DISTRIBUTION:	REGULATO	RSchroeder Hornstein
NRC PDR L PDR " TERA	NRR Rdg HDenton ECase	RVollmer EBlackwood OELD JCollins 002-3 SECY-3 - 8 - 1734
Docket No. 50-289 NUV 3 302 320	RPurple JRoe BSnyder	GErtter (ED09632 w/inc. 55 SCavanaugh w/ticket 2005 EHughes " MStine "
Mr. T. A. King 2820 Pelham Road, North	BGrimes SHanauer	EHylton "& inc.
St. Petersburg, Florida Dear Mr. King:	33781 RCapra RTedesco GLainas RReid	Green Ticket File w/inc. & ticket PPAS TMI Site r/f Gray File TMI SEP r/f TNovak TMI PS r/f

I am writing in response to your September 8, 1980 letters to President Carter and Chairman Ahearne concerning a comparison betweeen the events at Crystal River Unit No. 3 (CR-3) in February 1980 and Three Mile Island Unit No. 2 (TMI-2) in March 1979, the method of processing water at TMI-2, the delay in restart of TMI-1, and the financial impacts to consumers.

With regard to your comments concerning the time required for processing of the water involved and activities required prior to restart for CR-3 vs. TMI-2, it should be noted that during the TMI-2 accident significant fuel damage occurred which resulted in highly radioactive water which required processing. Even though there were differences in the volume of water involved in the two events it is the quality of the water not the quantity that helps determine the schedule for cleanup activities. The quality of water from the incident at CR-3 permitted a relatively simple cleanup operation which supported a rapid restart.

In your letter you expressed interest in the method of handling water at TMI-2. Enclosed is a copy of NUREG-0683 which discusses decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes at TMI-2. You may wish to review the appropriate sections of Chapters 5, 6 and 7 which discuss water processing.

As you are aware, the NRC has ordered that a public hearing be conducted to determine whether TMI-1 should be operated and, if so, under what conditions. The hearing, conducted by the assigned Atomic Safety and Licensing Board commenced on October 15, 1980. A recommendation from the assigned Board will be included in the record upon which the Commission will make a decision regarding the restart of TMI-1. Based upon the current status of the proceedings, the development of a record on which the Commission can make a decision regarding restart is not expected before early 1981. NRC staff members are currently involved in preparation for the hearing and the ongoing review of technical information concerning the TMI units. It should be noted that modifications by the licensee to the facilities are continuing and some will not be implemented for several months.

While the NRC is, of course, concerned about financial impacts on consumers and licensees, the NRC's primary responsibility is the assurance of public health and safety. State public utility commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission have primary responsibility for the rate structures. Therefore, you may wish to address your concerns to these agencies.

OFFICE	8011180087
SURNAME	
DATE	
DAIL	

POOR ORIGINAL

Mr. T. A. King

Your comments and interest in these matters are appreciated. I trust that this information is responsive to your concerns.

-2-

Sincerely,

Or ginal Signed by H. R. Denton

Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: NUREG-0683

OFFICE ORB#4:DL	C-ORB#4:DL	AD-OR:DL	D:DL	N. D:NRFO
SURNAME SWOOKEY/ cat		TMNovak	BEisenhut	HRDerto

AU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-289-369

POOR ORIGINAL

DOCKETFILE NRC PDR L PDR		TERA NSIC ORB#4 Rdg NRR Rdg	SHanauer DRoss FSchroeder RVollmer	GLainas JRoe Heltemes, AEOD RReid	EBlackwood JCollins SECY-3
Docket M	10. 50-289	ECase HDenton (PPAS	OELD DCA-3 GErtter (EDO	SWookey w/inc. 9632) w/inc.	
		BSnyder	SCavanaugh w/ EHughes	'ticket	
2820	. A. King Pelham Road	BGrimes , North	MStine	" EHylton w/inc.	
		Florida 33781	Reurpie	Green Ticket File Gray File	w/inc.
Dear	Mr. King:		TNovak RTedesco	Hornstein	

I am writing in response to your September 8, 1980 letter to Chairman Ahearne concerning the method of processing water at Three Mile Island Unit No. 2 (TMI-2), the delay in restart of TMI-1, and the financial impacts to consumers.

Enclosed is a copy of the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (related to decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes at TMI-2 (NUREG-0683). You may wish to review the appropriate sections of Chapters 5, 6 and 7 which discuss water processing.

As you are aware, the NRC has ordered that a public hearing be conducted to determine whether TMI-1 should be operated and, if so, under what conditions. The hearing, conducted by the assigned Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will commence on October 15, 1980. A recommendation from the assigned Board will be included in the record upon which the Commission will make a decision regarding the restart of TMI-1. Based upon the current status of the proceedings, the development of a record on which the Commission can made a decision regarding restart is not expected before early 1981. NRC staff members are currently involved in preparation for the hearing and the ongoing review of technical information concerning the TMI units.

While the NRC is, of course, concerned about financial impacts on consumers and licensees, the NRC's primary responsibility is the assurance of public health and safety. State public utility commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission have primary responsibility for the rate structures. Therefore, you may wish to address your concerns to these agencies.

Your comments and interest in these matters are appreciated. I trust that this information is responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

E	n	c	1	0	s	u	r	e	:
N	U	R	E	G	-	0	6	8	3

	ORB#4:DL	C-ORB#4;DL	AD-OR:DL	D:DL		D:NRR
SURNAME	SWookey/cb	SHAFFO L	TNovak	DEisenhut		HDenton
			9/ /80	9/ /.80	9/ /80	

ном: Т. А. Кінд			1	ACTION CONTROL	DATES	CONTROL NO 00022
			COMPL DEADL NE	0/12/20	DATE OF DOCUMENT	
			ACKNOWLEDGMENT			
T PREPROVED PLA				INTERIM REPLY		9/3/30
TO:					PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE	
Chatmas Ameans				FINAL REPLY PI		OF:
			FILE LOCATION	N / / / OTHER DESIGN		
DESCRIPTION LE	CLASSIFIED	DATA CLASSIFICA CATEGORY	of	SECT 80-1734		
	DATE		TION ROUTING	LEGAL REVIEW		FINAL COP
ASSIGNED TO:		1		ASSIGNED TO:	DATE	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS
Beatce 8/23/86 (- Case	Schroeder			DEDO ADMINA CORRES B
	Elsonhut 05-25 Dentor	Denten	Snyder			EXT
the second s		- PPAS	Yol leer			COMMENTS, NOTIFY
the second s				NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
		- Grizes				EXT
the second s		- Gribes Hanaver		JCAE NOTIFICATIO	N RECOMMEN	And the second defined and the second design of the



1.11

	No. 80-1734	Logging Date9/22/80
	NRC :	SECRETARIAT
	TO: Commissioner	Date
	XXX Exec. Dir./Oper.	Gen. Counsel
	Cong Liaison	Solicitor
	Public Affairs	Secretary
		inspector & Auditor
_		Policy Evaluation
	Incoming	
	From:St. Peters	sburg, Florida
	To Ahearne	Date 9/8/80
	Subject: questions re	restart of TMI
	EDO, GC, CL. SOL, PA, SEC Signature block omitted Return original of incoming w For direct reply*	with response
	For appropriate action	
	For information	
lem	arks:	
	For the Commission:	billie
AC 6		ACTION SLIP