

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

CLOSED MEETING

BUDGET MARKUP

- - -

Place - Washington, D. C.

Date - Tuesday, 7 August 1979

Pages 1 - 113

Telephone:
(202) 347-3700

ACE - FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters

444 North Capitol Street
Washington, D.C. 20001

NATIONWIDE COVERAGE - DAILY

8010300

519

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3
4 CLOSED MEETING

5 BUDGET MARKUP
6

7 - - -

8 Room 1130
9 1717 H Street, N. W.
10 Washington, D. C.

11 Tuesday, 7 August 1979

12 The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at 9:50 a.m.

13 BEFORE:

14 DR. JOSEPH M. HENDRIE, Chairman

15 VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner

16 RICHARD T. KENNEDY, Commissioner

17 PETER A. BRADFORD, Commissioner

18 JOHN F. AHEARNE, Commissioner

19 PRESENT:

20 L. Barry, B. Cooper, L. V. Gossick, N. Monaco, N. Haller,
21 T. Engelhardt, and R. Smith.

22 * * *
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

(9:50 a.m.)

1
2
3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It seems to me you want a
4 substantial increase in '80. You know your increase is
5 reasonable if you're just going to stick with those numbers
6 in this instance for 1980, but I think it ought to go up for
7 1980. That would make it possible to catch up further.

8 MR. GOSSICK: They had an increase of two from
9 '81.

10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You mean '79-'80?

11 MR. GOSSICK: Yes, I'm sorry. Originally they
12 only had an increase of two, but I don't have a supplement.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They still don't seem to
14 have a clout within that organization.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I don't think we have yet
16 changed the approach.

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's right. But one way
18 is to expand it and give any direction. But it seems to me
19 that you've got to allow for the researchers. Otherwise
20 you're talking about 1982. God, that's a long time to wait,
21 because from the time you bring something into those
22 branches until they're fully effective --

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Too large an increase falls
24 back into the problem you were mentioning yesterday. If you
25 double the size in one year --

POOR ORIGINAL

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think the baseline is about
2 eight people in the branch, and you're talking about into
3 the '81 budget. You're talking about adding 14.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, I was actually --

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And John would go up another
6 four on top of that. That would make -- you know it's 280
7 percent, so you're tripling the size -- under John's numbers
8 you would somewhat over triple the size of the branch.

9 MR. BARRY: One thing we can do within that
10 total --

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's eight now. You would go
12 to -- I don't know what they'll chuck in out of the '80
13 supplement or out of the 100 people, but in '81 it would go
14 to, under John's, it would go 26.

15 MR. BARRY: Under John's, it would be 26, and they
16 ask for 22.

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd certainly go with the
18 26.

19 MR. GOSSICK: According to this, they have
20 proposed an increase in '80 by four from a base of 13 by
21 internal reprogramming and then adding six more in '81 to
22 what they show here as an otherwise base, I guess,
23 anticipating three more, taking them to 22.

24 MR. BARRY: Right. And Commissioner Ahearne would
25 take them to 26.

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would make 10 in operator
2 licensing. Let me pick up -- I have no problem with the
3 increase for operator licensing, but I pick up -- I was
4 going to pick up the six they wanted there in the '81
5 budget. And then out of the other 53 they had requested,
6 which are split about equally between TMI impact and on the
7 operating reactors, and I would just cut them about 20 and
8 20 for plus 40. So that gave me plus 46. They were plus
9 two for the block of other offices, plus 48 for the whole --
10 you want operator licensing? I think it's a good topic. I
11 would go with it. What about the others up there?

12 Now I don't remember exactly how -- because we
13 didn't talk in decision units. Where were your differences,
14 John? Maybe we can sort this out.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Okay. I had reduced case
16 work. They had been dropping 11 in their case work, coming
17 down from 233 to 222, and I had taken out another 11.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: On what basis, John?

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: More on the basis of
20 Harold's general comments that he was going to be having to
21 readjust the overall NRR approach to handling amendments, to
22 handling licenses, to handle the way they approach the whole
23 business. And he felt that he was going to have to make
24 some substantial improvements in those areas. And so I was
25 urging --

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's in operating reactors.
2 The case work --

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I know what the case work
4 is.

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is getting whatever fraction of
6 the 92 of the CPs into OLs.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And then I had also taken a
8 larger reduction in -- I had also taken some reduction in
9 operating reactors.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: From where to where?

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, instead of 53, I had
12 only given them 16.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So yours would have been 10 in
14 the licensing?

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Let's see. You took all 15
16 out for the -- I only took 10 of those out.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You'd get five from the
18 operating --

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So that on your track, that
20 would be 58 left, and I took 37 of those away. So I was
21 giving them one more.

22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You were giving them 21
23 instead of the original request for --

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: 53 on Joe's comments.

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 53.

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes. And I had taken eight
2 from safeguards.

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Excuse me, John. What do
4 you visualize operating reactors doing in those
5 circumstances?

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Remember, what he was
7 proposing was an increase of 53 on top of his --

8 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That was plus 31 in that
9 decision.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And that was a specific
11 area where Harold suggested he was going to have to come up
12 with a revised approach to make it more efficient.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I agree that there's
14 going to have to be a revised approach, which is why I
15 didn't go with this 74 number. But I tell you we have
16 thought some about cranking in \$4 million worth of contract
17 dollars as a hedge against our investigation results,
18 Kameny's and ours notably, and the impact of those
19 recommendations will appear primarily in operating reactors'
20 case work with some small slop-over into tech projects.

21 And I am reluctant to crank too hard the
22 manpower --

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I understand. But then to
24 continue, I did -- I took eight out for the safeguards
25 transfer to NMSS. When I get to NMSS, I put two in there,

1 because that's what Dirk said would be required.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would leave three. I
3 don't know that that will wash. I don't know that that will
4 do it. It's a question.

5 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And in the tech projects, I
6 took six people out in contract management, and I took four
7 out of advance reactors.

8 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If we're going to increase
9 the amount of contract dollars by \$4 million, ought we to be
10 reducing the number of contract management people?

11 MR. GOSSICK: I'm kind of concerned about the
12 attention it's getting at it's present level, I can tell you
13 that, as far as the way they are administrating the money.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think it pinches a bit much.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That would, I guess, have
16 taken them from 17 to 11.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: In contract management?

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes. It would basically
19 keep them at their current level. I don't know what their
20 current level is. There were 11 in FY '80, and proposing to
21 go to 17 in FY '81. Basically what I was doing was keeping
22 them at the FY '80 level.

23

24

25

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Does he have a slide listing on
2 that?

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It's on page 12 of the BRG
4 in NRR.

5 MR. BARRY: No, I don't believe they have a slide.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Oh, yes. Here we are. It just
7 lists the '81 bracket. I don't know if you're cranking up.
8 They have got their work in about 15.5 in '79 or are working
9 15.5 in '79.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: 15.5 what?

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Million, in contract. And they
12 are headed for the \$27 million dollar level. What --

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It doesn't give the '79.
14 It just gives the '80.

15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What is the present level.

16 MR. GOSSICK: 14 is what we show here, and if I'm
17 reading this right, you are showing down to 11 in it's
18 current --

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's correct.

20 MR. GOSSICK: And back to 17 in '81, which seems a
21 little odd.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So what I was saying is,
23 keeping them at the FY '80.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Why does that bounce around?
25 Is that because they peeled the people out of there to go on

1 TMI?

2 MR. GOSSICK: I think that's part of the problem.

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't think you can regard
4 that 11 level as then a sort of office judgement, that
5 that's satisfactory working level for the --

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: This is FY '80 now.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If it was higher in '79 and it
8 goes down in '80, why it reflects, apparently, a need to
9 move experienced staff onto the TMI jobs, and you pinch like
10 hell --

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Wouldn't they still have
12 carried them on the listing, though, for FY '80?

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, I think they would have
14 probably showed them over on the technical jobs.

15 MR. GOSSICK: At least numberswise they have moved
16 them.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I understand. I'm
18 speculating. I don't know.

19 MR. BARRY: I'm sure that's what they've done.
20 They've just taken the man-years out of there and put them
21 into other areas until they get back into '81.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Anyway, that was the
23 argument I used.

24 MR. BARRY: So the baseline really ought to be 14,
25 but maybe no higher.

1 MR. GOSSICK: It looks like they've gone somewhere
2 else in total, at least in total tech projects, goes from
3 181 in '80 to 184 without TMI up to 200 with the TMI
4 impact, though -- I'm sorry. You can't tell anything from
5 that.

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Then I had also taken out
7 four in advanced reactors.

8 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I was agreeable with the
9 two they were going to drop in the office, but I am
10 concerned that both here and on the research side we're
11 getting ready to lose the capability to deal with the
12 license application for a gas reactor or advanced reactor.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: When we get to research,
14 you'll find that my proposal is consistent with that.

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you think that's reasonable,
16 Joe?

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think at the present --
18 at least the general philosophy --

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Considering the fact that if
20 you're going to license a plant down the line someplace, you
21 really would like a reasonable regulatory lead-in,
22 particularly with regard to research. What you're saying is
23 that the Administration can run along with its breeder
24 program of \$300 to \$500 million, but you're going to assure
25 that it is not a viable power source because it can't be

c 1 licensed.

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: What I am proposing is that
3 we collect all of the advance reactor people and dollars and
4 have that as a second piece of our budget proposal. At
5 least my philosophy would be that our primary purposes is to
6 make sure that we have the people and the research and the
7 effort to keep the light water side well in hand, and to the
8 extent that we -- as Saul makes his point in the paper he
9 sent in -- the impression I get is that even there they
10 would prefer to cut some of the area of light water research
11 to make sure they have the advanced research in hand. And I
12 can understand the idea that if you have a breeder coming
13 downstream, you've got this big program underway in the DOE,
14 that you want to be able to license it.

15 But I think that we ought to make sure that we
16 have the light water side covered, as we believe it ought to
17 be covered, and not cut that some in order to keep the
18 advance reactor side covered, and just have the advance
19 reactor side as a separate budget item and say -- at least
20 if I were putting together, I would say my best estimate of
21 what we require is this.

22 Now, in addition, if you and Congress want us to
23 be prepared for the licensing side of the advance reactors,
24 here is this other piece. But don't cut what we think is
25 essential for the light water side in order to front the

1 advance reactor.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We don't build a budget that
3 way anyway.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, my impression is that
5 we may very well end up that way. That's why my proposal is
6 distributed --

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And when the Congress asks you
8 what is your recommendation, what do you say?

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: My recommendation is that
10 you fund the people and the dollars to make sure that we
11 have the light water reactors well covered, and that if you
12 also wish us to be prepared to license the fast reactors on
13 this kind of a time scale, we need these additional monies.
14 It's a policy judgement I think on the Congress side.

15 MR. BARRY: One of the simple questions they will
16 ask us Commissioner, though, is why didn't you put it in
17 your budget? Why didn't you put whatever you thought you
18 needed in the budget?

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Do you think you ought to
20 do it?

21 MR. BARRY: In -- in the breeder, I mean, why
22 didn't you put in your budget?

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Because I want to make
24 sure -- because I think we are going to have to propose a
25 substantial increase in dollars and a substantial increase

1 in people to do an adequate job of handling the light water
2 area, because I think there is going to be a fundamental --
3 many changes in the approach to the regulation.

4 MR. BARRY: I agree with you, but I think we have
5 to go in for both, even if it pushes it up.

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think if you couple the
7 two of them together, you're going to end up -- at least in
8 my mind there is a definite difference in priorities.

9 MR. GOSSICK: This would be a setaside.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: In my mind there's a
11 difference in priorities. If they were to say, well, we've
12 got this finite pot of money here in the Congress, and which
13 do you want? Do you want to spread it across the two --
14 take a little bit off here; take a little bit off there? I
15 would say, no; you've got the finite pot of money; then make
16 sure anything left over goes here. But that's my personal
17 opinion.

18 MR. COOPER: The ZBB ranking which we prepare
19 every year will reflect that as a lower priority than light
20 water, and that has been provided also to Congress in the
21 last couple of years.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Maybe this year's
23 different, but at least in the last year, and in any of the
24 discussions I've had with the members of the Appropriations
25 or Authorizing Committees, the ZBB ranking wasn't something

1 that they ranked particularly high.

2 MR. COOPER: At least it will reflect what the
3 Commission's view of the priorities are.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But that's why I end up
5 proposing to strike that line.

6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is our total fast
7 reactor effort in the agency?

8 MR. BARRY: In '80, it'll be 12.5 -- I'm sorry, in
9 research it will be 12.5, and in Denton's shop, it will be
10 about --

11 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is there a crosscut on
12 that?

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You're not using the
14 crosscut.

