MODEL TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

FOR

YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (YANKEE-ROWE)

DOCKET NO. 50-29

Specification 16.4.18. Reactor Coolant System Oxygen, Chloride and Fluoride Concentration

Specification: A. The concentrations of individual contaminants in the Reactor Coolant System shall be maintained within the limits specified in Table 16.4.18-1.

- B. The concentration of O₂, F⁻ and Cl⁻ shall be determined by chemical analysis at sampling frequencies of at least 5 times per 7 days with a maximum of 48 hours between samples.
- C. With any one or more contaminant in excess of its Steady State Limit but within its Transient Limit, restore the contaminant concentration to within its Steady State Limit within 24 hours or be in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 36 hours.
- D. With any one or more contaminant concentration in excess of its Transient Limit, be in COLD SHUTDOWN within 36 hours.

TABLE 16.4.18-1

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

CHEMISTRY LIMITS

CONTAMINANT	STEADY STATE	TRANSIENT LIMIT
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	< 0.10 ppm*	< 1.00 ppm*
CHLORIDE	≤ 0.15 ppm	≤ 1.50 ppm
FLUORIDE	≤ 0.15 ppm	≤ 1.50 ppm

^{*}Limit not applicable with $T_{avg} \leq 250^{\circ}F$.

L

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

NOTICING OF PROPOSED LICEISING AMENDMENT

icensee:	Atomic Electric Company (Yankee-Rowe)
equest for: Ch	nange of the low main coolant flow trip set points
_	
equest Date: J	anuary 13 and February 19, 1975
roposed Action:	() Pre-notice Recommended
	(X) Post-notice Recommended
	() Determination delayed pending completion of Safety Evaluation .
asis for Decisi	In April 1972, we approved modifications to the reactor protection system which included a change in the trip initiating signal for low main coolant flow from a differential pressure measurement across the steam generator to a current indication from the primary coolant pamps. However, the corresponding correction to Table 1, Reactor Protection Setpoints, to reflect this modification was inadvertently omitted.
	The proposed Tech Spec change is to correct this omission so that the Tech Specs reflect existing conditions. There is no actual change to the low flow setpoint nor in the manner of detecting low flow associated with this proposed correction. Thus, there is no safety significance associated with this change.
11 12 13	CONCURRENCES: DATE:
17.100.15	1. A. Burger 1. 2 1/6./25 R. A. Purple Descripte 3/6
48. July 16	2. R. A. Purple Securite 3/6
10.	3. K. R. Coller Kaul R. Galler 3
	4. Office of Executive Legal Director