

*Jea*



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

September 24, 1980

Docket No. 50-312

POOR ORIGINAL

Mr. J. J. Mattimoe  
Assistant General Manager and  
Chief Engineer  
Sacramento Municipal Utility District  
6201 S Street  
P. O. Box 15830  
Sacramento, California 95813

Dear Mr. Mattimoe:

SUBJECT: LESSONS LEARNED SHORT-TERM REQUIREMENT 2.1.3.b "INSTRUMENTATION FOR DETECTION OF INADEQUATE CORE COOLING - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION"

The subject item was identified to be reviewed prior to implementation as a Category "B" item of the requirements of "TMI-1 Lessons Learned Task Force Report and Short-Term Recommendations", NUREG-0578.

We have reviewed your correspondence relating to this subject including your letter dated August 28, 1980. Your response to the subject requirement concludes that existing instrumentation adequately satisfies the intended purpose of detecting and responding to inadequate core cooling. However, our review and evaluation concludes that there are major concerns with your conclusions on this subject. Particularly, we believe that there has been insufficient effort to develop a level measurement system which is sufficiently accurate to provide valuable advance warning of the approach to inadequate core cooling.

Our evaluation (enclosed) provides the current NRC position on this subject. Therefore, we require that you develop such an instrumentation system. The acceptance criteria of this instrumentation system is clarified in our letter to you dated September 5, 1980.

We request that you provide within 30 days of receipt of this letter your commitment to develop such an instrumentation system.

Sincerely,

*Darrell G. Wisenhut*

Darrell G. Wisenhut, Director  
Division of Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
NRC Staff Evaluation

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

8010230 425 P

Sacramento Municipal Utility  
District

cc w/enclosure(s):

David S. Kaplan, Secretary and  
General Counsel  
6201 S Street  
P. O. Box 15830  
Sacramento, California 95813

Sacramento County  
Board of Supervisors  
827 7th Street, Room 424  
Sacramento, California 95814

Business and Municipal Department  
Sacramento City-County Library  
828 I Street  
Sacramento, California 95814

Director, Technical Assessment  
Division  
Office of Radiation Programs  
(AW-459)  
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Crystal Mall #2  
Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region IX Office  
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR  
215 Fremont Street  
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. Robert B. Borsum  
Babcock & Wilcox  
Nuclear Power Generation Division  
Suite 420, 7735 Old Georgetown Road  
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Thomas Baxter, Esq.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
1800 M Street, NW  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Herbert H. Brown, Esq.  
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.  
Hill, Christopher and Phillips, P. C.  
1900 M St., NW  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Helen Hubbard  
P. O. Box 63  
Sunol, California 94586

Christopher Ellison, Esq.  
Dian Grueuich, Esq.  
California Energy Commission  
1111 Howe Avenue  
Sacramento, California 95825

Ms. Eleanor Schwartz  
California State Office  
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Rm. 201  
Washington, D.C. 20003

Docketing and Service Section  
Office of the Secretary  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Resident Inspector  
P. O. Box 48  
Fair Oaks, California 95628

Dr. Richard F. Cole  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq.  
Chairman, Atomic Safety and  
Licensing Board Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Michael R. Eaton  
Energy Issues Coordinator  
Sierra Club Legislative Office  
1107 9th St., Room 1020  
Sacramento, CA 95814

POOR ORIGINAL

Sacramento Municipal Utility  
District

cc w/enclosure(s):

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal  
Board Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

California Department of Health  
ATTN: Chief, Environmental  
Radiation Control Unit  
Radiological Health Section  
714 P Street, Room 498  
Sacramento, California

NRC STAFF EVALUATION  
OF  
BABCOCK AND WILCOX POSITION  
REGARDING  
ADDITIONAL INSTRUMENTATION FOR DETECTION  
OF INADEQUATE CORE COOLING FOR B&W  
REACTORS

Introduction

The staff has reviewed information submitted by Babcock and Wilcox (B&W) plant owners in response to the short-term lessons learned item 2.1.3(b) of NUREG-0578(R-1) and the subsequent clarification letter issued by the staff (R-2). The staff position and clarification as presented in R-2 follows:

Position

Licensees shall provide a description of any additional instrumentation or controls (primary or backup) proposed for the plant to supplement those devices cited in the preceding section giving an unambiguous, easy-to-interpret indication of inadequate core cooling. A description of the functional design requirements for the system shall also be included. A description of the procedures to be used with the proposed equipment, the analysis used in developing these procedures, and a schedule for installing the equipment shall be provided.