15 MR. BARRY: No, no.

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The crosscut didn't have --

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How come you didn't have a
18 crosscut on that?

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: A lot of that money in
20 there, right, Norm?

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It depends on what level you
22 would set the research program at.

23 MR. BARRY: All I'm saying, our '80 budget for
24 research and the fast breeder is 12-million-five of program
25 support.

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And how many persons in
2 the agency are involved with fast reactors?

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Is that fast reactors as
4 contrasted with gas?

5 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The crosscut didn't have
6 important items in there, as I recall.

7 MR. HALLER: The crosscut was not defined
8 originally to include those items; however, when we prepared
9 these tables for the Commission, we felt those items were
10 certainly germane and relevant to the intent of crosscut of
11 alternative fuel cycles, and we put them in as a comment.
12 Specifically on the ninth crosscut that we've prepared, we
13 stated that the fast breeder reactors of about \$16 million
14 and 12 man-years or the advance converters of about \$3
15 million and four man-years and also some smaller amount of
16 work in the non-NASAP part of NRR's reactor decision unit --
17 all of that was not included. But we note it as such so the
18 Commission would know it was not included and would know the
19 magnitude of the effort that was not included.

20 MR. BARRY: Okay. In research you've got 11
21 people and the fast, and you've got three people in gas.

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: 11 of research in the
23 breeder?

24 MR. BARRY: 11 of research in the breeder, and
25 you've got three in gas.

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So you've got 14.

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In 1980 in NRR, isn't that
3 right?

4 MR. BARRY: In NRR, you've got 10 in '80 for both
5 because they work -- it's all in the same tent, in advance
6 reactors in NRR. So you've got 20, 21, 24 people.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is that staff or
8 professional employees?

9 MR. BARRY: That's the total -- total people,
10 which is not a lot of people in terms of a viable -- we've
11 been running about that level for --

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: More than we have in
13 operator licenses.

14 MR. BARRY: More than we have in operator
15 licenses. Touche. Is that the reason you picked 26?

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No.

17 MR. BARRY: Last year we submitted zero in gas,
18 and Congress --

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: OMB submitted zero in gas
20 for us.

21 MR. BARRY: Yes, they submitted zero in gas for
22 us, and Congress put it back in. In the breeder, Mr. Beville
23 is going to insist that we put some money on the breeder --
24 not a big amount, but something that says -- in fact they
25 reduced the breeder in the beginning and they still

c 1 sustained it at 12 and 5. So we've got a political
2 situation there.

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Looking back at the NRR thing,
4 you had a group of eight in advance reactors just getting
5 down to the sort of an irreducible minimum -- four people in
6 advance reactors --

7 MR. GOSSICK: Three professional, one clerical.

8 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is a section chief --

9 MR. GOSSICK: Two people and a secretary.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Two people and a secretary at
11 most. I'm not sure -- for example, it doesn't give you
12 capability to deal with gas applications or others.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's true.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And we continue to have
15 mandates from the Commission to keep the gas alive.

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: From the Congress.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: From the Congress, to keep the
18 gas alive as a licensable proposition and to look at
19 alternative fuel cycles and so on. If you reduce this by
20 four, you're going to have to eke the people out of
21 something else when we get to '81, and I just -- you know
22 they want to trim it down two from the ten to eight. That's
23 absolutely as far as I can go on that.

24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Of that eight, two are in
25 the NASAP activity.

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And what would be the
2 amount on the research side?

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On the research side --

4 MR. BARRY: They would increase as the program
5 goes up.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We would again -- now I'm
7 talking about '81 rather than '80, I would propose that we
8 go in for something of the order of two, two and a half
9 million dollars in gas and leave the three people in there
10 on the basis that the Congress is either going to -- you
11 know either we go to do it this way or we leave two and a
12 half from another program that we like better.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What about the fast
14 reactor?

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On the fast reactor side, there
16 is currently group of about 11. They wanted 15. I would
17 allow one more and about an \$18 million budget. That would
18 be an increase from 13.7 --

19 MR. BARRY: You mean you'd go up from 11 people to
20 12 people because it's not people, it's dollars.

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Still, it's 10 percent or
22 something like that of their staff.

23 MR. BARRY: Sure.

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And in '81, they have asked
25 for \$16 million in fast breeders --

c 1 MR. GOSSICK: They have asked for 22. We set
2 aside 16 as a proposed medium between where they wanted to
3 go and the '79 level.

4 MR. BARRY: That's why when he came back on his
5 RECLAMA, he came back 17 point something, which is very
6 close, 17 and a half, but we're almost together on that one.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We have held that breeder
8 program down over the last couple of years to a place where
9 it really is not in healthy shape. And it just seems to me
10 to be irresponsible to phase out of research a concept into
11 which the nation continues to pour a third to a half million
12 dollars a year in development money and for which, so far as
13 I know, a majority sentiment of the Congress continues to be
14 supportive. What we are getting ready to do here with the
15 cutbacks and the phasedown is to say, well, you know we are
16 going to preempt the national policy by assuring that no
17 matter where your development goes, we, by God, can't
18 license it.

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's just not true, Joe.
20 The economics so far has been holding it down. It hasn't
21 been us or the Congress or DOE.

22

23

24

25

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't know what you mean by
2 economics.

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: What I'm saying is there
4 isn't a breeder reactor sitting around the corner waiting to
5 come in and be licensed. I mean, we're a long way away from
6 that because of the economics of the problem

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: My God, do you know what lead
8 time you really like to have in reactor research? Do you
9 know what we really needed in the light water system and why
10 we are where we are now? We needed 10 to 15 years and a
11 vigorous light water reactor safety program, and we didn't
12 do it, and we have been playing catch-up ball ever since.
13 As long as there's a national program in the breeder which
14 may lead 10 or 15 years down the line to a plant, let alone
15 a prototype of some kind on a government program sooner than
16 that, it's irresponsible --

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And we're probably longer
18 than that.

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It really is different,
20 your point about --

21 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: We're probably 30 years
22 before that.

23 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Your point about the third
24 to a half million dollars I think is an important one. But
25 it is different than in the case of light water reactors.

1 In that you are dealing with commercial or semi-commercial
2 plants right there in the '50s and '60s. And you may have a
3 demonstration plant coming down the line, but it isn't as if
4 there's a row of commercial plants behind that one.

5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Any suggestion in this
6 discussion reminds me --

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We're not going to be able to
8 license a demonstration plant that may or may not come as
9 soon as the next three or four years without keeping this
10 stuff up.

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I am not proposing that we
12 strike all of that. What I was proposing is, at least in my
13 mind, it's a second priority to the first priority -- making
14 sure that we have all of the people and the dollars that are
15 necessary, in your words, to catch up. You say we're
16 playing catch-up ball in light water reactors ever since --

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We have been for years.

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: All right. And I want to
19 make sure that that's, to my mind, the first priority. The
20 second priority is the advance reactors and fast breeders.
21 And I'm not saying that it ought to be struck.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But you want to cut it out of
23 the budget.

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I just finished telling you
25 a few minutes ago that I want to take it as a separate --

1 if you view cutting out of the budget putting it in as a
2 separate item, fine. It's cutting out of the budget.

3 In my mind, if I had to choose, if I have just
4 enough dollars to cover all of light water reactors or much
5 of it and some of the advance reactors, I would cover all of
6 light water.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't see that the two need
8 to interfere with one another.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, the way the budget
10 process in the Congress works, it will always do that.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, since I don't know any
12 way to send up two budgets so they can take one or the other
13 or both, or a chunk of A or a chunk of B, or A plus B, I am
14 inevitably going to get asked, what is the agency's
15 recommendation for its budget for '81? I don't have a way
16 of saying that, well, our recommendation of the one hand is
17 this but on the other hand includes that.

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: As a matter of fact, I
19 think one does have a way of saying this is the amount we
20 feel is absolutely required if we are to maintain the
21 adequate protection in the area of the light water reactors,
22 and, in addition, there's a second set that is a second
23 priority, but it would maintain the ability to license
24 advance reactors.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I have no problem with

1 separating that out within a budget, but I think — I just
2 don't have any way —

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm not saying two
4 budgets. I'm saying to pull out all of that other stuff and
5 make it a visible separate entity, because in my mind it's a
6 second priority.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't have any problem with
8 making it a visible second entity, but it has to go with one
9 overall framework of NRC budget.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm not arguing against
11 that. What I am arguing is that it ought to be a visible
12 separate entity.

13 MR. GOSSICK: Like in the cover letter, perhaps?

14 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Like in the cover letter.
15 At least able to be tracked --

16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I guess I'd want to see
17 what the cover letter says. It's one thing to say it's a
18 separate entity, and it's another thing to say it's a
19 throwaway.

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm not saying it's a
21 throwaway, Dick, but in my mind, it is — I would fight to
22 protect the dollars.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It depends entirely on how
24 you portray it. You know you can say this is the most
25 important thing that we've got that we don't need.

1 MR. BARRY: We can indicate in our cover letter
2 and obviously in our rank order which we have done in the
3 past in our rank order that the breeder and gas is the
4 lowest priority in research, because, in fact, they have
5 been. In our budget submission to the Congress, we can also
6 indicate in the research budget that the breeders in
7 there -- again we would not like to see light water reactors
8 cut.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would not like to see the
10 letter making the kind of points, Joe, that you just made --
11 that the lead time required to make sure you have a viable
12 licensing program and you understand that it is this many
13 years, that it is absolutely essential to have those items
14 covered if one is to be able to do that. My concern is that
15 as it is at present, I believe they are sufficiently mixed
16 together that we end up not focusing -- at least to me, not
17 focusing the principal priority on light water reactors.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Let me go back to an
19 earlier part of this discussion which I tried at the time to
20 note, that it reminded me very much of a rather extended
21 history, which leads us to today in our waste management
22 programs in this country. Those things that don't need
23 emergency treatment right now don't get it; they only get
24 emergency treatment when it's too late for emergency
25 treatment to do them any good. We are now in the waste

1 management program likely to be, in my judgement, on the
2 critical path -- a position which this agency said it would
3 never put itself in. Well, there we are.

4 Let me just suggest that I don't think that
5 that's -- I don't think that's responsible regulation.

6 (Commissioner Bradford entered the room at 10:25
7 a.m.)

8 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm not saying that I think
9 that is responsible regulation. But what I am seeing is
10 that I am concerned that we are not going to have enough
11 resources to get into the light water side. If it's going
12 to be a trade between those and advance reactor resources, I
13 would fight for the light water side.

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think that's a matter
15 that I would be delighted to take up and discuss when that
16 situation obtains. Right now, that isn't the situation. If
17 we start assuming it is, it's most likely to be -- it seems
18 to me that we put forward the toughest budget we can put
19 forward, given what we believe our own concerns are and must
20 be, A and B. We then tell them where our priorities will
21 lie if they don't meet those requirements.

22 I think that is a sort of standard approach to
23 budgeting and standard approach to the Congress, and it
24 always seemed to work in the past. And I'm not sure that
25 that's very different from what you are saying, except that

POOR ORIGINAL

1 I would go forward with what I think to be a required
2 program in the advance reactor field. If they say no, you
3 can't have it, all right -- that wouldn't be the first time
4 that had happened, if they say no, you can't have it; then
5 you can't have it. That's all. Do something else.

6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: John's point to clearly
7 identify the program is a good one.

8 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think all programs ought
9 to be identified.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I have no problem with that.
11 In fact, that it would include this group of people in NRR
12 and include the two categories in research.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Government standards?

14 MR. GOSSICK: I don't think there's anything in
15 standards these days.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Howard's shop?

17 MR. BARRY: Probably so.

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Breeder lawyers?

19 (Laughter.)

20 I think there are a few in there.

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see. Would they pick up
22 FNP? All right. Good.

23 MR. BARRY: Going back to NRR people, we don't
24 have the numbers necessarily yet. At least I don't.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I don't know. Shall we

1 whack away some more at NRR and try to settle out? Or
2 should we go ahead with NMSS?

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: What number do you finally
4 settle on?

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would recommend in '81 plus
6 48 in the office. And if you would like to switch -- switch
7 four --

8 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You're counting 15 or not
9 counting 15?

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was going to pick 15 down and
11 add them in under EDO to establish an office.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So it's in effect 48 plus
13 15 comparing with --

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And it would be in effect 48
15 plus 15.

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And that's based -- so
17 you're saying that's -- you're going to 63 over 730?

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Over 730 or over 725?

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 730. We want them to send back
21 the Donohue's --

22 MR. GOSSICK: The 730 doesn't do that. We have to
23 make it 725 for you to do that. I didn't know whether you
24 wanted to make that adjustment or when we got to admin.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I see. I think it ought to go

1 on in '80. They've got 100 slots to play with, and I think
2 100 of them flow back.

