



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

T.I.C.

OCT 24 1980

Docket No. 50-341

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Edward Hines, Assistant
Vice President and Manager
Quality Assurance
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

Gentlemen:

The enclosed IE Supplement No. 3 to Bulletin No. 79-01B is forwarded to you for information. It clarifies two issues raised by Supplement No. 2. These are (1) the submittal of qualification information for equipment resulting from TMI Action Plan requirements and (2) the qualification of equipment which is required to achieve a cold shutdown condition. This action is a result of industry feedback to NRC regarding interpretation of Supplement No. 2.

No written response is required. If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James G. Keppler
James G. Keppler
Director

Enclosure: IE Supplement
No. 3 to Bulletin No. 79-01B

- cc w/encl:
- Central Files
- Resident Inspector, RIII
- Director, NRR/DPM
- Director, NRR/DOR
- AEOD
- PDR
- Local PDR
- NSIC
- TIC
- Ronald Callen, Michigan Public
Service Commission
- Eugene B. Thomas, Jr.,
Attorney

8011070 278

Q

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

DUPLICATE

October 24, 1980

IE Bulletin No.: 79-01B Supplement No. 3: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF CLASS
IE EQUIPMENT

Description of Circumstances:

Two issues were raised by Supplement No. 2 which require clarification. These are: (1) the dates required for submittal of qualification information for TMI related equipment, and (2) whether the equipment required to achieve a Cold Shutdown condition must be environmentally qualified if the licensing basis for the plant was a Hot Safe Shutdown condition.

- (1) Supplement No. 2 (Q.1, Q.5) addressed the minimum cold shutdown requirements. The staff position on this issue is that the licensee must identify and environmentally qualify the equipment needed to complete one method (path) of achieving and maintaining a cold shutdown condition. The equipment of other paths must be reviewed to assure that its failure will not aggravate or contribute to the accident (ref. Q.5 Supp. No. 2).

Due to an inconsistency between Supplement No. 1 and Supplement No. 2, the staff position on this issue was unclear. Therefore, the following will apply:

- a. The qualification information for equipment needed to achieve and maintain a Hot Safe Shutdown condition must be submitted not later than November 1, 1980.
 - b. The qualification information for equipment required to achieve and maintain a Cold Shutdown condition (ref. Q.1 and Q.5 of Supplement No. 2) must be submitted not later than February 1, 1981.
- (2) IEB 79-01B required a 90 day response which was due in mid-April 1980. Supplement 1 (Feb. 1980) informed licensees that equipment which was "planned" to be installed as a result of lessons learned need not be addressed in that response. Some of this equipment has since been installed. Supplement No. 2 (Q.5, Q.21) identified that the staff position was that equipment which is installed should be treated in a manner similar to all other safety-related electrical equipment and be addressed in the November 1, 1980 submittal. This position represents no change in staff position regarding the scope of the review. However, since the staff position on this issue was unclear the following will apply:
 - a. Qualification information for installed TMI Action Plan equipment must be submitted by February 1, 1981.
 - b. Qualification information for future TMI Action Plan equipment (ref. NUREG-0737, when issued), which requires NRC pre-implementation review, must be submitted with the pre-implementation review data.

- c. Qualification information for TMI Action Plan equipment currently under NRC review should be submitted as soon as possible.
- d. Qualification information for TMI Action Plan equipment not yet installed which does not require pre-implementation review should be submitted to NRC for review by the implementation date.

The above items 1 and 2 represent no change in staff position regarding the scope of the 79-01B Supplement 2 review.

IE Bulletin No. 79-01B was issued under a blanket GAO clearance (B180225 (R0072), clearance expired July 31, 1980) specifically for identified generic problems. Supplement No. 3 to Bulletin 79-01B is for information, hence no GAO clearance is required.

RECENTLY ISSUED
IE BULLETINS

Bulletin No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
Supplement 2 to 79-01B	Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Equipment	9/30/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
80-22	Automation Industries, Model 200-520-008 Sealed-source Connectors	9/11/80	All radiography licensees
79-26 Revision 1	Boron Loss from BWR Control Blades	8/29/80	All BWR power facilities with an OL
80-20	Failures of Westinghouse Type W-2 Spring Return to Neutral Control Switches	7/31/80	To each nuclear power facility in your region having an OL or a CP
80-19	Failures of Mercury-Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering	7/31/80	All nuclear power facilities having either an OL or a CP
80-18	Maintenance of Adequate Minimum Flow Thru Centrifugal Charging Pumps Following Secondary Side High Energy Line Rupture	7/24/80	All PWR power reactor facilities holding OLs and to those PWRs nearing licensing
Supplement 2 to 80-17	Failures Revealed by Testing Subsequent to Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	7/22/80	All BWR power reactor facilities holding OLs
Supplement 1 to 80-17	Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	7/18/80	All BWR power reactor facilities holding OLs
80-17	Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	7/3/80	All BWR power reactor facilities holding OLs
80-16	Potential Misapplication of Rosemount Inc., Models 1151 and 1152 Pressure Transmitters with Either "A" or "D" Output Codes	6/27/80	All Power Reactor Facilities with an OL or a CP