

February 5, 1975

Mr. James G. Keppler
Regional Director
Directorate of Regulatory
Operations - Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Subject: Supplemental Response to Inspection

Report No. 50-10/74-13 and No. 50-10/74-09

Dresden Units 1 and 2, Letter dated

December 26, 1974, NRC Dkts. 50-10 and 50-237

Dear Mr. Keppler:

Our response, dated January 17, 1975 to the enforcement items contained in the above referenced inspection reports included an interpretation of a temporary procedure change which is in disagreement with your evaluation (Item A.l.b). Using your interpretation of the intent of the temporary procedure change does lead to the conclusion that the incident was a violation of the Technical Specifications. The corrective action for this violation remains as previously stated, that is, retraining on the use of the temporary change procedures.

Commonwealth Edison believes that the use of the temporary change procedure is the prudent action to deal with conditions which are judged less safe than originally intended by a procedure. This concept was the basis for the response in my letter of January 17, 1975.

It is hoped that this satisfactorily responds to your concerns. If you have further questic ..., please contact this office.

Very truly yours,

Byron Lee, Jt.

Vice-President

POOR ORIGINAL