



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 2, 1989

Walter J. Foley
Principal Investigator
Parameter, Inc.
13380 Watertown Plank Road
Elm Grove, Wisconsin 53122

Reference: NRC Contract No. 05-85-157-02
PAR: NRC-157-02, Task 027

Dear Mr. Foley:

SUBJECT: DRAFT PARTIAL REPORT FOR CLOSEOUT OF BULLETIN 86-03,
DATED FEBRUARY 22, 1989

We have reviewed your February 22 draft of the closeout report for IE Bulletin 86-03. Except for the introduction, the parts of this report that have been completed appear to be satisfactory. The introduction seems to mislead the reader as to the subject of the bulletin, so we believe that it should be re-written. We have the following suggestions that should help clarify this section:

1. The second paragraph of the introduction, dealing with the Brunswick problem, should be deleted, as the control logic problem that is discussed there is not related to the problem addressed by the bulletin.
2. Likewise, the fourth paragraph, with the exception of the reference to the information notice, should be deleted, as neither the Brunswick nor the Peach Bottom problems are really related to the bulletin. The reference to the notice should be used to introduce the third paragraph.
3. The third paragraph should be expanded to clearly describe the problem identified at Point Beach, where a single failure related to the valves in the common minimum flow return line could have disabled both SI pumps.
4. The fifth paragraph should also be expanded to discuss the reason for the bulletin, that is, that the Point Beach problem had also been discovered at Robinson, Ginna and Turkey Point.
5. An additional paragraph should be included to briefly outline the addressed actions required by the bulletin.

8912200006 890502
PDR I&E
MISC

PDC

DF013
170

May 2, 1989

Walter J. Foley

-2-

The only other area that we would comment on is the sentence dealing with Bulletin 86-01 in the abstract. This should be deleted because, without any further discussion of its relevance to the subject, it is confusing. The use of previous actions required by Bulletin 86-01 to satisfy the requirements of Bulletin 86-03 could be discussed in the introduction, in a paragraph dealing with the required actions (item 5 above).

Sincerely,

Original Signed By
Carl H. Burlinger

Carl H. Burlinger, Chief
Generic Communications Branch
Division of Operational Events Assessment
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION
CERossi, NRR
CHBurlinger, NRR
DCKirkpatrick, NRR
WDLanning, NRR
KSWest, NRR
AHennick
PDR
Central Files
DCS
DOEA R/F
OGCB R/F
DKirkpatrick R/F

*SEE PREVIOUS PAGE FOR CONCURRENCE

*OGCB:DOEA:NRR
DCKirkpatrick
04/27/89

CAB
C/OGCB:DOEA:NRR
CHBurlinger
05/1/89