Clarification

1. Design of new instrumentation should provide an unambiguous indication of inadequate core cooling. This may require new measurements to or a synthesis of existing measurements which meet safety-grade criteria.
2. The evaluation is to include reactor water level indication.
3. A commitment to provide the necessary analysis and to study advantages of various instruments to monitor water level and core cooling is required in the response to the September 13, 1979 letter.

4. The indication of inadequate core cooling must be unambiguous, in that, it should have the following properties:
  - a) it must indicate the existence of inadequate core cooling caused by various phenomena (i.e., high void fraction pumped flow as well as stagnant boil off).
  - b) it must not erroneously indicate inadequate core cooling because of the presence of an unrelated phenomenon.
5. The indication must give advanced warning of the approach of inadequate core cooling.
6. The indication must cover the full range from normal operation to complete core uncovering. For example, if water level is chosen as the unambiguous indication, then the range of the instrument (or instruments) must cover the full range from normal water level to the bottom of the core.

The staff review to date is based on the information provided in References R-3 and R-4, which are the B&W-developed positions based on their evaluation. Reference R-4 is a report which was sent to the B&W Owners Group in April 1980 and the primary conclusions, i.e., existing instrumentation is adequate for detection of inadequate core cooling, has been endorsed by each of the B&W reactor licensees. These positions developed in R-4 and in individual licensees' responses to Lessons Learned Requirement 2.1.3.b can be summarized as follows:

1. An advance warning of the approach of inadequate core cooling is provided by existing instrumentation which indicates a loss of subcooling in the hot leg.
2. An unambiguous indication of the existence of inadequate core cooling is provided by the incore thermocouples and the hot leg RTDs.
3. Additional instrumentation concepts considered fail to meet at least one of the criteria established by References R-1 and R-2.
4. Additional instrumentation is not needed since necessary operator actions for management of the accident will be taken based on existing indicators.

3. The staff agrees that the individual methods considered in the referenced reports appear to be deficient in one or more of the criteria of the staff position. However, combinations of the methods do provide the information required for a system which has the potential to satisfy the staff criteria. It is probable that additional data processing and display equipment would be needed to aid in the interpretation of the available information through appropriate correlations or by integration of necessary data. For example, an acceptable level measurement system above the core coupled with in-core thermocouple data properly correlated in terms of level or equivalent condition of core uncover could be displayed in a manner to satisfy the full range indication criterion.
4. The staff finds the position that additional instrumentation is not needed because necessary operator actions will be taken based on existing indicators to be unacceptable. If all actions available to the operator have been taken and the system is continuing to lose coolant due to equipment malfunction or some unknown system condition, the operator should be clearly informed of the situation. It is probable that additional actions such as detection and correction of the unknown malfunction or initiation of system depressurization to utilize low pressure coolant injection sources could be taken by the operator if circumstances warranted such action. Even if operator actions are not keyed directly to level indication, the information derived from such an indicator would be valuable in assisting the operator and supporting emergency operations staff to assess the situation and to prepare for those actions required upon indication of the existence of inadequate core cooling.

In summary, the staff finds the positions developed by the licensees of B&W designed reactors to be unacceptable. The owners of B&W designed reactors should provide an acceptable response to the Inadequate Core Cooling requirement (R-1 & R-2), including a schedule for installation, testing and calibration, and implementation of any proposed new instrumentation or information displays.

MEETING SUMMARY DISTRIBUTION

Licensee:

H. Denton  
E. G. Case  
Docket File  
NRC PDR  
L PDR

TERA  
NSIC  
ORB#4 Rdg  
NRR Rdg  
DEisenhut  
RTedesco  
TNovak  
GLainas  
ORB Branch Chiefs (5)  
ORB Project Manager  
ORB Licensing Assistant

OELD  
AEOD  
IE-3  
RFraley, ACRS-16  
Program Support Branch  
Meeting Summary File  
NRC Participants

References

- R-1 NUREG-0578, "TMI-2 Lessons Learned Task Force Status Report and Short-Term Recommendations," USNRC, July, 1979.
- R-2 Enclosure 1 to letter, H. R. Denton to all operating nuclear power plants, "Discussion of Lessons Learned Short-Term Requirements," October 30, 1979.
- R-3 "Analysis Summary in Support of Inadequate Core Cooling Guidelines for a Loss of RCS Inventory," B&W.
- R-4 B&W Letter SC-68, "Report on Additional Instrumentation to Detect Inadequate Core Cooling," April 8, 1980, Amendment No. 18 to the TMI-1 Restart Report, Metropolitan Edison w/attachment, "Status Report on Additional Instrumentation to Detect Inadequate Core Cooling."