CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY

HADDAM NECK PLANT

RR#1 • BOX 127E • EAST HAMPTON, CT 06424-9341

November 15, 1989
Re: Technical Specification 6.9.1d
Docket No. 50-213

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In accordance with reporting requirements of Technical Specification 6.9.1d, the Connecticut Yankee Haddam Neck Plant Monthly Operating Report 89-10, covering operations for the period October 1, 1989 to October 31, 1989 is hereby forwarded.

Very Truly yours,

DBMiller, Jr.

Donald B. Miller, Jr.
Station Superintendent

DBM/mdw

cc: (1) Regional Administrator, Region 1
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

(2) John T. Shedlosky
Sr. Resident Inspector
Connecticut Yankee

IE24
1/1

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company

Haddam Neck Plant

Haddam, Connecticut

Monthly Operating Report No. 89-10

For The Month of

October 1989

Plant Operations Summary - October, 1989

The following is a summary of Plant Operations for October, 1989. The 15th refueling and maintenance outage continued for the month of October.

I&C 10/89

SYSTEM OR COMPONENT	MALFUNCTION		EFFECT ON SAFE OPERATION	CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT REPETITION	SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS TAKEN TO PROVIDE FOR REACTOR SAFETY DURING REPAIR
	CAUSE	RESULT			
There were no reportable items for I&C for the month ending October 1989.					

Maintenance 10/89

SYSTEM OR COMPONENT	MALFUNCTION		EFFECT ON SAFE OPERATION	CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT REPETITION	SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS TAKEN TO PROVIDE FOR REACTOR SAFETY DURING REPAIR
	CAUSE	RESULT			
There were no reportable items for Maintenance for the month ending October 1989.					

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. 50-213
Conn. Yankee
UNIT Haddam Neck
DATE November 15, 1989
COMPLETED BY K. C. Wall
TELEPHONE (203) 267-3654

MONTH: October 1989

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	0	17	0
2		18	
3		19	
4		20	
5		21	
6		22	
7		23	
8		24	
9		25	
10		26	
11		27	
12		28	
13		29	
14		30	
15		31	
16			

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Complete the nearest whole megawatt.

(9/77)

CONNECTICUT YANKEE
REACTOR COOLANT DATA
MONTH: OCTOBER 1989

REACTOR COOLANT ANALYSIS	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	MAXIMUM
PH @ 25 DEGREES C	4.49E+00	4.56E+00	4.78E+00
CONDUCTIVITY (UMHOS/CM)	8.20E+00	9.41E+00	1.07E+01
CHLORIDES (PPM)	<5.00E-02	<5.00E-02	<5.00E-02
DISSOLVED OXYGEN (PPB)	<5.00E+00	<5.00E+00	<5.00E+00
BORON (PPM)	2.63E+03	2.64E+03	2.68E+03
LITHIUM (PPM)	0.00E-01	0.00E-01	0.00E-01
TOTAL GAMMA ACT. (UC/ML)	4.08E-03	1.15E-02	2.03E-02
IODINE-131 ACT. (UC/ML)	3.71E-05	2.71E-04	8.54E-04
I-131/I-133 RATIO	0.00E-01	0.00E-01	0.00E-01
CRUD (MG/LITER)	<1.00E-02	<1.00E-02	<1.00E-02
TRITIUM (UC/ML)	3.00E-02	3.25E-02	3.74E-02
HYDROGEN (CC/KG)	1.00E-01	1.00E-01	1.00E-01

AERATED LIQUID WASTE PROCESSED(GALLONS):	1.93E+05
WASTE LIQUID PROCESSED THROUGH BORON RECOVERY(GALLONS):	5.68E+04
AVERAGE PRIMARY LEAK RATE(GALLONS PER MINUTE):	0.00E-01
PRIMARY TO SECONDARY LEAK RATE(GALLONS PER MINUTE):	0.00E+00

NRC OPERATING STATUS REPORT

Haddam Neck

1. Docket: 50-213
2. Reporting Period: 10/89 Outage + On-line Hours: 745.0 + 0.0 = 745.0
3. Utility Contact: J. Stanford (203) 267-3635
4. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 1825
5. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 667 x 0.9 = 600.3
6. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 582
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 591.8
8. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 565
9. If changes occur above since last report, reasons are: NONE
10. Power level to which restricted, if any (Net MWe): N/A
11. Reasons for restriction, if any: N/A

	MONTH	YEAR-TO-DATE	CUMULATIVE
12. Report period hours:	745.0	7,296.0	191,400.0
13. Hours reactor critical:	0.0	5,883.3	158,249.2
14. Reactor reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	1,285.0
15. Hours generator on-line:	0.0	5,859.0	152,087.6
16. Unit reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	398.0
17. Gross thermal energy generated (MWtH):	0.0	9,466,757.0	262,867,318.0 *
18. Gross electrical energy generated (MWeH):	0.0	3,121,835.0	86,093,046.0 *
19. Net electrical energy generated (MWeH):	-2,695.4	2,960,542.2	81,827,022.1 *
20. Unit service factor:	0.0	80.3	79.5
21. Unit availability factor:	0.0	80.3	79.7
22. Unit capacity factor using MDC net:	0.0	71.8	77.9
23. Unit capacity factor using DER net:	0.0	69.7	73.5
24. Unit forced outage rate:	0.0	0.0	5.6
25. Forced outage hours:	0.0	0.0	8,975.6

26. Shutdowns scheduled over next 6 months (type,date, duration): NONE

27. If currently shutdown, estimated startup date: 01/04/90

* Cumulative values from the first criticality (07/24/67). (The remaining cumulative values are from the first date of commercial operation, 01/01/68).

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO. 50-213
UNIT NAME Connecticut Yank
DATE November 15, 1989
COMPLETED BY K. G. Wall
TELEPHONE 203-267-3654

REPORT MONTH October 1989

No.	Date	Type[1]	Duration (Hours)	Reason[2]	Method of Shutting Down Reactor[3]	LER RPT.	System[4] Code	Component[5] Code	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
89-03	10/1/89	S	745	C	1	n/a	RC	Fuel XX	Continuation of Core XV-XVI Refueling

1
F Forced
S Scheduled

2
Reason:
A-Equipment Failure (Explain)
B-Maintenance or Test
C-Refueling
D-Regulatory Restriction
E-Operator Training & License Examination
F-Administrative
G-Operational Error (Explain)
H-Other(Explain

3
Method:
1-Manual
2-Manual Scram
3-Automatic Scram
4-Other(Explain)

4
Exhibit G-Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

5
Exhibit 1 Same Source

Refueling Information Request

1. Name of facility

   Haddam Neck

2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.

   January 21, 1991

3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling.

   January 4, 1990

4. (a) Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

   Yes

   (b) If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?

   Incorporate the guidance provided in the NRC Generic Letter 88-16. The Generic Letter addresses removing cycle specific parameters from Technical Specifications and transferring them to the technical report supporting cycle operation.

   (c) If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload?
   (Ref. 10 CFR section 50.59)

   n/a

   (d) If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?

   n/a

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.

   The TSCR was submitted to the NRC on July 28, 1989.

6. Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.

   No

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool.

   (a) 0 (b) 858

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.

   1168

9. The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.

   1996