

General Offices Seiden Street. Berlin Connecticut

P.O.BOX 270 HARTFORD. CONNECTIOUT 06414-0270 (203)665-5000

November 13, 1989 MP-13727

Re: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 Docket No. 50-423 Licensee Event Report 89-025-00

Gentlemen:

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report 89-025-00 required to be submitted within thirty (30) days pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i), any operation or condition prohibited by the Plant's Technical Specifications.

Very truly yours.

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

Tel Neer care

Station Superintendent Millstone Nuclear Power Station

SES/NDH:mo

Attachment: LER 89-025-00

W. T. Russell, Region I Administrator
W. J. Raymond, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos 1, 2 and 3
D. H. Jaffe, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 3

NRO FORM 300 US NUCLEAR REQULATORY CON	MISSION Estimated b information	APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 Estimated burden per response to comply with this information bollection request, 50 0 hrs. Forward						
. LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	Domments n and Reports Reputatory (the Paperwo Managemen	comments reparding burden estimate to the Reports and Reports Management Branch (p=530). U.S. Nuclear Repulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20565, and to the Repervork Republic Project (3150-21)6. Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503						
FACUTY NAME (3) Millistone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3								
Lifting of Pressurizer Safeties Above the Allowed To	netance		martener, and an					
EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE	(7) OTH	ER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)						
PRONTH DAY YEAR YEAR MANDER NAMER MONTH DAY	EAR FACILITY N	AMES 01 51 01 0	0 1 1					
10128989 025 0011113	ala	0161010						
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS BEING SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO T	HE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 0	FEI \$ 10hebk one or more of the t	tollowing)(11)					
20.402(b) 20.402(b) 20.402(c)	50.78(a)(2)(IV) 73.71(b)						
LEVEL 100 20 406 (a) (3) (4) 50.36 (c) (2)	60.73 (a) (2)	(vii) 0THER (THER (Specify in					
20 405 (a) (1) (0) X 50.73 (a) (2) (0	50.73(e)(2)(VIII) (A) Abstract b	Form 366A)					
20 406 (a) (1) (iv) 50.73 (a) (2) (ii)	60 75(a)(2)(v(ii) (B)						
20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)						
NAME	THE LET THE	TELEPHONE NU	INBER					
Nelson D. Hulme, Senior Engineer, Ext. 5398		21013 414171-1	1171911					
COMPLETE ONE LIVE FOR EACH COMPONENT F	ALURE DESCRIBED IN THIS	REPORT (13)						
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC- TO NACT	CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONEN	NT MANUFAC-						
X AB IRVCI7111 Y	1 1 1 1	111						
B AB IRVC17111 Y	1 111							
SUPPLEMENTA' REPORT EXPECTED (14)		EXPECTED MONTH	DAY YEAR					
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) X NO		DATE (15)	111					
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e. approximately fitteen single-space type) On October 12, 1989, Wyle Laboratories notified North two previously installed Pressurizer Safety Valves. The s Specifications to be operable with a setting of 2500 psis and 3. Bench testing at Wyle Laboratory revealed one psia and the other valve (S/N N56564=07=0059) initially installed in the plant at the time, no operator action was The root cause for Pressurizer Safety S/N 102 lifting higher the root cause for Pressure Safety S/N 59 lifting higher machined disc insert. An inspection of the S/N 59 reve to the disc holder was not flat. This allowed the disc to after each lift. The different locations can affect spring The disc insert for S/N 59 was replaced with one specifi mating surface for the disc holder. Also, parts consider- were thoroughly inspected for proper fit and function.	east Utilities of an and ubject valves are requ ±1 percent (2475-25, valve (S/N N56964-0) lifted at 2553 psia. required in response her than the one perc than tolerance is belie aled that a surface on rock and possibly res tension and in turn th cally inspected to assu- ed critical to the prop	omaly in the test results of aired by Technical 25 psia) during Modes 1, 7-0102) initially lifted at As the valves were not to this event. The tolerance is unknown eved to be an improperly the disc insert which ma seat in a different location he lift pressure. The there was a flat, polisiver operation of safety S/?	on 2534 n. ates n hed N 59					

