## **Bechtel Power Corporation**

Engineers--Constructors

Fifty Beale S	treet						æ
San Francis	nia						
Mail Address	PO	Be	1965	San	Francisco	CA	94110

DOCKETED USNRC JUL 28 1980

Office of the Secretary

Docheting & Service Branch

PROPOSED RULE PR-Misc Notice (4) Standard Review Plan (45 FR 36236)

July 24, 1980

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Subject: Comments on Proposed Revision 2, Draft 1, of Standard Review Plan 3.9.6

Gentlemen:

We have completed our review of proposed revision 2 to the Standard Review Plan Section 3.9.6, "Inservice Testing of Pumps and Valves". Our comments are as follows:

- 1. In Subsection I, 1.a, the NRC apparently intends to expand the scope of ISI testing by its inclusion of pumps and valves required for system pressure tests. However, the wording used in this and other sections restricts the scope to those pumps and valves required for both safety and system pressure tests. It is conceivable that no pumps fit that narrow range. We suggest that the wording be changed to require ISI testing for "those pumps and valves required for safety as well as those required for system pressure tests".
- Subsection II, 1.a, indicates, in part, that the scope of the applicants test program is acceptable if it is in agreement with IWP-1100 of Section XI of the Code. If this is true, then what is the intent of Subsection I, 1.a..
- 3. In Subsection II, 2.a, the distinction should be made between nonactive-safety-related valves, and non-safety-related valves. A strict reading of this sectio, as it stands might require that instrument root valves be subjected to ISI testing, for which we see no benefit. Although an equally strict reading of 1WV-1100 would appear to exclude such unnecessary testing, we believe that clarification of this point is required.

672

8009190

Acknowledged by card . . 7 29 8.0. mdv ...

Docketing and Service Branch July 24, 1980 Page Two (2)

Subsection II, 2.a, indicates, in part, that an acceptable SAR valve list must contain all safety-related Code Class 1, 2, and 3 valves required by lWV-1100. If this is true, ther what is the intent of Subsection I, 2.?

Very truly yours,

Plake

A.L. Cahn Manager of Engineering Thermal Power Management

ALC/RED:rb