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So you take them to 725 in
4 '80?

5 MR. GOSSICK: And add 63. 788 is the number,
6 then. Right?

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: He's actually adding 48
8 because he's taking --

9 MR. GOSSICK: Well, okay.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So you would end up with
11 773.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: True. And then throw the four
13 million bucks in against investigation results.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Of course, the Kameny
15 Commission may inevitably end up in results that may reduce
16 our workload.

17 MR. BARRY: Is it possible to go down the decision
18 units to determine where the pluses are now, what the
19 numbers are?

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: At the moment John is kind of
21 scratching his head over 48.

22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That would probably cost us
23 more in research money or contract money to figure out how
24 to reduce it than it would to increase it.

25 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: My only two questions were,

1 were you increasing any in the operating licensing?

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was going to put in the six
3 they asked for, but I must say the 10 that you would have
4 proposed to go, I would be glad to go with that and not to
5 increase the total but rather decrease by four the people I
6 was going to spread between their TMI impact and their case
7 load impact.

8 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Did you assume anything
9 about these safeguards?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.3

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On safeguards, I was going to
2 accept NRR's reduction from 16 to 11. And I think we ought
3 to talk about — why don't we talk about safeguards, about
4 that transfer, and see if we can get straightened on it.

5 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Putting aside the question
6 of the transfer, I'll go with the 48.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right. And if the transfer
8 goes, then it comes down.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes. Okay. That would be
10 very good.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: In that case, the way the
12 pluses and minuses would look to me would be plus 46 in
13 operating reactors — well, let me tell you what I think.
14 We've gotten the decision units right down the line, and
15 people holler if they see a difference. They '80 supplement
16 is four million bucks. '81 is plus 46, which brings them to
17 245 in that unit and \$6,070,000 on dollars. In SEP they
18 stated 32 and get 950K in '81.

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm sorry, John. The \$4
20 million, I would have put up \$200,000 for control room
21 study, if you recall we talked about yesterday, which I
22 think is in operating reactors. And that \$200,000 —

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. That didn't list in the
24 six-seventy, 6070—?

25 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No, that was in FY '80 in

1 the supplemental.

2 MR. BARRY: As of the other day, including that, I
3 thought we had \$8 540,000.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You were picking up the
5 3.8.

6 MR. BARRY: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Is that also in operating
8 reactors?

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll tell you, I'm not sure how
10 it should spread. Let me tell you what. Let me talk about
11 the '80 supplement. They had asked for \$4,540,000. Okay?
12 And we said yesterday -- and we added another \$4 million
13 total.

14 MR. GOSSICK: Yes. 3.8 people --

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 3.8 for the lab help, and 200K
16 for that control room contract.

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Right.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now, I'm not quite sure
19 whether --

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's not there.

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No. Let's see. Is it?

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No.

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Where did they put the \$4
24 million? That's right. That's not -- the \$4 million that I
25 just mentioned was in the original stuff. It's not the new

1 \$4 million.

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Right?

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Now I'm not quite sure
4 where the new \$4 million should appear. So I will sort of
5 put it down on the bottom of the whole list and say,
6 distribute --

7 MR. GOSSICK: We can do that.

8 MR. COOPER: That was operating -- (inaudible)

9 MR. BARRY: What we're saying now, the total
10 supplemental program support increase now will be
11 \$12,540,000.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Wait, wait. Hang on. Where
13 are you?

14 MR. BARRY: I'm your master sheet for NRR.
15 This one here that we passed out to you.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I am reading to you. You
17 figure out what you want to write on your master sheet.
18 Once again, in operating reactors, I will read '80
19 supplement and then '81. Plus zero, \$4 million. In '81,
20 plus 46 to 245, \$6,070,000. SEP: no change in people,
21 \$950,000 in '81. Safeguards, we're going to talk about in a
22 minute, but on sort of a temporary basis we are running
23 through, would be no change in '80, minus 5 in '81, 700K.
24 Casework: no change in '80, minus 11 in '81, \$6,888,000.
25 Okay, that gives them 222 total. Technical projects: no

1 people change in '80, plus 19 in '81 to a total of 200. The
2 funds, 540 K, '80 supplement, \$7,115,000 in '81.

3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why did you go with this
4 number?

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I went with this plus this
6 which is the 200. This is just the question of where you
7 quarter the seismologist and foundation engineers. And then
8 Harold agreed on the \$7,115,000 compared to his earlier. He
9 generally came in on the EDO.

10 Okay, advanced reactors, with the understanding
11 it's a separated-out proposition, I would propose then that
12 it be no change in '80, minus two to a group of eight in '81
13 and \$1,050,000 in dollars. The three decision units then,
14 that are standards assistance, none of them have any -- and
15 training and director's office have no change in '80
16 supplements. Standards assistance is plus 2 to 13 in '81.
17 Training correspondence is minus 3 to 25 in '81. Director's
18 office is plus 2 to 23 in '81. And that is the EDO marks in
19 the original rundown.

20 Now --

21 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Standards assistance is
22 people who assist standards? Somehow it seems odd to
23 have --

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's because there would be
25 somebody who has professional standing, I don't know, say on

1 some IEEE code, and he goes off and spends three days at a
2 code meeting, and you add up all of those three days --

3 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I remember now.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But you can't separate out
5 specific people.

6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What do you end up with?

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: One more item in this tally,
8 and this is both of the '80 supplement -- and in '81 at the
9 bottom of these columns you put in each case 4 million bucks
10 in program support to be distributed as the controller and
11 office director. In the '80 supplement, that \$4 million is
12 composed specifically of about -- of 3.8 to pay for the ad
13 hoc license assistance from the labs and 200 K from a
14 request -- the first phase of a requested study of control
15 room configurations in NRR. Okay? In '81, the 4 million
16 bucks is that great new designation, for me at least, the
17 planning wedge. Just anticipating that there will
18 inevitably be a number of recommendations to work on out of
19 our investigation and the Presidential Commission, and this
20 is a lead at that -- in effect, an advance on it without
21 being able to specify details.

22 I would expect that within that \$4 million there
23 would be -- what was it -- the two or three hundred K for
24 phase two of the NRR, the lately proposed NRR control room
25 study. Okay?

1 It gives me total now for, at the end of fiscal
2 year '80, of 725 people and a supplemental request for
3 program support funds of \$8,540,000. That is, plus zero
4 people, plus \$8,540,000. The office strength is then 725 at
5 the end of '80. At the end of '81, again barring
6 adjustments we may make after the safeguards discussion, it
7 would be -- '81 would be plus 48 people to an office
8 strength of 773, plus 48 people to a strength of 773.

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What was your operating
10 reactors number?

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Plus 46.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So it's up to 245.

13 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Does this represent your
14 having voted down on these others? Or have you resigned?

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: These were negotiated --

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is my arithmetic bad? We may
17 have to recheck it there in a second. The program dollar
18 funds I believe ought to come out \$26,773,000.

19 Now let's recheck --

20 MR. BARRY: If you go to 245 in operating
21 reactors, it comes out to 779.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Who did I miss?

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You may have given too many
24 people in operating reactors.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: An average of the numbers now

1 being quoted is -- okay, let's do it once again.

2 We end up with 245 in operating reactors, 32 in
3 SEP -- somebody with a machine add --

4 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Oh, 32, you say for SEP?
5 So it's 779.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. We consolidate on 779.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-g

1 MR. BARRY: 779 minus 725 is 54.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Did I add more than I had
3 expected?

4 MR. COOPER: What was your number on tech projects
5 people?

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Tech projects people: plus 19
7 to 200. Let's see, I still only get 48, a delta of 48.

8 MR. BARRY: 729 in '80 --

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Took your 48 to 731. And
10 you haven't adjusted the base for the one reduction to 730
11 and the five reduction to 725. So your numbers when you
12 add, for example, 225, 222, et cetera, don't have the six
13 taken out --

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right. 784 is to 731 like
15 779 is to the number we're talking about that you started
16 with, which is 730.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Wait. The end of '80 office
18 staff is 725. Right?

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But not on the numbers that
20 you -- when you added, for example, 46 to 199, that base
21 isn't 725.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Gotcha. Okay. Please adjust
23 backwards, then. The office number will in fact then end up
24 being 773. The delta is 48. The end of '80 is 725, and
25 adjust the damn basis to suit. Okay?

1 Yes, I got befoozled by one of those research last
2 night that took me half an hour to find where -- okay, is
3 that clear enough? Let's talk about safeguards.

4 The question is whether to cut back the safeguards
5 group in NRR and to move that function to NMSS. Bill Dircks
6 says -- makes the following proposition. He says, look
7 here, I am already providing about four man-years to NRR to
8 help them with their physical security stuff with reactors.
9 If you gave me that responsibility, I would need about two
10 more people in addition to the four I'm already putting in
11 it. Then I think I could do it. Whereas we've got 11 in
12 this -- well, we've actually got something like 16.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They would replace the
14 entire 11?

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I think Bill may be
16 cutting the explanation a little fine. There is still going
17 to be the problem that there is now in reconciling security
18 measure with reactor safety and operability matters. And
19 they ain't going to do that in NMSS.

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But there you're getting
21 inside the reactor.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: This is inside the reactor.

23 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, there are components
24 that deal with fences and guards and that sort of thing.

25 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: NMSS is already doing

POOR ORIGINAL

1 that.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They're already doing
3 that?.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. That's the four man-year.

5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So this is inside the
6 reactor.

7 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's what we're getting
8 to now.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's the process -- oh, it's
10 probably slightly more complex than that when you shake it
11 down, but the four people from Dircks' shop and some 16 now
12 and proposed 11 for '81 in NRR are the crowd that have been
13 evolving the protection detail guidance -- you know, the
14 staff's guidance underlying the regs, following from the
15 reg, and then going around and reviewing and arguing with
16 licensees about their physical security plans. And I guess
17 there is quite a difference between the offices, something
18 of the order of -- well, somewhere between I guess five and
19 ten man-years per year in their estimates of the efforts
20 required in the '81 time frame.

21 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Bill does have a lot more
22 people though in that general area, probably looking for
23 work.

24 MR. BURNETT: I guess that's where his efficiency
25 is. He has just more people to put on the job when

1 necessary.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, he wants two more slots
3 to accept the responsibility, but you're still going to have
4 to leave something back in NRR, because there is that
5 problem of the non-compatibility of the really strict
6 internal security. This is the sabotage and the insider
7 problem, really. That is the external threat problem is
8 fences and intrusion alarms, guards, guard forces, and that
9 is more straightforward. It's the insider thing which is
10 giving everybody fits and is the place where you get into
11 two man rule for vital areas. And is that compatible with
12 certain safety requirements in emergencies and so on. It
13 gets to be a big hoo-haw.

14 We have talked about it off and on, and I'm
15 blessed if I know -- it's been -- physical security in
16 reactors has been a painful area ever since 7355 passed.
17 I'm not sure whether my ear is getting detuned to the level
18 of agony, but somehow it seems to be quieting down. Now, is
19 that because I'm desensitizing?

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's one of the effects
21 of Three Mile Island.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Even before Three Mile, it
23 seemed to me it was quieting down. Now if it is, then I
24 guess in one sense I have a little reluctance to change the
25 principal actors in the thing. I keep wondering, you know,

1 will this -- will it run more smoothly and effectively if we
2 leave it where it is or if it goes to NMSS. I'm blessed if
3 I know. What's the sentiment up and down the table? John
4 would obviously like to swap it. Peter?

5 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Well, having been a
6 nonparticipant for most of the morning, I should not waive
7 my chance. I would swap it.

8 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Swap it.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Assign the responsibility to
10 NMSS with the requirement that they -- they are going to
11 have to come back to NRR because the security things do
12 affect plant operations and have an effect on the safety and
13 operability. And so they will have to come back. But the
14 primary responsibility would go to NMSS.

15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Now who would the licensee
16 end up dealing with?

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Both.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You're kidding.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, NMSS is not going to take
20 over writing license amendments and so on for reactors. I'm
21 sorry. So DOR will have to continue to process license
22 amendments.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So why doesn't the licensee
24 deal with DOR?

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: He will have to deal with DOR

c 1 in terms of maintenance of the license, the tech specs, the
2 limiting conditions and so on, but DOR in turn will get its
3 input primarily from NMSS on physical security matters
4 instead of from the NRR Physical Security Branch. And that
5 may be less of a -- well, I trust that can work out.

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And it's actually a step
7 which I think we will end up going to in the matter of waste
8 disposal also. Maybe not this year, but in the future.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Could be.

10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You mean reactors?

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: (Nodding affirmatively.)

