

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20568

October 10, 1980

Docket No. 50-312

Mr. J. J. Mattimoe Assistant General Manager and Chief Engineer Sacramento Municipal Utility District 6201 S Street P. O. Box 15830 Sacramento, California 95813

Dear Mr. Mattimoe:

The NRC staff has completed its review of your emergency plan submittal dated May 1980, which relates to the improvement of emergency preparedness associated with Pancho Seco. Your emergency plan was reviewed against the criteria stated in NUREG-0654, "Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants". Our review has indicated that additional information and commitments are necessary before the staff can conclude that your onsite emergency preparedness program meets this criteria.

Enclosed is the request for additional information. Your emergency plan should be revised to address these comments, and a revision to the plan should be provided to us within 30 days. For those items that require an extended period of time to resolve, a commitment and completion schedule should be included as part of your response.

Should clarification of the information requested be required, please contact your NRC Project Manager.

> Sincerely. det U. Deio

Robert W. Reid, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #4

Division of Licensing

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information-Rancho Seco Emergency Film

cc w/enclosure: See next page

Sacramento Aunicipal Utility
District

cc w/enclosure(s):

David S. Kaplan, Secretary and Seneral Counsel 6201 S Street P. O. Box 15830 Sacramento, California 95813

Sacramento County Board of Supervisors 827 7th Street, Room 424 Sacramento, California 95814

Business and Municipal Department Sacramento City-County Library 828 I Street Sacramento, California 95814

Director, Technical Assessment
Division
Office of Radiation Programs
(AW-45:)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Crystal Mall #2
Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 2.5 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division Suite 420, 7735 Old Georgetown Road Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Thomas Baxter, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Herbert H. Brown, Esq. Lawrence Coe Lampher, Esq. H'll, Christopher and Phillips, P.C. 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Helen Hubbard P. O. Box 63 Sunol, California 94586 Christopher Ellison, Esq.
Dian Grueuich, Esq.
California Energy Commission
1111 Howe Avenue
Sacramento, California 95825

Ms. Eleanor Schwe. \*2 California State Office 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Rm. 201 Washington, D. C. 20003

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Resident Inspector
P. O. Box 48
Fair Oaks, California 95628

D. Richard F. Cole
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq.
Chairman, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Michael R. Eaton Energy Issues Coordinator Sierra Club Legislative Office 1107 9th Street, Room 1020 Sacramento, California 95814

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555 Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

California Department of Health ATTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation Control Unit Radiological Health Section 714 P Street, Room 498 Sacramento, California 95814

# RANCHO SECO EMERGENCY PLAN \*

#### A. ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

A.3. There is no letter of agreement with the Ione Fire Academy as you stated in Appendix D. Furthermore, a letter of understanding with the Division of Forestry, State Department of Conservation should also be included in the Appendix.

### B. ONSITE EMERGENCY ORGANIZATION

8.5. The staffing leve in your Table 4-3 does not satisfy the requirements in Table 8-1 of NUREG-0654. In particular, your normal operating staff consists of only eight people while NUREG-0654 specifies ten. Please provide changes.

#### E. NOTIFICATION METHODS AND PROCEDURES

- E.4. Please make provisions for follow-up messages from your plant to offsite authorities. These messages should contain the information listed as items a through n.
- E.6. Provide administrative and physical means, and the time required for notification of the public within the plume exposure EPZ. Note that it is the operator's responsibility to ensure that such means exist, and that it is the State/Icual government's responsibility to activate such a system.
- E.7. Describe Measures to be taken to inform the public (in writing) with regard to your emergency classification scheme and specific protective actions (sheltering, evacuation etc.).

<sup>\*</sup> The numbers against each question correspond to items in Section. II of NUREG-0654, "Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants".

## H. EMERGENCY FACILITIES AND LOUIPMENT

H.6.a Provide a description of offsite geophysical phenomenon monitors.

## I. ACCIDENT ASSESSMENT

- 1.3. Establish methods and techniques to be used for determining:
  - a. The source term of release of radioactive material within plant systems (e.g. relationship between radiation monitor readings and quantity of releasable radionuclides).
  - b. The magnitude of the release of radionuclides based on plant system parameters and effluent monitor readings.
- I.4. Establish the relationship between effluent monitor readings and onsite/ offsite exposure, under different meteomogical conditions .
- I.6. Establish the method for determining the release rate/ projected doses if the instrumentation used for assessment are offscale or inoperable.
- I.7. Justify the detection capability of 10<sup>-6</sup> uCi/cc, in light of the fact that the stated required capability is 5x10-8 uCi/cc.

## K. RADIOLOGICAL EXPOSURE CONTROL

- K.3. Make provisions for 24-hour capability to determine the doses received a,b by emergency personnel (including provisions to distribute do imeters and to maintain dose records.
- K.S.a Specify action levels for determining the need for decontamination.
- K.6 Provide onsite contamination control measures for drinking water and
- b.c food supplies, and also provide criteria for permitting return of areas and items to normal use.
- K.7. Provide capability for decontaminating relocated onsite personnel.

# M. RECOVERY AND REENTRY

M.4. Establish a method for periodically estimating total population response during the recovery phase.

# N. EXERCISES AND DRILLS

- N.4. Provide commitment to evaluate observer and participant comments,
- N.S. and procedures to improve the emergency plan as a result of these comments.
- P.9. Arrange for and conduct independent audits of the emergency preparedness program. Independent audits may be performed by district personnel provided the selected personnel normally are not associated with the program. Management control shall be implemented for evaluation and correction of audit findings.