

Analytical Predictions of Neutron Noise  
Caused by Boiling and Control Rod Vibrations

F. J. Sweeney  
F. C. Defilipo \*  
J. A. Renier \*\*

Advanced Surveillance and Diagnostics  
Group  
Instrumentation and Controls Division

ornl

\* University of Tennessee  
\*\* Computer Science Division, UCCND

We have made many significant accomplishments this year:

- \* installed and tested 2-D space dependent kinetics code
- \* developed a system to extract ENDFB kinetics data
- \* analyzed BWR neutron noise due to boiling
- \* analyzed PWR control rod vibrations
- \* provided NRC with review of other modeling efforts

We concluded that,

- \* further calculational effort is needed to complete determination of anomaly detection sensitivity
- \* automated surveillance system will provide important information

ornl

A major effort this year has been to install and test  
a 2-D space-dependent neutron kinetics code.

- \* previous studies have shown point kinetics invalid
- \* no production codes are currently available
- \* convergence is generally difficult to obtain
- \* tabulated energy dependent kinetic data is difficult  
to obtain

oml

The 2-D kinetics code provides a tool to enhance our understanding of reactor noise.

We can calculate

- \* effects of burnup on anomaly detection sensitivity
- \* neutron noise due to complex vibrations
- \* boiling effects
- \* space dependence of neutron noise

ornl

We have performed numerical and analytical studies of BWR boiling noise. These studies were important to PWR modeling efforts.

- We better understand how to model several anomalies
- We gained a feeling for key physical processes relating anomalies to detector response.
- We can quantitatively determine the detector "field of view" for anomalies.

Our results indicate:

- 1-D BWR axial neutronic models with homogenized cross sections do not preserve physical processes of boiling detection
- in-core detector field of view is relatively large in the radial direction.
- analytical studies confirm our numerical results

cm1

The analytical study utilized the Feinburg-Galanin Source/Sink Method (Neutron Wave Method)

The results were:

- \* in-core detector response is a superposition of damped waves propagating through the moderator and fuel
- \* neutron waves propagating thorough the moderator dominate the detector response
- \* the effect is not observed when fuel and moderator are homogenized
- \* effect is independent of the number of neutron energy groups assumed

orml

The analytical studies confirm numerical 1-D and  
2-D results

- \* in-core detector response is primarily determined by changing shielding characteristics of the system
- \* the radial direction is most important in calculating detector response to boiling
- \* 1-D axial models with homogenized fuel and moderator incorrectly predict detector response

om1

STATION TRANSFER FUNCTION TO THERMAL GROUP

10 HZ

.1  
.01  
.001

$|H| g^{-3}$

axial distance (in.)

0 20 40 60 80 100 120

We also modeled the ex-core detector response to a vibrating PWR control rod assembly.

- we assumed a "new" core
- the control assembly was at the center of the core
- we assumed a vibration of 10Hz
- we compared the predicted response to our PWR signature library

We concluded:

- a vibration might not be detected using ex-core detectors
- detection sensitivity probably depends on burnup

om1

2-D KINETICS CALCULATION  
RESULTS

THERMAL <u>SOURCE LOCATION</u>	<u>ATTENUATION (10 HZ)</u>
1 CORE CENTER	$1.19 \times 10^4$
4 INTERMEDIATE	$1.29 \times 10^3$
7 EDGE	$7.1 \times 10^1$

In FY-81 we will continue to assess the sensitivity of detecting LWR anomalies with neutron noise.

- \* PWR and BWR in-core vibrations
- \* burnup
- \* coolant boiling
- \* core coolant level