SAUL, EWING, REMICK & SAUL

BB VALLEY STREAM PARKWAY

SUITE 330

GREAT VALUEY CORPORATE CENTER
MALVERN PA (#355

(2:5) 251-5050

PLAZA 1000 SUITE 664 EVESHAM AND KRESSON ROADS VOORHEES NJ 08043 (609) 424-0098 PHILADELPHIA PA 19102

(215) 972-7777

TELECOPIER (215) 972-7725

222 DELAWARE AVENUE P. D. BOX 1266 WILMINGTON DE 19899 (302) 654-1413

1020 1914 STREET, N. W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036 (202) 223-7660

TWENTY-FIRST FLOOR 237 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY -0017 (212) 551-3502

DIAL DIRECT (215)

972-7176

November 10, 1989

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Donnie H. Grimsley Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North Bldg. 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852 FOIA-89-492

Recid 11-15-89

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Grimsley:

We represent Neue Technologien GmbH und Co. KG., with respect to certain matters involving government contracts.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and implementing regulations, we hereby ask that we be furnished:

All documents relating to the allegations that Neue Technologien GmbH und Co. KG. ("NTG") illegally shipped nuclear technology and equipment to Pakistan without the approval of the German Federal Economics Office. These allegations appeared in both the German and American press during the week of December 20, 1988.

The Freedom of Information Act, as amended, provides that if parts of a file or record are exempt from disclosure, "reasonably segregable" portions must be released. We therefore request that if you determine any portions of the requested records to be exempt from disclosure, we be provided with all records or portions thereof which are not so exempt and with a detailed list and description of all records or portions thereof

8912050093 891110 PDR FDIA KAISER89-492 PDR for which an exemption is claimed and a discussion of the reasoning supporting your determination of exemption. See Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir 1973), cert. denied, 414 U.S. 977 (1974); Mead Data Central, Inc. v. Department of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977) ("[W]hen an agency seeks to withhold information it must provide a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with a particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."). Accord e.g., Coastal States Gas Corp. v. Department of Energy, 617 F.2d 854 (D.C. Cir. 1980).

We are, of course, prepared to pay whatever sums may lawfully be required for compliance with this request, but ask that you notify us if the cost will exceed \$50.00

Very truly yours,

Elizabeth A. Kaiser

EAK/kas

2 10

cc: Charles M. Taylor, Esquire