RS 114-5

PROPOSED RULE PR MISC matice

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PGWE

+

77 BEALE STREET, 31ST FLOOR . SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 . (415) 781-4211

MALCOLM H. FURBUSH

October 1, 1980

ROBERT OHLBACH

CHARLEST. VAN DEUSEN
PHILIPA. CRANE . R.
HENRY J. LAPLANTE
JOHN B. GIBSON
ARTHUR L. HILLMAN. JR.
CHARLES W. THISSELL
DANIEL E. GIBSON

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

GLINERT L. MARRICE GLIN WEST, JR. JOSEPH I. KELLY MOWARDY GOLUB JAMES C. LODSOON ROBERT L. BORDON PETER W. HANBEHEN THEODORE L. LINDSERD, JR. DOUGLAS A. DOLEST DAVID W. ANDERSON
DIANA BERDHALISEN
LEIGH S. CARSION
LEIGH S. CARSION
DEVON
BELLAND M. SOWARDS
DAVID C. GILBEST
MARRY W. LONG. JR.
PAULAY MAINE
BOSKY B. MOLLENAM
ON THE MAINE
BOSKY B. MOLLENAM
INCHAEL STIDENSAM

J. PETER BAUMBARTHER
STEVEN P. BURKE
PAMELA EMPRELLE
AUDRET DAINTS
DE STATE CO. DE SANARAS
DAVIDE CO. DE SANARAS
DAVIDE CO. D. DO. DEN
ECHNICA CO. DE SANARAS
DAVIDE CO. DE SANARAS
DAVIDE CO. DE SANARAS
DE SANA

Secretary of the Commission U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service
Branch

Dear Sir:

Attached are comments submitted on behalf of Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding the draft Reg latory Guide and Value/Impact Statement titled "Nuclear Power Plant Simulators For Use in Operator Training" dated July 1980. Although the comment period has expired, I hope you can give consideration to the attached.

Very truly yours,

Attachment

Acknowledged by card ... 10/6/80 ...

I+P-11

## ATTACHMENT 1

COMMENTS ON DRAFT REGULATORY GUIDE FOR "NUCLEAR POWER PLANT SIMULATORS FOR USE IN OPERATOR TRAINING"

Page	Section	Comment
3	Item 3	The last sentence should be modified by adding "within the limits of the performance criteria (e.g. setpoint tolerances, instrument error, etc.)."  (Reason: this makes item 3 consistent with item 3.1* and elimates the need to exactly duplicate borderline events/ results on the simulator, such as a trip that might occur in the plant but not on the simulator or vice versa due to slight differences in setpoints even though both setpoints were within tolerance.)
4	Item 6.a and 6.b	It is agreed that panels, consoles, hardware, etc. used on the simulator should ideally be exact duplicates of the reference plant, but in some cases this may be impossible or prohibitively expensive. Minor exceptions should be allowed which will still meet the intent of these sections. (Reason: color shades, materials, switches, meters, etc. may no longer be manufactured or only available as new models. Exact duplicate may be prohibitively costly. Exact duplication should be the goal but minor exceptions should be allowed on a case basis.)
4	Item 9	It is not clear why this item is needed. At a minimum, the second sentence should be deleted or be revised to be consistent with the current effort to develop symptomatic emergency procedures for BWR's (and eventually for PWR's). (Reason: The guidelines for preparing symptomatic emergency procedures state: "The entry conditions for these emergency procedure guidelines are symptomatic of both emergencies and events which may degrade into emergencies. The guidelines specify actions appropriate for both. Therefore, entry into procedures developed from these guidelines is not conclusive that an emergency has occurred." Thus, just because an emergency procedure has been entered does not mean that an accident has occurred.)