#### MBV 2 9 1888

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Paul H. Lohaus, Chief

Operations Branch

Division of Low-Level Waste Management

and Decommissioning, NMSS

FROM:

Terry L. Johnson

Operations Branch

Division of Low-Level Waste "anagement

and Decommissioning, NMSS

SUBJECT:

REPORT OF VISIT TO GREEN RIVER SITES

On October 11, 1989, T. Johnson and G. Konwinski performed an inspection at the subject site. We were accompanied by NRC consultant, Dave Bennett.

Mr. Bennett is a consultant to the Technical Branch on low-level waste disposal activities and accompanied us on this visit in order to observe construction activities associated with geotechnical aspects of waste disposal. Enclosed for your information is a report for the Green River construction inspection.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Terry L. Johnson
Operations Branch
Division of Low-Level Waste Management
and Decommissioning, NMSS

Enclosures: As stated

PDC

Distribution: C RBangart, LLWM PLohaus, LLOB PDR YES /X7	LLOB MFliegel, LLOB GKonwinski, URFO			LLRB n, LLI r, LLI	OB	JSum DG11	NMSS r/f JSurmeier, LLTB DGillen, LLOB JJones, LLOB r/f					
PDR NO7	Category: Pr	roprietary	or	r CF	Only							
ACNW YES /X7 SUBJECT ABSTRACT		VISIT TO C			ITE							
OFC :LLOB*	:LLOB*	:LLOB	: LLWM		: LLW	IM	: NMSS					
NAME: TJohnson/jj	:DGillen	:MFliegel	:		:		:					
Date:11/15/89 2070276 891129	:11/15/89	:11/1/189	: /	/89	: /	/89	: /	/89				

WM-68

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

MEMORANDUM FOR: 1

Paul H. Lohaus, Chief

Operations Branch

Division of Low-Level Waste Management

and Decommissioning, NMSS

FROM:

Terry L. Johnson

Operations Branch

Division of Low-Level Waste Management

and Decommissioning, NMSS

SUBJECT:

REPORT OF VISIT TO GREEN RIVER SITES

On October 11, 1989, T. Johnson and G. Konwinski performed an inspection at the subject site. We were accompanied by NRC consultant, Dave Bennett. Enclosed for your information is a report for the Green River construction inspection.

Terry L. Johnson
Operations Branch
Division of Low-Level Waste Management
and Decommissioning, NMSS

Enclosures: As stated

Distribution: RBangart, LLWM PLohaus, LLOB	Central File # WM-68 JGreeves, LLWM MFliegel, LLOB GKONWINSKI, URFO	MBell, LLRB TJohnson, LLOB SWastler, LLOB	NMSS r/f JSurmeier, LLTB DGillen, LLOB JJones, LLOB r/f			
PDR YES XX7	RHOH, URFO		1			
PDR NO	Category: Proprietary	or CF Only				
ACNW YES Z	NO					
SUBJECT ABSTRA	CT: REPORT OF VISIT TO	GREEN RIVER SITE				

OFC :LLOB	:LLOB Quelo	:LLOB :MFliegel		: LLWM		:LLWM			:NMSS					
NAME: TJohnson/jj	:DGillen					:								
Date: 11 /15/89	: 11/15/89	;	1	/89	;	/	/89	:	1	/89	:	/	/89	

#### ENCLOSURE 1

### On-Site Construction Inspection Report

Facility Name:

Green River Processing and Disposal Site Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action

Project Site Green River, Utah

Inspection Conducted:

October 11, 1989

NRC Personnel:

Ted Johnson Gary Konwinski

Dave Bennett, NRC Consultant

Inspection Summary:

Area Inspected: T. Johnson and G. Konwinski conducted a routine, announced inspection including review of scope of construction activities, site condition, and quality control records.

#### Details:

### 1. Persons Contacted:

John Singleton, Site Manager, Morrison-Knudsen (MK), Inc. Steve Martz, QA Supervisor, MK Bob Peel, Project Manager, Jacobs Engineering Milt Scoutaris, U.S. DOE Frank Guros, Site Designer, MK Jim Graff, Jacobs Engineering

### 2. Processing Site/Disposal Site

The inspectors observed conditions associated with placement of Type A riprap and bedding material. The Type A riprap was being placed in the toe trenches surrounding the pile. The bedding material had already been completely placed.

The bedding material appeared to be placed in a very uniform manner and at the proper thickness. Several spot checks revealed that the required thickness had been placed.

The ongoing placement of Type A riprap appeared to progressing satisfactorily. The riprap appeared to be of the proper gradation and the placement thickness of 6 inches was being properly accomplished.

Inspectors also observed the stockpiling of larger Type B riprap. The rock appeared to be of acceptable quality and gradation.

### 3. Records Review

Inspectors reviewed various quality control records to ensure compliance with the construction specifications, Remedial Action Inspection Plan, and the Remedial Action Plan. The records that were reviewed included frequency and results of testing of gradation and durability for the bedding material and the Type A riprap. Additionally, results of permeability tests were examined. All test results were found to be acceptable. Each gradation and durability test examined indicated that the required specifications had been met.

## 4. Exit Interview

The inspectors met with representatives of DOE and the RAC at the conclusion of the inspection. The inspectors summarized the scope and findings of the inspection, as well as observations (signed observations attached).

- Issues from Previous Site Visits

N/A

#### - Observations:

- Gradation and durability tests for Type A and Type B riprap have been performed at specified intervals, and the specifications were met in all cases.
- Some test results for clay and friable materials in the bedding material indicate that the specifications were not met. The material was re-tested and those test results indicate that the material is acceptable.

- Gradation and durability test results for the bedding material indicate that testing has been performed at required intervals and that the specifications have been met.
- 4. Permeability test results, moisture curves, and grain size analyses indicate that the requirements for radon barrier material and its hydraulic conductivity design objective of 2x10<sup>-8</sup> cm/sec have been achieved.
- 5. Discussions were held regarding acceptable justification of actual moisture/density tests that were performed. It was agreed that such justification would be provided in the RAP, subject to final approval by DOE. This would be a first step in the RAP/RAIP approval process. Other issues that need to be resolved include resolution of problems with moisture content and compliance with groundwater standards.

NRC Site Inspection Grown River UMTRA Site Cittle 11, 1989

Attendees: T. Jehnen, NRC

G. Konwinski, NRC

D. Granett, NRC Growthout

M. Scoutons, DCE

B. Peel, TAC

J. Singleton, MK-F

F. Guras, NIK-ES

S. Mortz, NIK-ES

J. Graft, Tacobs

# Observations:

- 1. Gradation and divability test results Lindwette that testing has been performed at specified intervals and that the specifications were met in all cases.
- 2 Some test row to for a frictle nationals in the hedding meterial indicate that the specihiations were not med. The motional was re-tested as-placed and those rowths indicate that the muteual is actually acceptable.
- 3 Godeten and distribute, test results for the bedding mitted that testing has been performed at vagured intervals and that the

Spreiheaters have been met in nit cross

es fermedicity tost results were examined, and it against that appears and grown size analysis suggest the design chapather of a maximum of 2 x 10 s confine hydroclar conductably of the order becomes.

Discussions were hold regarding acceptable jurtification of actual moustax / disputy tests that was partial. It was agreed that such justification would be granded in the RAP, subject to tirel approval by DOE. This would be the dispute that step in the RAP/RAIP approval prices. Cities issues that their to be resulted include resolution of problems with mustine centerit and compliance with grunduate standards

7 Johnson, NEC

M. Scorton, DOE MK-F

J. Singletin, MK-F