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You know it's not sort of a
13 configuration in the organization standpoint -- it's not
14 that unreasonable. After all, we asked the fuel cycle
15 people and the waste people -- if you want to know about
16 soil engineering, instead of hiring yourself a separate
17 department, go over there to the site safety people in NRR
18 where there are a batch of experts on this and use their
19 services. And they are supposed to be over there to the
20 extent of -- I don't know, maybe 20 man-years a year --
21 something on that order. And as far as I know, we proposed
22 to continue to maintain the NRR side as the place where you
23 go for that kind of expertise.

24 I don't see anything more burdensome on NRR in,
25 you know, in having their physical protection expert

1 evaluation come from NMSS and the reciprocal for fuel
2 cycles. Now there are more reactors.

3 For from the standpoint of sort of organizational
4 configuration, why I don't see anything more unreasonable
5 about that for safeguards than the other arrangement for the
6 other skills.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It means that we have
8 pooled our physical security experts.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, put them all in one
10 place. Okay, so you would be for it.

11 As I say, I guess I sort of end up pretty near —
12 well either neutral or — my concern about moving it is,
13 it's just upsetting a system which finally seems to have
14 shaken down into a low level of grump.

15 (Laughter)

16 Rather than daily screams. Dick?

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I have always wanted to
18 move it.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Oh, you're a mover, too.

20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Only four years worth.
21 That's about the pace at which decisions do generate.

22 (Laughter.)

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I will proclaim myself
24 outvoted in spite of a gallant fight on the part of whoever
25 it was.

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What else have you
2 proposed?

3 (Laughter.)

4 MR. GOSSICK: Could I just ask a couple of
5 questions? Does this mean, then, whoever testified on the
6 Hill about the security of reactors will be NMSS as opposed
7 to NRR?

8 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

9 MR. GOSSICK: Okay. That's an important point I
10 think. My only concern, I guess, is that there may have
11 been underestimation of what really is involved here. I
12 hope not.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I haven't a doubt in the world
14 that Bill's estimate of an incremental two people to cover
15 this ain't going to cut it by a factor of four. But never
16 mind. He's got 100 people or 93 or 95 in that division --

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's not what he's
18 saying. I don't think that's what he's saying at all. I
19 think he is excluding -- when he talks about those two
20 people, he is excluding the very problems that you are
21 talking about: the NRR, the reactor-specific kinds of
22 problems.

23 MR. GOSSICK: If we move these 8 people or 11
24 people over there, Denton is going to say -- safeguards,
25 physical security, go talk to Bill Dircks. They will only

1 come and talk to Vic -- not Vic Stello, but Eisenhut when
2 there's one of these interace problems. Just like Jim
3 Mitchell now has a problem with interacing one of the
4 branches. He goes up and talks to one guy. He interfaces
5 at a different point.

6 I just want to make sure that everybody
7 understands it is now Bill Dircks' and Burnett's problem.

8 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You got a task force.

9 MR. GOSSICK: I know. I'm still worried about the
10 interface. As I say, if it was all in one building, I
11 wouldn't worry near as much about it, but I'm worried about
12 Silver Spring starting out these great big comprehensive
13 evaluation efforts that took us months and months to get a
14 report on whether something was good or bad or indifferent,
15 and we're going to have to watch this very carefully, or we
16 will find the same kind of mass effort that I'm sure will
17 lead up to a lot of people -- I would like to see it
18 resolved.

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And on that NMSS will have
20 to work also.

21 MR. GOSSICK: Absolutely.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now the question, then, is, is
23 what one does here with this decision unit.

24 MR. GOSSICK: I really think you have got to take
25 some people out of here who have reactor experience and

c 1 insist that they be moved over to NMSS — two or three,
2 whatever the number is. But just don't shift this job over
3 there.

4 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What about the statute
5 that says —

6 MR. GOSSICK: The lawyers say it can be done
7 either way. It was looked at during part of the task force
8 study, and the lawyers say that the Commission can do this
9 and not get in trouble with the law.

10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Doesn't the law say that
11 the Director of NRR is also responsible for safeguards?

12 MR. GOSSICK: It does. But again, and I can't
13 explain why the lawyers say that this could be done by the
14 Commission to give that responsibility to NMSS.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right. I think we ought to
2 transfer some people then to NMSS for '81. By the way, I
3 think it ought to remain at its present configuration and
4 level for awhile while they finish chewing up the security
5 plans that have been submitted. I think the reduction that
6 Harold had proposed from 16 down to 11 in '81 contemplated
7 being on the -- you know, the tail on the far side of that
8 workload.

9 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Harold had in mind going
10 to 13 anyway.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, true. And it got cut a
12 couple more. But I would think this transfer function would
13 look out to a time -- to that kind of a time. For '81,
14 then, what do we do with the 11?

15 MR. GOSSICK: I'd take the 11 out of your total
16 here and show the safeguards -- just to leave the safeguards
17 decision unit at NRR and adjust NMSS when we get to it by
18 two or three people. Get the physical security and decision
19 units for safeguards.

20 MR. BARRY: I'll tell you what you'd better due
21 for a practical matter. You'd better effect your safegua d
22 adjustment, be it two or three people on the '80 baseline,
23 showing the transfer from NRR to ISS, because the way
24 things are going on safeguards, if you transfer -- make the
25 transfer in '81, you will show a lesser number in NRR by

1 two or three. You will show an increase in NMSS two or
2 three. But you will show a total amount of people in
3 safeguards in NMSS in '81, which will be up by three, and
4 that will be a delta. It will show as a delta because
5 you've got a net increase, but don't change the baseline.
6 Instead of having whatever the number is increase to 35 in
7 '81, you're going to have an increase to 38. And if they
8 say everything is approved except the safeguards -- they're
9 supposed to be coming down in safeguards -- then you'll find
10 yourself with Bill absorbing it within his existing --
11 whatever they approve, which will probably be the '80 level,
12 and you will lose it because they keep cutting our
13 safeguards progrxam.

14 MR. GOSSICK: One other point I think you ought to
15 consider about waiting until '81 to do this -- the argument
16 has been all along that they can do the work now over there,
17 and if these people that are there on that job are looking
18 downstream a year ahead and wondering where the hell they're
19 going to be, I would worry a little bit about the figure
20 with which -- or the interest with which they carry out the
21 work that they're doing in NRR, knowing that they are going
22 to expire with that job. If they're assured that they're
23 going to go somewhere else, they may be thinking more about
24 where they're going to go.

25 I guess my view is I would go ahead and make the

1 move in '80.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well at the current level in
3 '80, there are 16 people in NRR and 4 in NMSS involved in
4 this activity — 20 people. And if we eliminate this
5 decision unit in NRR and add two, three people, plus the
6 four in NMSS, why a working group of 20 people which had a
7 job to do and thought it was going to busy is now 6 or 7
8 people. And that strikes me like there may just be a lot of
9 stuff that falls off the table and won't get done.

10 That is, it seems to me if you have got this force
11 working through the end of '80, why are you going to
12 transfer all of these people to NMSS? I don't think so.

13 MR. GOSSICK: The theory has been he can take this
14 on, I believe right now, with no more than two more people.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Joe is raising a different
16 point.

17 MR. GOSSICK: Pardon?

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You are proposing Lee to
19 transfer this whole block in '80.

20 MR. GOSSICK: Transfer the responsibility and the
21 decision unit.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And the people.

23 MR. GOSSICK: And make the adjustment that Bill
24 says is necessary. He says he doesn't need all of these 16
25 people to go ahead and do it all right now, if I'm reading

1 him right.

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think you're better off
3 going into the transition in '81.

4 MR. GOSSICK: I just wanted to mention this other
5 kind of a morale problem here that I think one ought to be
6 aware of.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It would make it worse if
8 you do it suddenly.

9 MR. GOSSICK: These people -- most of these people
10 came out of elsewhere in NRR. They're looking for people,
11 and they can be found jobs tomorrow.

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Then it won't be that much
13 of a problem if you do it more gradually.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There is now way that if 20
15 people are usefully occupied under Scheme A, that Scheme B
16 will do it with six.

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's correct. It won't.

18 MR. GOSSICK: That's what bothers me about that
19 whole proposition.

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think it might be useful to
21 go back and bump NMSS and NRR and say, the Commission
22 clearly intends to transfer the reactor security function to
23 NMSS, and they have got a day and a half to think about
24 when, how, who and how the numbers run.

25 MR. GOSSICK: We need to come up with a transition

1 plan here for you to show you just how this would be
2 handled, where the people -- how the people would be dealt
3 with, where they would go.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I agree with you that the
5 people -- either the 16 or the 13 or the 11 at NRR -- will
6 find -- will be gathered greatfully into other sections,
7 emergency planning or wherever. I think there do need to be
8 a couple of two, three reactor oriented people to go over to
9 NMSS. But I think also there is going to remain this
10 business of the interface between reactor safety and
11 operability and the security requirements. And NRR is not
12 going to be free of any interaction in the physical security
13 area. And I think you are going to have to count, indeed,
14 in NRR or someplace two, three -- I don't know how many --

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'd have left three.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Probably come up in DOR.

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I had taken 11 people, left
18 3 in NRR, put 2 into NMSS, and reduced to a net of 6.

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Two isn't enough to go over
20 there. That is not my understanding. I have been following
21 this pretty closely of what Dircks is saying. As a matter
22 of fact, I've even talked with Dircks. That's not exactly
23 what he's saying. It ought to be sort of -- the Chairman is
24 right. What we ought to do is get them to sit down and say
25 now it's going to happen. What's it going to take?

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And when do you recommend that
2 it take place. How about that as an attack, John? We'll
3 have to sort it out, because we'd like the budget submission
4 to reflect this shuffling, because there appears -- if,
5 indeed, what they're saying is correct, you get a chunk of
6 people back out of it.

7 MR. BARRY: Chris, if we do this in '81, will we
8 make a comparability adjustment in the baseline? Show a
9 minus three and a plus three or whatever the number is?

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'm sure you guys will work
11 it out.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Let's bash on. Shall we
13 bash away at I&E, or do you want to go on through NMSS or
14 research?

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: NMSS.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: NMSS? Good.

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: We really ought to try to
18 get that.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. Now, I though I
20 understood NMSS the other night, and I guess I don't.

21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You conclude that you do
22 not?

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, let's see. Let me give
24 you what I would -- let me just tell you where I am inclined
25 to go myself. And that is to go pretty well with the office

1 and EDO mark.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: On what? NMSS?

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. They are talking about
4 the overall office there at 294 now. They want to come up
5 to 320 plus 26 on the supplement and then plus 24 or 27 in
6 '81, ending up with as total of around 3-- I would have
7 them at 347, which is a big -- a fairly big chunk of people
8 between the present -- it would be in fact 53 people. Most
9 of them would be in waste management, and I just think that
10 Martin's division needs --

11 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He seems to know how to
12 use them.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Needs to drive on. Now 344 is
14 the number that shows in several places. That was the
15 office end position. But it seems to me that that doesn't
16 include three people and 200K for that DOE pilot waste
17 facility review program, and that's where I got the other
18 three. Now whether we need to probe details or not -- let's
19 see, where I end up coming out then, subject to improvement
20 of my arithmetic which you have already detected a need to
21 do in another place, I end up in '81 at 347 people in the
22 office and a program support of \$23,355,000.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: How many? We're talking
24 about '81.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, that's a final number.

1 Let me just see where other people are on it.

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You said 347?

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I had picked up two people
5 from the safeguards transfer.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. I haven't done that yet.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Then I had taken the three
8 set aside from the radiological contingency plan.

9 MR. GOSSICK: There's seven on radiological
10 contingency planning altogether.

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would go for three.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Ah, you didn't take the whole
13 seven?

14 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: On radiological, I took
15 three. Then I took one transportation emergency. I did
16 not take the space program assistance, because the way it
17 was described --

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, I didn't either.

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I did not take the
20 regionalized additional licensing program. 11 people? I
21 just can't see that. And then the pilot program to assist
22 the DOE facility, except I put at least one person back into
23 the '80 supplemental.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I took three in the '80
25 supplemental, because I read the '80 supplemental setaside

1 to be three people and 200K for that program and then the
2 same three people and 200K in '81, and I chucked it in both
3 places.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So I guess I would come out
5 slightly — I come out with the same number you have, but
6 that's because I didn't give all the setaside, and I did
7 throw in the two for the transfer. But roughly your number
8 is fine.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, we might end up differing
10 by one, because I think you ended up putting in four of the
11 requested seven in contingency planning.

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Right.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So you'd be three below me. On
14 the other hand, I hadn't included the safeguards transfer
15 which you put in for two for, but which might be argued
16 three at an appropriate time or something like that.

