

ROBERT LIST GOVERNOR RALPH R. DISIBIO, ED.D. DIRECTOR STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES CAPITOL COMPLEX ROOM 600. KINKEAD BUILDING 505 E. KING STREET CARSON CITY. NEVADA 89710 TELEPHONE (702) 885-4730 August 20, 1980 DEPARTMENTAL DIVISIONS AGING SERVICES HEALTH MENTAL HYGIENE-MENTAL RETARDATION REHABILITAT.ON WELFARE YOUTH SERVICES AGENCY

M-31

Mr. Wayne Kerr Assistant Director for State Agreement Programs Nuclear Regulatory Commission Vashington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Kerr:

This is in response to your letter of August 5, 1980, in which you ask Nevada's position on notification of the Governor of all shipments of radioactive waste requiring transport in a Type B package, coming into or transversing the State.

We believe that the notification proposed by NRC may be useful but does not go far enough. For instance, a State Legislative Subcommittee plans to propose legislation requiring shippers of radioactive waste (in any quantity) to give prior notification of its entry into the State, not less than four nor more than 48 hours prior to transport of the radioactive waste into the State.

This brings up another question - why limit the notification to radioactive aste? Would it not be logical to also require notification c. shipment of a similar quantity of radioactive material not classified as waste?

We note that the proposed change in the regulations does not require the shipper to identify the location at the State border where the shipment will enter the State or what routes the shipment will follow through the State. We believe that for the reported information to be useful, it must contain the point of entry and the routing through the State.

The "estimated seven day period of arrival" apparently means that the radioactive waste could arrive at the State boundary anytime in a seven day period. This type of arrangement does not lend itself to feasible inspection of the shipment at the State border.

(690 \*\*\* tel 11 55

8011040242

Mr. Wayne Kerr August 20, 1980 Page 2

Incidentally, we are not in agreement with the concept that Type A quantities of radioactive material could never pose a significant hazard to public health and safety. We believe that a shipment of 175 to 200 containers of Type A quantities containing thousands of curies of radioactive materials totally involved in an accident such as a large conflagration, could constitute a significant health hazard to the public in an urban environment.

Sincerely yours,

Ralph R. DiSibio, Ed.D. Director

RRD/1s

cc: John Vaden