DUKE POWER COMPANY
POWER BUILDING
422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.
VICE PRESIDENT
STEAM PRODUCTION

June 30, 1980

TELEPHONE: AREA 704
373-4083

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Subject: McGuire Nuclear Station
Docket No. 50-369/80-04

Reference: RII:WPA
50-369/80-04

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

As requested by Mr. W. P. Ang of your staff, please find attached a supplemental response to the item of noncompliance identified in IE Inspection Report 50-369/80-04. This letter supplements my response of June 5, 1980.

Very truly yours,

William O. Parker Jr.
by WAH

William O. Parker, Jr.

LJB:scs
Attachment

DUKE POWER COMPANY
MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION

Supplemental Response to IE Inspection Report No. 50-369/80-04

RESPONSE

My letter of June 5, 1980 stated that in regard to Items A and C a nonconforming item report had been issued to document the discrepancy and an evaluation would be performed to determine the appropriate actions to be taken to resolve these problems. The action required by the nonconforming report involved the reinspection of ten hangers which had been signed off by the QC inspector responsible for the inspection of RHR support ND-H76. Of the hangers inspected two hangers had concrete anchors which failed the torque inspection. Forty additional hangers will be inspected for proper torque in order to evaluate the extent of this problem. This additional inspection will be complete July 16, 1980. Any discrepancies will be evaluated to determine if further action is necessary. A supplemental response will be sent to the NRC describing the results of this evaluation on July 18, 1980.

Nonconforming items associated with hanger ND-H76, as identified in the subject inspection report, have been corrected.

In regard to Item D, the only requirement necessary was to assure free movement of the pipe. An evaluation of this problem has established that drawing MC-1678-4 is the only design drawing which incorrectly contains penetration clearance requirements. It is felt that the provisions of the Quality Assurance Program adequately assure compliance with design drawings.