17 MR. GOSSICK: Which four did you leave in,
18 Commissioner Ahearne, out of the seven?

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Three for radiological
20 contingency planning and one for transportation.

21 MR. GOSSICK: You crossed out fuel cycle?

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

23 MR. GOSSICK: There is 110K that goes with that,
24 by the way.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I had the 110K.

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: 300 for radiological
2 contingency planning, and I put in -- I put in 200 for the
3 DOE facility.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. So that made it 310 over
5 the nominal number, and that's where I got the \$23,355,000.
6 Right?

7 MR. GOSSICK: There's only 110K altogether on that
8 entire radiological contingency planning.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I put 300 in.

10 MR. GOSSICK: You put 300 in. It's in these two
11 areas. I see.

12 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Why did you do that?

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I thought they might be
14 able to use more.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see. Which three did you
2 not include?

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What were the people going
4 to do that you didn't think would be needed -- that possibly
5 could be supplanted by money?

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Contract support --
7 (inaudible) -- a lot of people on the outside who thought
8 more about --

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm still not clear. You are
10 not putting in the three under fuel cycle or the three under
11 radioisotope license?

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Three in fuel cycle, I was
13 not putting in.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But you were putting in the
15 110K?

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

17 MR. GOSSICK: Up to the 300?

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, no. There's 200K --
20 there's a base number of what is it -- \$23,045,000? Where
21 is that? Yes, by George, I'm right. Add that in '81, 200K
22 for the DOE pilot waste facility review program, and 110K
23 for contingency planning, and then you get the number --

24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But that isn't John's
25 number.

mgc

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I had the 200 for the DOE
2 facility, but then I also had -- I've put in a combination
3 of 300 for the radiological and transportation contingency
4 planning.

5 MR. GOSSICK: So you in effect added 190K to the
6 total.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: At this level, I think it may,
8 in fact, disappear into the grants.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Peter, how does the array
11 strike you?

12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Which array?

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, this general level. The
14 347 '81 number and the \$23,300,000, give or take --

15 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: If you put it that way,
16 it's fine, the 347 number, give or take. What are you doing
17 with the radiological contingency planning. Both the
18 Galileo and solar polar antenna ray evaluations are in?

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No.

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That was money to do a
21 completely independent evaluation.

22 (Commissioner Gilinsky left the room at 11:25
23 a.m.)

24 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What would be required to
25 do something less than a completely independent evaluation?

mgc

1 MR. GOSSICK: I think it's the staff's view that
2 they can do it again in accordance with the memo we have
3 seen with our present resources, no extras.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It becomes more like
5 participation by one or two staff people in a fairly active
6 Standards Committee for a period of time and gets sandwiched
7 in.

8 John, let me propose something about the
9 safeguards here. For the moment, lets talk about office
10 numbers without that reactor security add-on pending -- just
11 as we haven't quite sorted out how it comes out at NRR,
12 pending getting a fast feedback from the offices as to how,
13 when, and numbers, so that they get a chance to make sure
14 that we don't drop a big package of work on them. But we
15 understand it's coming.

16 Let me see if I can sort through the decision
17 units then. Now I had a problem, and maybe somebody can
18 help me in Bill Dircks' overall sheets. He wants -- he
19 wanted 111 people in fuel cycle. And somehow I have a lot
20 of trouble getting there.

21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: He wanted 111 people in
22 fuel cycle?

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's not the number I'm
25 looking at. Where did that come from?

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That was from the NMSS thing.

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's not a decision unit.

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's three decision units.

4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's right.

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What Jonn has just said is that
6 he would reduce that by three, because that included seven
7 for the contingency planning, and you're talking about four,
8 which I would agree is okay. I agree to that. And I have
9 some trouble getting compatibility between the decision
10 units as laid out in Len's stuff and the units in here. The
11 numbers are the same, but they don't scan.

12 Was that contingency planning group going to come
13 into being in '80 and go away in '81?

14 MR. BARRY: I can't answer that.

15 MR. DONOHUE: That's right. Yes. It looked to us
16 like it was a one shot deal that they were actually starting
17 on right now that they would conclude by the end of '80
18 looking at the --

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. And where did the people
20 end up going, then?

21 MR. DONOHUE: The people were going to be license
22 reviewers. They would melt back again, and attrition with
23 the rest would take care of the problem in '81. Now their
24 view is it's a continuing thing.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. Except that Dircks

mgc

1 accepted the EDO number, which brings that decision unit
2 down below --

3 MR. DONOHUE: I think there might have been some
4 confusion, though. That's what Dircks said. There was a
5 lack of understanding between us on this problem. It is
6 misnomered, and they are coming up with different numbers.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: He added seven into that.

8 MR. BARRY: It tracks here 111. You've got 104 in
9 the base line, and then you've got on the setasides --
10 you've got three, one, and three which takes you up to 111.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now wait a minute.

12 (Commissioner Kennedy left the room at 11:30 a.m.)

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: If you look at that fuel
14 cycle resource summary --

15 MR. BARRY: R-1 in the upper right hand corner.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. I've got 102 in there,
17 plus seven is 109. You don't like that? I'll go back to
18 '79 and start with 103 plus seven.

19 MR. BARRY: Look at '81. '81 is 104 EDO. Now, go
20 to the right.

21 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: See, it is to this that he
22 added the seven to get 111. But this fuel cycle -- he's got
23 another chart in there that breaks that into the three.

24 MR. COOPER: It's fuel cycle transportation
25 radioisotope.

mjc

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes, and this is where he
2 adds the seven to get to 111?

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right. Let me scan the
4 decision units and see what you think of them, then. Let me
5 do the '81 decision units at the moment, since I was
6 pointing at that column.

7 I was going to read fuel cycle licensing at 47
8 people, and I don't know what the plus is, because it
9 started out at 45 and, well, it's plus two, I guess.

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: See, the EDO had taken it
11 to 44, and that's where the additional three for the
12 contingency planning --

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: However, you were going to say?

14 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I was just going to leave
15 that at 44.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Leave that at 44. I will go
17 with that.

18 MR. COOPER: Can I ask you to clarify the '80
19 mark?

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Not for a moment.

21 MR. BARRY: 44 in fuel cycle.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Let's clarify '80. They
23 have got seven people in fuel cycle on a one-shot basis in
24 fiscal year '80. True?

25 MR. DONOHUE: Yes. That's spread now --

mgc

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Dircks really said that was
2 really spread also three, one, and three.

3 MR. DONOHUE: Right.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Would one similarly eliminate
5 the three in '80?

6 MR. BARRY: If you're not going to hang it into
7 the 44, yes you would. You would take three out of it.

8 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Because they are at 45 and
9 80 right now.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So I would read it plus zero
11 for 45 on the '80 supplement. Let's put the -- where does
12 the 400K spread?

13 MR. BARRY: It spreads according to his chart, it
14 all stays in fuel cycle licensing.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But according to this
16 chart, all seven were in there. When he talked to us, he
17 said the seven was spread.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was going to allow the 400K,
19 but I think there ought to be an admonition to put it in the
20 right places so it doesn't get banditoid off to other uses.
21 But for the moment, let me show it as an '80 supplement.
22 Okay?

23 Then minus one to 44 in '81, and the dollars for
24 that would be "thirty-two, ninety-five" plus an appropriate
25 chunk of 110. Or do you want to make it an appropriate

mgc

1 chunk of 300, John?

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think an appropriate
3 chunk of 300.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 300? All right.

5 MR. BARRY: You're adding 300 to fuel cycle?

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, we're adding 300, which is
7 to be proportioned for contingency planning between the
8 places that do contingency planning, just as there is 400 in
9 the '80 supplement to be apportioned. Okay?

10 MR. BARRY: So 300 to be spread for contingency.

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Amongst those three items.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. So your program support
13 in that decision unit is \$32,095,000 plus the appropriate
14 fraction of that 300.

15 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I guess I'm still a little
16 puzzled by that extra 190. What do you see being done with
17 it?

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Well, I expect that they
19 are going to have to go out and get some assistance on
20 contingency planning.

21 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Even though they don't --
22 (inaudible). And is the 110 earmarked for some other
23 purpose?

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's part of it.

25 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: You just think they're

mgc

1 going to need --

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: More than 110.

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes. See, they're saying
4 they're going to need 480, and I think that with the 480, I
5 would expect them to still need about -- (inaudible)

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm sure they'll find good use
7 for it.

8 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Or at least use --

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And if they don't, we'll carry
10 it over to '82. Okay. For the transportation unit, it
11 looks to me as if the '80 supplement, starting from a base
12 of 14, is plus one to 15, that one being for contingency
13 planning. And then plus 3 to 18.

14 MR. BARRY: Yes.

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see if that strikes
16 people -- the 18 is the assigned 17 plus that one in
17 contingency planning. If I move down now to radioisotope
18 licensing, I have a base of 43. It goes plus 3 to 46 in the
19 '80 supplement, and then plus zero, 46 in '81, and the
20 dollars are nothing in '80 and 350 in '81.

21 (Commissioner Gilinsky entered the room at 11:35
22 a.m.)

23 MR. BARRY: There will be some dollars spread in
24 the \$400,000.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: To be sure.

mgc

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. COOPER: Nothing additional, I believe you're saying.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Nothing other than the 400 spread.

MR. COOPER: And the 314 that is already in. I understand. Nothing additional for the supplemental.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And what 314?

MR. COOPER: I'm just taking up the base.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, yes. Okay. Now if I leaf to safeguards —

MR. COOPER: You didn't mention program support on transportation.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 305.

MR. COOPER: Right.

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And just contingency planning
2 spread in fiscal '80. Now the MC&A group, who start from a
3 base of -- I can't tell whether it's 38 or 39. Does anybody
4 know?

5 MR. BARRY: It will be 38.

6 MR. COOPER: 38 is their current estimate.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There doesn't seem to be any
8 change in the supplement, so it stays at 38. Then it goes
9 to 44 -- plus 6 to 44 in '81. The physical security line
10 starts on a base of 57, unchanged '80 supplement, and then
11 drops 8 to 49 in '81. The dollars in the two cases -- I
12 just take the EDOs 735 and 1075 and to this safeguards
13 grouping, there will be a suitable adjustment in '81 for
14 transfer, when we get that.

15 On to wastes. Now here, starting with high level
16 waste, the base is a little bit uncertain. Let's see, they
17 have been -- I take it as 33? Or the 40 that they are about
18 up to? That is, when I talk about a supplement --

19 MR. COOPER: We're talking about a supplement.
20 We're talking about the President's budget of 33 in terms of
21 what would be reflected to the next levels of review.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. If I start from 33, we
23 need to get to plus 16 to get 49, which is where they want
24 to be on the '80 supplement.

25 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: EDO had recommended plus

mgc 1 15.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And the EDO -- mine says plus
3 16. On Dircks' sheet, he shows 16 on each.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's Dircks'
5 recommendation. That's not the EDO recommendation.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But Dircks lists it as the
7 EDO's recommendation. What is your recommendation, Chief?

8 MR. DONOHUE: My sheet shows 16.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: FY '80? My sheet from EDO
10 says 15. Lee, we have a question on what the EDO
11 recommends.

12 MR. GOSSICK: On which?

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: NMSS high level waste, FY
14 '80 supplemental.

15 MR. ENGELHARDT: We have a typo somewhere. It's
16 between 15 or 16.

17 (Laughter.)

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: One or the other.

19 MR. ENGELHARDT: Your EDO front sheet will read
20 EDO recommendation of 15. As you go into the decision
21 units, you see the figure 16.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think it's 16.

23 MR. COOPER: I don't remember us reducing it at
24 all.

25 MR. DONOHUE: 16 was the BRG panel recommendation.

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think the 15 is a typo.

2 MR. GOSSICK: Must have been.

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes, it is. You're right,
4 because it adds.

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. So for the supplement, I
6 go plus 16 to 49. The dollars, \$1,800,000, and then plus 10
7 to 59 in '81, and \$12,940,000 on dollars just as
8 recommended. I'm going to take anything except vigorous
9 dissent as being full approval.

10 (Laughter.)

11 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's fine.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I will go with a lower
13 number.

14 (Laughter.)

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Just as a general rule of
16 thumb?

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want the good or the
18 better of good, better, best?

19 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Best not being a trillion.

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now, let's see. In low level
21 waste, the proposition is -- and I'm not sure that it's
22 properly assigned to low level.

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It isn't.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The 3 people and 200K in the
25 '80 supplement is for the DOE pilot program. And I'm

mge

1 willing to leave it here.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Did we approve that?

3 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: For a program with the
4 right skill, it would probably take more.

5 (Commissioner Kennedy entered the room at 11:45
6 a.m.)

