LOLKETED

November 29, 19894

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch

In the Matter of

9536

ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION Rocketdyne Division Docket No. 70-25-MU

SERVED NOV 3.0 1989

Request to Renew To October 1990

(Special Material License Number SNM-21)

ASLBP No. 89-594-01-ML

(Admitting NkDC As a Party; Summarizing Status of Parties)

The "Petition of the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. and the Los Angeles Chapter of the Physicians for Social Responsibility to Intervene As a Party," September 29, 1989¹ ("Petition"), is granted and those two organizations shall be admitted as a single party.

The standing of these parties is a bit irregular, as it depends solely on alleged health and other effects on two individuals who are members of NRDC and who live 2.7 miles

^{&#}x27;The petition was supplemented at the request of the Presiding Officer in "Response to Information Request from Presiding Officer," October 6, 1989 ("Supplement"). Initially, these documents were not officially served on the Office of the Secretary of the NRC, but we have permitted that defect to be cured retroactively so that they may be considered timely served.

and 2 miles from the site.² However, no objection has been made to having the Physicians for Social Responsibility being part of this single consolidated party and -- there being no apparent harm from this linking of an admissable party to another organization in a single appearance -- both organizations shall be admitted as a single party (NRDC/-LAPSR). (If, however, a deadlock should occur between the two participating organizations, the interests of the NRDC --- whose members are the sole basis for standing -- shall prevail; if NRDC wishes, it may at any time petition for severance of Physicians for Social Responsibility.)

The concerns mentioned by NRDC/LAPSR in their filings include: (1) the record and the ability of the applicant to comply with radiation standards intended to protect NRDC/LAPSR members and others, (2) the effect of increased population density around the Santa Susana Facility, (3) the cumulative impacts of radioactive and hazardous chemical waste disposal sites inside and out of the NRC licensed area, (4) failure to consider the radioactive releases that might occur if a fire and a criticality incident were to occur simultaneously with a failure of the integrity of HEPA filters, (5) worker health and safety, (6) reliability and completeness of information from the applicant, and (7) transportation risks.

²Supplement at 6; Petition at 3.

- 2 -

With respect to these last three numbered concerns, I note that NRDC/LAPSR did not specify how their "concern" was related to specific events in this case. Given that their petition was not opposed, I have not had any argument presented to me concerning whether this undocumented statement of a "concern" is adequate to meet the requirements of Subpart L.

My own reading of Subpart L leads me to a lenient interpretation of the "concern" requirement, which is intended to be a lesser requirement than the contention requirement which this agency has imposed in more formal proceedings. Consequently, I will admit these "concerns." The effect of admitting them is that NRDC may provide the evidentiary support for their concerns in their direct case, due January 3, 1990.

I note that the following parties have already been admitted in this case: (1) Jerome Raskin³, (2) Dr. Estelle Lit⁴, (3) John C. Scott⁵, and (4) Donald Wallace⁶. Sybil S.

'Tr. 240; see also Tr. 137 (residence about five miles from site), Tr. 138-143.

⁵Tr. 240; <u>see also</u> Tr. 122-23 (residence within 2.6 miles of site), Tr. 122-136.

³Tr. 240; <u>see also</u> Tr. 156 (lives within 3 to 5 miles from the site), Tr. 162-187. Note that no list of mistakes was filed as requested at Tr. 187. It is not clear whether such a list should have been requested. Hence, Mr. Raskin may include a list of documented mistakes in his direct case if he so chooses.

Zeppieri and Arlene Mathews have not pursued their applications by filing materials requested by the Presiding Officer and I therefore find that they are not parties to this case.⁷ No other timely requests for party status have been received.

Parties may include in their direct case concerns mentioned in the transcript or in their requests to become parties. However, they must show how their concerns are relevant both to the regulations of the NRC and to the application as it has been amended by the applicant. The required schedule of filings remains in effect -subject to motions to delay or expedite the proceeding.

Respectfully ORDERED,

Peter B. Bloch Presiding Officer

Bethesda, Maryland

⁶See LBP 89-37;29 NRC ____, November 28, 1989 at p. 4, footnote 4.

⁷A motion to reconsider this decision may be made within ten days of service of this order. The order denying the status of a party also may be appealed in a timely fashion to the Appeal Board.

- 4 -

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR RESULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Docket No. (s) 70-25-ML

(Rocketdyne Division, Special Nuclear Materials License SNM-21)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing LB M&D (NRDC AS PARTY...)11/29 have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, except as otherwise noted and in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Sec. 2.712.

Administrative Judge Christine N. Kohl, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Howard A. Wilbor Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Bustave A. Linenberger, Jr. Special Assistant Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

R. T. Lancet Director Rockwell International Corporation Rocketdyne Division 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91304

Estelle Lit 18233 Bermuda Street Northridge, CA 91326 Administrative Judge G. Paul Bollwerk, III Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch Presiding Officer Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Diffice of the Beneral Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Jon Scott 6 Roundup Road Bel: Canyon, CA 91307

Jerome E. Raskins, et. al. c/o 18350 Los Alimos Northridge, CA 91326 Docket No. (s) 70-25-ML LB M&D (NRDC AS PARTY...)11/29

Donald W. Wallace 1710 North Cold Canyon Road Calabasas, CA 91302

Mary Nichols, Esquire 1350 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005

Cecelia Riddle Senior Librarian Chatsworth Branch Library 21052 Devanshire Street Chatsworth, CA 91311

Dated at Rockville, Md. this 30 day of November 1989

Pater den derson Office of the Secretary of the Commission