT ADDRE	SS MORE THAN SIX MONTHS?	YES NO
6.	YES IF SO.	NING HEALTH TREATMEN	U HAD DIFFICULTY OBTAINING CY) REATMENT	
,	OUTSIDE YOUR PRE	SENT COUNTY TO OBTAIN	WITH YOU IN THE PROJECT ARE HEALTH TREATMENT? IND WHERE DID YOU HAVE TO	
	THANK YOU FOR CO	OPE GATING IN THIS CLOS		
		OPERATING IN THIS SURV	/EY,	

FIGURE I HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT TOWNS CONTAINING CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYEES