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would drop that out.
8 It's a waste of time.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I guess I would carry it in
10 probably management direction or some similar category
11 there.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm not sure where they want to
13 tuck it, but I will leave that to the Office of the
14 Comptroller to sort out. But that's what I had in mind for
15 the 3 and the 200. And that's the only increment in the
16 fiscal '80 supplement for low level.

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And I'm just saying I don't
18 think it should be stuck at the low level line.

19 MR. GOSSICK: I guess my only question is, do we
20 know what it is. And I don't. Does Dircks, I hope, know
21 what to do with the 3 people and the 200K?

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: This is the 34A program.

23 MR. GOSSICK: 34A.

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: 34A, SECY-34A, SECY-34A.

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You don't know what

mgc

1 SECY-34A is?

2 (Laughter.)

3 MR. GOSSICK: The details have escaped me. I'm
4 sorry.

5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Do you know what 37 is?

6 (Laughter.)

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Scope of licensing the
8 program with DOE.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Now -- let's see. That
10 would leave this decision unit, I guess, at 25, and then I
11 would accept the rise to 27 in '81 and \$1,975,000.

12 MR. COOPER: I guess I missed you there. The
13 setaside --

14 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The setaside shouldn't be
15 in the low level waste line.

16 MR. COOPER: I thought the Chairman said you were
17 leaving it there for a moment.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Until you find a place for
19 it.

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. From the standpoint that
21 if you need a line to put it on, why, okay. But we
22 understand that it isn't necessarily low level -- I don't
23 know quite how they want to handle that.

24 MR. COOPER: They recognize it might not be the
25 right place also.

mgc

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is low level waste.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But if there isn't some high
3 level in it --

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Management direction might
5 even be the best place. You ought to put it in as a
6 catchall.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Don't you want to leave it in
8 the waste division?

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes, I would.

10 MR. GOSSICK: Why don't you split it between the
11 high level and the low level, if you want to include it.
12 Just split it half and half. You can't quite do that with a
13 three.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Management direction is Dircks'
15 office? Does that include Marting?

16 MR. BARRY: No, it does not.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'd leave the three somehow
18 with Martin.

19 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: How do you split any
20 effort, that is -- any of the true effort? Is that put into
21 high level waste?

22 MR. GOSSICK: I'm not sure whether he's got it
23 both places or not.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would think so.

25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They claim it's not waste.

mgc

1 (Commissioner Bradford left the room at 11:48
2 a.m.)

3 MR. GOSSICK: Why don't you just let us spread
4 that over those two units. We'll talk to Bill.

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Going across the low
6 level once more, in the '80 supplement you have got three
7 people and 200K to spread. That's with the waste program.
8 In '81, the same three and 200K to be spread, and then
9 specifically in the low level, it's plus 2 people and
10 \$1,975,000 or what I would recommend in the uranium recovery
11 line under waste management. Nothing in the '80
12 supplement. They start from a people base of 23, and it's
13 plus 12 to 35.

14 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I guess I'm kind of
15 surprised that they go up that much in '81 with none in
16 '80. Is that sort of looking ahead?

17 MR. DONOHUE: Based on the projections of the
18 caseloads in those years.

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Does this assume that they
20 will continue to do the licensing coincident with the
21 agreement states?

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, I don't think so. There is
23 a substantial chunk of technical assistance to the agreement
24 states, you know, on impact statements. And then the
25 increased stringency of the whole mine/mill sector and

mgc

1 looking forward to getting the agreement states tuned up and
2 our own regs tuned up. I put it in because —

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's right. They
4 expect new facilities.

5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I tell you what I thought,
6 John. I figured if we got down to '81 and Jack figured he
7 had too many people in mills, he'd find some place to use
8 them effectively.

9 MR. DONOHUE: If anything, based on a caseload
10 forecast, '81 would be tighter even than that.

11 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's based upon assuming
12 14 new facilities.

13 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And that is a very, very
14 substantial piece of the total man-years.

15 MR. DONOHUE: That's right. It's almost caseload
16 intensive in this whole decision unit.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was also just looking at the
18 thing as division. It starts out in '79 at 62 and has
19 doubled in '81. I think more than that, you have trouble
20 building in. On the other hand, if I say at the beginning
21 of '79 was the level of effort in waste management 50
22 percent of what I think it ought to have been, you know,
23 what a good program would be, i.e. — if you doubled it,
24 would that be an excessive program? And I must say I can't
25 find it in my heart to say that would be an excessive

mgc

1 program in view of the needs. So it just seemed to fit
2 together to me.

3 Finally, \$2,270,000, but the EDO —

4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Let me just say on the
5 uranium recovery in my belief is too many people. Now if
6 you want to just say, okay, that's a pool of people that
7 might be appropriately used elsewhere in the waste program,
8 okay. That's all right.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That was kind of my attitude in
10 going along —

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I wouldn't be able, I don't
12 think, to justify them in that division decision.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I don't think so, because
14 10 of those people are based upon the assumption they're
15 going to have 14 new facilities to license.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, can we identify the
17 facilities? We may be able to.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But I think the point is
19 that they are going to turn out, in all probability in most
20 cases, to be licensed by the states when you get to 1981,
21 because that anomaly in the law's going to be corrected.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But I don't think this was
23 based on the anomaly in the law.

24 MR. GOSSICK: I don't think so.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Were they able to identify the

mgc

1 facilities?

2 MR. ENGELHARDT: The facilities were identified in
3 the caseload forecast. That's where the numbers started
4 with. And then they had their own numbers here dealing with
5 precisely what they intend to accomplish with the various
6 manpower loadings.

7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 14 new licenses, new
8 facilities.

9 MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would be surprised if
11 they can get 14 new facilities being licensed in states that
12 are not now agreement states.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I suspect we're going to
14 be involved pretty deeply in that, whether or not the
15 licensing is --

16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Not in licensing.

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In one way or another, in
18 backing up state licensing.

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's different. I agree
20 with that. And we should. This implies --

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think state
22 agreements has the horsepower to handle a lot of that, and
23 it's inevitably going to get handled here.

24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That depends on the law.
25 You know, if the law says it won't, I guess it won't.

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How well identified is the
2 caseload forecast?

3 MR. GOSSICK: By agreement states, or otherwise?
4 Do you know, Dan, as you went through this?

5 MR. DONOHUE: I don't know. We just accepted the
6 caseload forecast as a given, looking at it.

7 MR. GOSSICK: And they're claim is that there're
8 are 14 units apparently not in agreement states that they're
9 going to license. That's what my understanding of this
10 number is.

11 MR. DONOHUE: That's right. Ten man-years of
12 effort.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This includes renewal of
14 licenses?

15 MR. ENGELHARDT: That's different. The amendments
16 are different.

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 26 major amendments --

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: There are only 26 mills
19 altogether now?

20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 26 major amendments, three
21 renewals and remedial action programs involving 6 cases, and
22 14 licensing actions for new facilities, 13 projects of
23 technical assistance to agreement states in support of
24 licensing activities.

25 MR. GOSSICK: Why don't we call and check that?

mgc

1 Call Martin and find out if those units are in non-agreement
2 states --

3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Pending some further
4 resolution, I would take five people out of that.

5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would too. If it turns
6 out that these are --

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIL: Okay. There is a potential
8 minus five in here. I would leave the money in it, even if
9 we --

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Fine for environmental
12 support.

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Program direction would stay at
14 19. Put 100K in in '81, if it makes them happy. We then
15 end up -- where do we end up? We end up at --

16 MR. BARRY: You take those five people out, and
17 you end up with 339 people.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, it's 344 and may be minus
19 five. And I believe the dollars come out to be \$23,545,000.

20 MR. BARRY: We can't compute as fast as that.

21 MR. COOPER: That's correct.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You haven't added in yet
23 the safeguards.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And there is not added in here
25 people or dollars for safeguards.

mgc

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. COOPER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That is for the adjusted —
adjustment in safeguards.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: They are going to sort this
out.

MR. GOSSICK: We're going to talk to Dircks and
NRR, and they will be ready with a paper of some sort to sit
down and discuss it by Friday morning.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now, let's see. Let me count
up. Let's see if I can figure out where I am.

2.4

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The '80 supplement is plus 23,
2 which brings the office up to, I believe, 317.

3 How does that strike you?

4 And from there, it either goes up plus 22 or plus 27,
5 to 339 or 334, depending on how we break out on this uranium
6 recovery increment. The dollars are 400 in the supplement,
7 400K, and 23,545,000 in '81.

8 And we understand that people and dollars in '81,
9 with regard to transfer of the safeguards from reactor and
10 physical protection to be straightened out.

11 How does that sound?

12 MR. BARRY: Right.

13 MR. GOSSICK: Are you sure that supplement of person-
14 nel shouldn't be 26?

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes; it should be 23.

16 MR. GOSSICK: You included the -- oh, I'm sorry. You
17 reduced that to four.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The Contingency Planning 3, which
19 were to appear in field cycle licensing, got X'ed.

20 MR. GOSSICK: Understand.

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: One in transportation, three in
22 radioisotope licensing for contingency planning.

23 Okay. Well, that was progress of a sort. Wait until
24 the office directors find out about this. They'll be screaming
25 and hollering. We'll have to close and lock the doors.

1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It all depends on when we get
2 to research.

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, Bill isn't going to complain.
4 It's coming out, right? Well, he'll settle down where he wants
5 to be.

6 We are do to come back at 1:30 for a three-hour or
7 a two-hour cut, continued cut at the budget; and then immedi-
8 ately pick up the TMI-1 order, and I hope hang with it I hope
9 pretty nearly to a completion, which will leave the counsel
10 supplying final versions, I hope with agreed upon language. I
11 hope we can come close.

12 Would you like to break now, or would you like to
13 take another 20 minutes, half an hour on budget? What is your
14 feeling?

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'd be glad to try to get
16 through -- say, maybe we can get through the Commission and EDO.

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It's all right with me.

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think research is going to
19 take us longer than that.

20 MR. GOSSICK: Do you have to go through I&E again or
21 not?

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. I think we might, because
23 John and I are 10 apart. Although since neither he nor I are
24 notably enthusiastic lunch eaters, why we might manage another
25 half hour between the two of us over lunch and see where we'd

1 like to sort that out. Maybe when we came back -- maybe we
2 can convince others.

3 Why don't we assault the EDO's offices? There are,
4 sort of as a going in base, a total of apparently 308 people in
5 there, and requests in the '80 supplement from ELD, MPA, and
6 state programs -- the budget and EDO group crippled a little
7 on the ELD and MPA groups, left state programs in their plus 8,
8 and recommended 14 people in supplement.

9 I must say my own inclination is to either hold it
10 to the state programs people or eight state programs, plus two
11 ELD, for the '80 supplement.

12 Commentary?

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I was willing to take the
14 whole 14, go up to 322.

15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So was I.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You would be dropping out the
17 MPA?

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't see any reason for
20 that to go up that way. What is -- what is the reason?

21 MR. GOSSICK: It's primarily related to extra work in
22 the business of handling all of the data coming in on this
23 operational evaluation thing. It's not their own separate
24 evaluation program, but there's more things that they're going
25 to have to do in order to support the total organization,

1 including the central office.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me ask you, o's going
3 to do abnormal occurrences? Will this new group do it, or will
4 MPA continue to do it?

5 It seems to me that ought to shift over to a new
6 group if we're going to have an operational data group.

7 MR. GOSSICK: Norm --

8 (12:05 p.m., Commissioner Bradford returned.)

9 MR. GOSSICK: It's my understanding that they will
10 continue to assist in a lot of the paper work and staffing
11 and processing of the reports, but that certainly, whether it
12 is or not an abnormal occurrence, I would think be handled by
13 the central group from a decision standpoint.

14 But what we said before, in the course of doing this
15 study, is that there is some additional information and data
16 that is not now coming into MPA, plus there is some additional
17 kinds of compiling of the information so it's in a form usable
18 --

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why would MPA have anything
20 to do with safety data once we have set up the group?

21 MR. GOSSICK: If you're going to put the total task
22 that MPA is now doing and just mechanically bring in all the
23 data, they're the one place in the organization where all this
24 data comes, and if you say, "Okay, that ought to be in this
25 group that we are creating, this central group," you're just

1 going to have to add to that number of people that are now in
2 MPA. I don't know the exact number, but it's a fairly sizable
3 group.

4 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess I would do that, if
5 that's what it comes down to, if it's really called for, simply
6 because if we're talking about safety data, this is basically
7 a management systems group. And the safety activities are just
8 not going to get the supervision that they should have with a
9 group like this.

10 Not that Norm is not a splendid fellow.

11 MR. GOSSICK: This question. Norm, has to do with
12 the role of your shop in this operational data evaluation thing.
13 Why for more people -- why, first of all, would you be involved
14 in at all, and particularly on abnormal occurrence reports,
15 would you continue to do that or not?

