NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

December 18, 1975

Docket Nos. 50-295, 50-10, 50-237, 50-249, and 50-304, 50-254, 50-265

Commonwealth Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. R. L. Bolger
Assistant Vice President
Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

Gentlemen:

RE: Zion Station Units 1 and 2; Dresden Units 1, 2, 3; Quad-Cities Units 1 and 2

The subject of guard responsibilities in prote ting special nuclear material from theft and sabotage is being reviewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The purpose of this letter is to clarify one aspect of these responsibilities so as to assure effective application of regulatory requirements in this important area.

Nuclear reactor facilities are required, under 10 CFR 73.40, to provide physical protection and to implement the security plan submitted to the Commission. A necessary element for approval of the plan of a nuclear power reactor is the provision of guards. We expect that the response requirements for these guards will be the same as called out in 10 CFR 73.50 (g)(2) which requires that guards responding to a possible threat shall determine if a threat exists, assess the extent of the threat, and initiate measures to neutralize the threat, either by acting on their own or by calling for assistance from local law enforcement authorities, or both. It appears that the option for guards taking action on their own or calling for outside assistance may conceivably be subject to misinterpretation. The current regulation contemplates that guards are to be instructed that their first priority is to assess the extent of the threat and convey to the proper law enforcement authorities the nature of the threat. They should then, or simultaneously if possible, take action on their own to counter the threat, deferring action pending the arrival of reinforcements only in those instances in which a guard's life would be placed in needless peril when faced with an overwhelming force. In such instances, guards could be expected to take prudent delaying action whenever possible while awaiting assistance.

Your early response to this letter would be very much appreciated, either affirming that your present practices for implementing the

11 1 1

requirements of 10 CFR 73.40 are consistent with this view for the implementation of $\S73.50$ (\mathfrak{p})(2) or advising us of any deviation from this approach, and in the latter case, indicating the cause of such deviation.

Sincerely.

C. E.

Roper S. Boyd. Acting Director Division of Peactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

oc: Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Nr. John W. Rowe
One First National Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60690

Robert J. Vollen, Esquire 109 North Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dr. Cecil Lue-Hing Director of Research and Development Metropolitan Senitray District of Creeter Chicago 100 East Eric Street Chicago, Illinois 60611

Waukegan Public Library 128 North County Street Waukegan, Illinois 60095

DISTRIBUTION Docket Files NRC PDRs Local PDR ORB#1 Reading RSBoyd KRGoller RAPurple TJCarter WNHiggins SMSheppard Attorney, OELD OIGE (3) ACRS (16) dEisenhut TBAbernathy JRBuchanan ORB#2 Reading DLZiemann PO; Connor RSilver RMDiggs

		20		1106		
017.21*	RL=ORB=1	RL:ORISE	OELD WA	RL:OR/RAD	RK BL/D	
errent.	MoHiggins ric	AMurple	meserchande	KRGoller	RSBoya	
54*1	12/12/75	12//0/75	12/18/75	12//8/75	12//*/78	

POOR ORIGINAL