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: My question, just to make it
17 a little more explicit, is if they're are setting up an opera-
18 tional safety data group, why would your office continue to have
19 any safety functions, safety data functions?

20 MR. HALLER: The Task Force Report recommended the
21 activities of data gathering, data categorization, logging
22 preliminary screening, and early dissemination be significantly
23 upgraded as soon as possible; and went on to say that MPA should
24 manage these things over the short term, the other offices
25 should assure that MPA gets the data, and so forth.

1 Now, we are proceeding under the assumption that the
2 Commission endorsed that particular segment of the Task Force
3 Report. And these four people would be intended to simply do
4 this type of thing.

5 Now, I have thought about the general question of why
6 should MPA continue to be involved in this sort of thing. And
7 I believe what it boils down to is, does the new group that's
8 coming on wish to be involved primarily in the overview analysis
9 -- and what I would argue is the big picture type of thing --
10 or do they want to get bogged down, like we have gotten bogged
11 down, in these issues of sorting out abnormal occurrences,
12 processing these sorts of things through the staff handling the
13 ADP and the screening and the logging and processing of all
14 these LERs that come in, that sort of thing.

15 It could go either way, frankly.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would say, for myself, that
17 MPA is basically a management information office. And the
18 other office is primarily a safety-oriented office. And I
19 would put safety-related matters into a safety office, and
20 business-and-management-oriented information into your office.
21 And that's the way it slices.

22 MR. HALLER: Okay. I guess what it boils down to is
23 a matter of the efficiency of doing the thing. It may be
24 true that we can process the data, handle the data, and handle
25 these abnormal occurrence reports and that sort of thing more

1 more efficiently than this other office, and I kind of suspect
 2 that this other office is not going to want to get sucked into
 3 that thing, because they are going to find that their devoting
 4 an awful lot of time to doing those kinds of things. And it's
 5 going to take away from their analysis.

6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But ultimately, there's going
 7 to be a director there who is going to be a fellow with safety
 8 experience, I hope.

9 MR. HALLER: I would hope so, too.

10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And I would look to him,
 11 rather than to you, to decide whether something is an abnormal
 12 occurrence. I don't think you will take that as an unkind
 13 remark.

14 MR. GOSSICK: Of course, Norm doesn't decide that.

15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I understand that, but the
 16 fact is it's his office, and he's ultimately responsible.

17 MR. GOSSICK: That's right.

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think safety questions ought
 19 to be primarily under persons to whom we look to make safety
 20 decisions. I think they can best supervise their group. And
 21 just as I wouldn't put any management functions under such a
 22 person, I would certainly put them under you. It strikes me as
 23 a cleaner way to do it and also keeps our ruling clear as to
 24 what its responsibilities are.

25 MR. BARRY: Norm, were you to filter the process and

1 pass the information to this group, or were they to do the
2 analysis?

3 MR. HALLER: They were principally to not do the
4 analysis. In my view, anyway, they were principally to upgrade
5 these functions of data gathering, categorization, logging,
6 screening, dissemination, that sort of thing.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How it's handled during a
8 transition period is a separate question.

9 MR. GOSSICK: This, of course, depends a lot on the
10 guy we get in charge. He may say, "I insist on having this
11 under me," or he may say, "Look, it's a mechanical kind of
12 thing. I'd rather have somebody do it so I can devote my time
13 to looking at the issues."

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That ought to be very clear,
15 that they're performing a function for the other office, not
16 something they can sort out among themselves. And that's not
17 something, it seems to me that's a decision that who's ever
18 running the office ought to be making.

19 MR. HALLER: My view of this was that it clearly was
20 a support function for that other office.

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I understand that.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let me ask about the --

23 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We could tag those in the
24 way that we tagged the NRR position.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If one is going to talk about a

1 supplement of four people for this kind of function in '80,
2 then I want an earmarking on it. because it's a question
3 whether we shouldn't go under the EDO's offices for an '80
4 supplement of -- I don't know -- 20 people to man this office,
5 the Operations Evaluation Office.

6 If we did that, I would propose to make four of the
7 20 be these MPA types.

8 MR. GOSSICK: That's fine. I think you're going to
9 need them. We have been talking in terms of 15 to 20, but I
10 think there we were talking -- you know, these ought to be
11 added to that.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's how these guys end,
13 in order to be clear that they're headed toward that office,
14 that the man wants to give them back to Norm in order to carry
15 out the function? That's something that he and Norm can work
16 out among themselves.

17 MR. GOSSICK: Fine.

18 MR. BARRY: So then we'll add these people and make
19 them a part of the total that we're going to go into.-- the
20 total, whatever the number is -- and take them out of this one
21 item here.

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Right.

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What do you think would be an
24 appropriate number? I'd be inclined to say 20.

25 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: For which now? Are you

1 talking about '80 or '81?

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They were talking 20, without
3 the four.

4 MR. GOSSICK: 15 to 20. We hadn't really sized it.

5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would say 20. It's a new
6 office, and we don't want go up too high.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 20, to include these data-crunching
8 types.

9 MR. GOSSICK: For '80.

10 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would put it in the supplement
11 -- your way to handle it would be to put in the supplement for
12 '80.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And then in '81, we have already
15 agreed to chop Harold's 15 operational surveillance in OR,
16 which I would project goes as a good chunk to where the manpow-
17 er is going to come from.

18 So, in effect, you are moving it up six months,
19 something like that. If we don't do it that way, then we'll
20 put it in in '81? And I don't much care. Actually, I would
21 just as soon do it in the '80 supplement, frankly.

22 MR. GOSSICK: I think we should.

23

24

25

mgc

1 MR. BARRY: That's going to be a special line
2 item, 20 people special decision, new decision.

3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now what that would do then is
4 bring the EDO delta to 30 for the '80 supplement.

5 MR. BARRY: 2, 8, and 20.

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And that then -- okay. So that
7 is plus 30, and the office -- the group of offices then
8 total 338. The dollars that go with this I would propose as
9 follows. The EDO recommendation for the supplement was 455,
10 proposed for the chunk of state programs, and 120 K for this
11 data crunching exercise. Okay?

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Let's see, now --

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I'm not finished yet.
14 Now I would keep that 455, and I added to it 500 for state
15 emergency planning grants. I got a notion it's going to be
16 a lot easier --

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: How about the ARAC?

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I didn't put the ARAC in, and
19 it's never been one of my favorite enterprise.

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I did.

21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would have put it in.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It always struck me as a large
23 computer and associated staff looking for something to do.

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But they found it.

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. They've been doing it

mgc 1 for a very long time.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I have to reveal my
3 prejudices here. I participated in a competing effort at
4 one time, but we concluded that we're going to have to go
5 with small systems at the reactor sites, not have everything
6 tied together.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's what you concluded?

8 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. That's what I -- where I
10 am, too. These guys want to throw in the 100K. But the
11 100K isn't, after all -- 100K to ARAC is like a peanut in
12 the elephant's cage, you realise.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But it gets them to look in
14 your direction once in awhile.

15 (Laughter.)

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And what it's for is sort of a
17 two year study on what might be done with it and how it
18 might be hooked up and what it all might cost and so on. I
19 didn't put it in. They want to put it in. You would --

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I just don't think it's
21 the way to go. I would put in money to look at alternative
22 systems, just small --

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How about putting in the 100K
24 each year and make it more than just ARAK?

25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Or at least to evaluate

mgc

1 alternatives.

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think I would have no
3 problem with additional money to evaluate alternatives, but
4 100K isn't going to get you very far.

5 MR. BARRY: If you're going to do ARAC at all,
6 you've got to do \$100,000. You've got to put a contract
7 with them to do something for the state programs. If you
8 want to put another 100 in —

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The state program strikes
10 me —

11 MR. BARRY: NRR has put \$100,000 to it — \$50,000
12 in '80 and \$50,000 in '81.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think it's a separate
14 question, because where your emergency planning is going to
15 be handled, wherever that is, is the —

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes. I hope we at some
17 point are going to get to those kinds of questions.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 200K, fine.

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And what? We'll look at
20 some other things, too?

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 100 to look at ARAC and 100 to
22 look at better systems. Peter?

23 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I'm just listening.

24 MR. BARRY: That makes \$700,000 apiece, then, in
25 the total. That's \$500,000 for the emergency training and

mgc

1 \$200,000 for this.

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Did you ever see the
3 results?

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. But — I can remember
5 their great plans to set this up for every reactor site and
6 fuel facility site and so on.

7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: They have done it. It
8 exists.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: For DOE facilities. However,
10 each DOE facility also has a meteorological section, and I
11 can tell you what happens at a national laboratory when
12 they're interested in the weather patterns for some
13 operational purpose of their own. You know, like a slug
14 blew and they've got a little thing going up the stack or
15 whatever. They look at their stuff, and the ARAC machine
16 sits over in the corner and clackety-clack, and the paper
17 just stacks up on the floor, and it speaks to itself.

18 However — however, 100 and 100 would make 700
19 delta, which would take us up to 1155. Right?

20 MR. BARRY: Right.

21 MR. GOSSICK: Right.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Plus what?

23 MR. COOPER: Plus 31 — (inaudible)

24 MR. BARRY: Now you're ready for '81.

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. Now let's march on to

mgc

1 '81. And in '81, what I would propose -- why don't I march
2 down the decision units. EDO's office stays the same. No
3 program funds. ELD picked up two on the supplement; I'd be
4 inclined to allow three in '81. Leave the office total at
5 100 for '81 at 20 kilo-dollars. Will that sell?

6 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Comptroller has the good grace
8 to say where he is on people. Needs 240K. I'll buy that.

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would -- wait a minute.
10 You missed MPA.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I haven't gotten there yet.

12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's the next line on my
13 sheet.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On the sheet I'm working now,
15 John, we're about to arrive at it.

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would add three in the
17 comptroller. I recognize the difficulty a comptroller has
18 in running a budget and asking for an increase, but I think
19 that we continue to add people and dollars to the agency --
20 we ought to recognize that at some point you also increase
21 the comptroller. So I would have gone 68.

22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I noticed the comptroller isn't
23 leaping over the table at your throat to fight you on the
24 issue.

25 (Laughter.)

mgc 1 What do people think?

2 MR. GOSSICK: I'd certainly support that, looking
3 at the workload they've got over there and travel and
4 payroll. We've got a new payroll system coming on that will
5 hopefully help. If we didn't help that, it'd be worse.

6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where does that stand, by
7 the way?

8 MR. BARRY: We hope to start --

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's taking quite
10 awhile.

11 MR. BARRY: It has.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is what you've got --
13 a bunch of contractors that are finally getting in gear?

14 MR. GOSSICK: It's more than that.

15 MR. BARRY: We got one contractor we finally got
16 on board. We haven't had him on board except for three
17 months, but we start parallel testing in about a month.
18 We'll parallel test for about 60 days, and if it goes over
19 all right -- we're doing benchmark testing right now, and
20 it's looking great. We ought to be parallel testing in
21 October, November, and go on-line in December. And the
22 payoff on that, if it works to the original decision, is
23 going to be about \$250,000 a year for payroll in NRC versus
24 \$2 million in NRC for payroll. That's the payoff -- on-time
25 sharing.

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. I've got a plus three.
2 I've got a zero -- Dick?

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'll be guided by the
4 comptroller's own judgement in the matter, and up to now
5 it's zero. Is he prepared to tell me why he's going to need
6 the three and how he's going to use them?

7 MR. BARRY: Other than the Commission and the SECY
8 office, I have the highest paid overtime rat' in the
9 agency. I have four full time --

10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That answers my question.
11 With the understanding that you're going to reduce some of
12 that overtime, I'd be delighted to add three more people.

13 MR. BARRY: Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Peter?

15 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Do you have a preference
16 between three, five?

17 MR. BARRY: No, three.

18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What does it take to
19 reduce overtime?

20 MR. BARRY: I have four full time temporaries. I
21 couldn't get your travel checks out in less than three
22 weeks, if I didn't. So three is adequate, because we're
23 going to continue to increase our computer --

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That sounds like a threat. I
25 think I'll vote for three. I'm about to do some traveling.

mgc

1 MR. BARRY: I really didn't mean it that way.

2 (Laughter.)

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Oh, you haven't lived, if
4 you haven't done any traveling. You're going to have a new
5 experience in the efficiencies of the administrative
6 process.

7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right.

8 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: And Vic, since he voted
9 against it, good luck on getting back.

10 (Laughter.)

11 MR. BARRY: With this relatively small agency,
12 you'd be amazed how many commercial payments, as an example,
13 we make per year.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why is that?

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: MPA.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why is that going out?
17 Well let's see, four of those are accounted for, so it's
18 really four more.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Wait a minute. The way the
20 office will show it, it will stay at 76 in the '80
21 supplement.

22 MR. BARRY: Yes, it would go to 80 in '81.

23 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Because we're calling for 20 in
24 the operations evaluation. What we're saying is the
25 operations evaluation chief finds it most effective to have

mgc

1 his data crunches assigned into MPA. Fine. That's the way
2 it is.

3 Now for '81, we're talking about four more. And
4 what are peoples' inclinations there?

5 MR. GOSSICK: Can I let Norm have a chance?
6 Notice he's cut down from 79. I have had to take two spaces
7 away from him to solve a couple of other overall allocation
8 problems, and this kind of hurt him. And I think he would
9 like to make a short case for the four people that he's
10 asking for.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It better be short because I'm
12 going to go to lunch.

13 MR. HALLER: I did not plain to Reclama, but to
14 explain what that means, sir. My present ceiling is 79
15 persons and not shown on the '78 number is one additional
16 Adair person, who has been assigned to my office, so my real
17 ceiling is 79. Now this next year, I am being asked to go
18 down to 76, and I discussed this with Mr. Gossick, and I
19 explained to him --

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Are you actually at the 79
21 now?

22 MR. HALLER: I'm not at 79 now, because I have
23 some vacancies I'm trying to refill. I've had some people
24 leave. But my ceiling is 79 as of right now, so this is
25 really a cut. And I am not going to complain about whether

mgc

1 it's 76 or 79. But the point is that if I'm held to 76,
2 that represents a reduction. And in '81, three of those
3 persons were to simply get me back to the 79 that I had
4 before. And the one additional one would be a person that I
5 would like to add to help improve the capability of
6 automating some of these publications so I can, in future
7 years, but back on the amount of overhead that it takes to
8 do these things.

9 MR. GOSSICK: Norm, that would only bring you to
10 80. We approved 84.

11 MR. HALLER: Well, see, the other four were for
12 that operational data thing.

13 MR. GOSSICK: I gotcha.

14 MR. HALLER: And that's how you get to 80.

15 MR. GOSSICK: That's correct.

16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay?

17 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I'd go to 80.

18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I guess I would have held at
19 the lower number. Dick?

20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'd go to 80.

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 80?

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd hold at the lower
23 number.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 76?

25 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: 80.

mgc

1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 80, plus four to 80? EEO, they
2 are at four now. My inclination was, either keep them there
3 or allow plus one to five.

4 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I was going to add -- I
5 would propose adding two people to implement the programs
6 that I think will result from that study that hopefully we
7 are going to get done, and I would also add two for the
8 issue of the licensee and grantee compliance.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Which would then be --

10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So I would go to nine.

11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: From base of four, you would go
12 plus five?

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Plus one?

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Vic?

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would go plus one.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Peter?

18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.

19 MR. BARRY: The plus one is what -- to the five?

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Plus one is to five.

21 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think you will end up
22 needing those five people -- those other four people
23 somewhere. I think eventually we'll have to put them in.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Maybe it will be --

25 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: This is for the

mgc

1 compliance?

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Maybe it will be clearer down
3 the line. At the moment it seems to me it's not the way to
4 go at it.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-10

mgc

1 MR. BARRY: But we did some arithmetic. Anyway,
2 three of those positions were, to answer Commissioner
3 Galinsky, were for casework and one was for the
4 international health and radiation --

5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That one can go.

6 (Laughter.)

7 MR. BARRY: That was one for that and three for
8 casework.

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That shows a lot of
10 concern for the rest of the world.

11 MR. BARRY: The only alternative to a real
12 substantial increase in casework is to give it to NMSS.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: My impression is a lot of
14 things become routine that otherwise have to be done by
15 hand, so to speak.

16 MR. GOSSICK: I think it's improved certainly in
17 the process, but the cases are seemingly more complex -- are
18 the ones that are the major cases that they had. I guess
19 the delegation paper that OPE put together would help. I
20 think it's a man-year or two, whether the Commission would
21 buy that.

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What delegation paper?

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: OPA -- Jim Devine put
24 together a paper about a month ago, and it was proposing a
25 set of actions, a way to make some of them more automatic.

mgc

1 It was moving in the direction, I think, of the point that
2 you just made -- that some of their stuff is very routine,
3 and here's a way to avoid the lengthy time that it takes
4 now. One of their problems, I believe, is on many of the
5 items that should be routine, they have to end up writing
6 SECY papers and going through a long process.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I certainly wouldn't
8 increase the budget, their numbers.

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see, I've had a vote of
10 32. Dick, where would you be?

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 32.

12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 32, 32, 28. Peter?

13 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: 28 also.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: 28? Who was that?

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's what they've got now.
16 You and Peter, 28. The rest of us would go to 32?

17 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I just don't think that
18 office's problems are in manpower.

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: State programs, we have agreed
20 to 8 plus 8. We start with a base of 27, plus 8 to a
21 strength of 35 in the '80 supplement. And I was going to go
22 on and allow the 2 to 37 on the EDX's mark in '81.
23 Comments?

24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would like at some point
25 to take up where these -- you know, there are a whole bund

mgc

1 of functions. Some of them here, the state programs, the
2 emergency planning, other items I think we need to consider
3 where they ought to be located -- whether they ought to
4 continue to be the responsibilities -- more particularly, my
5 concern is about leaving safety functions in what is
6 basically a liaison office. I just don't think you can get
7 the right supervision in that office. I mean, Bob Bryan
8 knows about dealing with governors, but he doesn't know
9 about safety matters. And I just wouldn't like to continue
10 to leave basically health and safety responsibilities in
11 that office.

12 I'm not discussing any particular actions he's
13 taken in the past.

14 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think some question of are we
15 well organized to deal with that considerably enhanced
16 emergency planning regime in the agency -- I think here what
17 were talking -- primarily talking about -- is trying to get
18 the staff -- you know, what staff resources do we need --

19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I understand that, but can
20 we agree that we'll take up these other questions?

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think it's already
22 legitimate.

23 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: We have agreed.

24 MR. GOSSICK: On the emergency planning project,
25 the task force study that's in its final stages will address

mgc
1 the organizational problems with regard to emergency
2 planning. The other matter that you mentioned, I think --
3 well, you know the agreement state programs used to be in
4 NMSS. I'm not sure that we really solve the implications
5 toward safety when we moved that out of there. But I agree
6 we could probably use some people there.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: As far as the number is
8 concerned, I'm a little uneasy about only going up an
9 additional two in '81. My guess is it's going to end up
10 with a larger increase being required. It's associated with
11 that pulling it into --

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think that's right. I
13 mean as long as we're agreed that we're going to take up the
14 organizational question, I think we ought to pump some more
15 resources into that.

16 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: My guess is you're going to
17 end up forming some sort of a unit, and to form that unit,
18 you're going to need --

19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How about three more to 40?

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That's still minimum.

21 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would make it plus five,
22 which is a more respectable sort of delta -- plus five to
23 40. Now, let's see, on '81 dollars as I come down, we
24 agreed ELD get's 20 Controller gets 240; MPA get's their
25 600. EEO, 15.

mgc
1 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Let me stop at EEO for a
2 second. You noted as to those other seven people that
3 there's a SECY paper in process?

4 MR. GOSSICK: Yes. But it's not I think far
5 enough along --

6 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Two of those people were
7 people that I was trying to get --

8 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I understand that, John.
9 That's a point that I want to come back to.

10 MR. GOSSICK: Tom's people have been working on
11 this thing, literally working the paper, with Tucker's help,
12 but we're going to have to go to Justice. Apparently,
13 there's something --

14 MR. ENGELHARDT: The waters have become muddied
15 over the past several months over the fact as to what the
16 Justice Department is expecting in the way of enforcement by
17 NRC. People have been talking with Justice, and we have to
18 sort that out and find out what has happened here. It got
19 more complicated as we delved into the matter and found out
20 who Tucker's -- to Ed Tucker what had happened, what was
21 happening in his front. And we felt that this juncture --
22 and he apparently acceded that it was premature for us to
23 establish any number in this enforcement area.

24 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: All I can say is, having
25 had to implement a similar law in another agency, I think

mgc

1 it's very clear, and at some stage I would expect that we're
2 really going to have to implement it. We're going to need
3 people to do it. It's not easy.

4 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What I was asking was
5 whether we're likely to see that paper in time for it to
6 have any impact on the budget. If not, I would say go with
7 John. Put a couple of people on it now.

8 MR. GOSSICK: I don't think it's going to be in
9 time, Peter.

10 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Okay. Count me with John
11 on that. I don't know that it makes a difference.

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is what we're dealing
13 with -- equal opportunity questions in the industry?

14 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Right.

15 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: There is a federal law
16 which requires federal agencies, when you have an impact
17 such as granting, giving money, giving grants, and also
18 taking regulator actions, that to enforce certain equal
19 opportunity statutes --

20 MR. ENGELHARDT: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
21 and Title IV --

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Which we have ignored up
23 to now?

24 MR. ENGELHARDT: No. We have provisions for it,
25 but the enforcement has been --

mgc

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Have we done anything on
2 it? I don't remember doing anything.

3 MR. ENGELHARDT: We have a regulation which
4 says --

5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I thought the question
6 came up several years ago, and the Commission decided not to
7 do anything.

8 MR. GOSSICK: Implementation action --

9 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The people out in the field
10 have, I believe, done nothing. That's right.

11 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'll go along with that.

12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So there's three votes for
13 the two.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'll go along with the
15 two.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: With the two or the one?

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The two.

18 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: In addition to that one
19 increase, so that would go to seven.

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would make it a total of
21 plus three.

22 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What is the other one going
24 to do?

25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Whatever he was going to do

mgc

1 before, I guess.

2 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Try to make up for the
3 workload that they've got.

4 MR. GOSSICK: We've had Marty Dougherty in there
5 on detail. He has left, and I'm going to have to put
6 somebody in there to help.

7 MR. TUCKER: He just doesn't have the capacity.

8 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. 15K for program support,
9 OIP 100. Now when we get to OSP, we've got a big chunk. I
10 was going to allow them the 17,30--. Since we've put in 100
11 ARAC and 100 anti-ARAC --

12 (Laughter.)

13 -- in '80, and those are likely to be two-year programs, I
14 think we ought to do it in '81. Does that strike you?

15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why not? Now at this
16 point --

17 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It sounds like intervenor
18 funding.

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Peter is behind. Shouldn't
20 you get at least 50 in '81, Pete?

21 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I'll put it in intervenor
22 funding direct --

23 (Laughter.)

24 If we can fund both sides of the ARAC dispute, we can
25 probably fund both sides of a few others.

mgc

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why not.

2 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. That would bring state
3 programs' boodle to \$1,930,000 which ought to drive them out
4 of their minds, to say nothing of all those county officers.

5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It may well drive some of
6 the Congress out of their minds, too, when they see it.

7 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: You had 500 in '80, right,
8 Joe, for the state grants?

9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, sir. And I think -- let's
10 see. in '81, it is -- I'm not -- It wasn't to be a
11 continuing proposition. I think we've done it. I'm too
12 hungry to go on.

13 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No, it is not. It is only
14 in '80.

15 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It was a one-shot in '80.

16 MR. BARRY: One-time grant.

17 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What he was looking for was a
18 whole batch of counties and a few thousand per county or an
19 instrument here, a little thing here.

20 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I think what you're going
21 to end up with is a program in which you are going to have
22 to help these local governments do so emergency planning.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We already do that.

24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I ~~must~~ say it's up a good cut.
25 The total for '81, then, I get for the thing is plus 22 folk

mgc

1 for a total of 360 in the EDX office array. And I haven't
2 counted up the dollar number.

3 MR. COOPER: \$3,500,000.

4 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: \$3,500,000?

5 MR. BARRY: 360 or 380? Including the 20 people?

6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It ain't 20 more in '81. It's
7 the same 20 carried over.

8 MR. BARRY: Sorry.

9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Would you be able to, in
10 the relatively near future, on a quick and dirty basis, give
11 us a net increase that we are looking at now as the result
12 of the actions we have taken up to this point?

13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Oh, yes, we could probably
14 wrack that out and have it this afternoon.

15 MR. BARRY: Yes, I can do that.

16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm going to sit down and
17 figure what we did this morning.

18 MR. BARRY: Right now, you're at about 300,
19 roughly.

20 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is that over present levels, or
21 is that in the '81?

22 MR. BARRY: That's over three for — now if you
23 add the supplemental on top of that, that will come down.
24 Okay?

25

mgc

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

(Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m., the hearing was recessed to reconvene at 1:30 p.m., this same day.)

Handwritten mark