Ung 3		0 5 0 0 0 0 4 2	3 8 9	-	01215	-	010	012	OF	01+
			YEAR		NUMBER		PE VIELON			
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOOKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)			
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	(LER)	Estimate informati commen and Repuisto the Pape Manaper	HE DI HEIN C THE THE THE THE THE THE	anden per res abliedtion red sparding burc Managemen Iommission rk Reduction ano Budget	pons autori ten e t Bra Was Proj Vva	e to com 50.0 m stimate t inon (p-5 inington ject (3150 ashington	bly with s Forwi to the Re 30) U S DC 2055 0-01061 DC 205	this are bords Nuc 5 and Office 03	ielar "D pi
(6-60)	AC FOR BOLA U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104						

Description of Event

On October 12, 1989, Wyle Laboratories notified Northeast Utilities of an anomaly in the test results on two previously installed Pressurizer Safety Valves. The subject valves are required by Technical Specifications to be operable with a setting of 2500 psia ± 1 percent (2475 - 2525 psia) during Modes 1, 2, and 3. Bench testing at Wyle Laboratory revealed one valve (S/N N56964-07-0102) initially lifted at 2534 psia and the other valve (S/N N56964-07-0059) initially lifted at 2553 psia. Each valve was tested three more times. The first valve (S/N 102) lifted within one percent of 2500 psia all three time. The second valve (S/N 59) lifted within one percent one time, but was outside the toleration other two times. Lift pressures of 2542 psia and 2529 psia respectively were the recorded values. As the valves were not installed in the plant at the time, no immediate operator action that a required in response to this event.

Millstone Unit 3 has six Pressurizer Safety Valves available for use: three are installed safeties, and the other three are used as spares. Each refueling outage, it is the policy to replace the three installed safeties with the three available spares. The replaced valves are sent to Wyle Laboratories for inservice testing (per ASME Section XI), refurbishment, and retesting to confirm that each safety lifts within one percent of 2500 psia. The successfully retested valves are then returned to Millstone Unit 3 for installation during the following refueling outage. The subject valves in this report are two of the three safeties that were removed during the last outage (which lasted from May 17, 1989 to July 9, 1989).

II. Cause of Event

The root cause for S/N 102 lifting higher than the allowed one percent tolerance is unknown. After the initial lift, valve S/N 102 lifted at pressures of 2507 psia, 2511 psia, and 2509 psia. This indicates that the safety not only met the required one percent tolerance, but it also exhibited a consistency that is indicative of a good safety valve.

The root cause for S/N 59 lifting higher than the allowed one percent tolerance is believed to be an improperly machined disc insert. An inspection revealed that a surface on the disc insert which mates to the disc holder was not flat. This allowed the disc to rock and possibly reseat in a different location after each lift. The different locations can affect spring tension and in turn the lift pressure.

III. Analysis of Event

This event is reportable in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i). Plant Technical Specification 3.4.2.2 requires all Pressurizer Safety Valves to be operable with a lift setting of 2500 psia ± 1 percent during Modes 1. 2, and 3. The lift setting pressure corresponds to ambient conditions of the valve at normal operating temperature and pressure.

The fact that safety S/N 102 lifted initially at 1.4 percent above its nominal setpoint is not significant, especially since it lifted within the one percent tolerance three times immediately after the initial test. It is not unusual for a safety valve to initially lift somewhat outside the nominal setpoint tolerance, especially if the valve has not lifted for a long period of time. In this case, S/N 102 was refurbished and then verified to lift within one percent of 2500 psia in March, 1987. This represents a 2.5-year period during which, the safety valve was never challenged.

NRC Form 366A (6-88)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION		APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0106 EXPIRES 4/30/62 Estimated burden der response to comply with this infurmation collection request 50.0 hrs. Forward comments reparding burden estimate to the Records and Reports Management Brench (D=530). U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the Paperwork Recuction Project (3150-0104). Office of Management and Burdes Washington, DC 20556						
Millstone Nuclear Power Station		DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER	R NUMBER (6) PAGE (
			YEAR	GELLENTIAL NUMBER	NUMBER				
		0 6 0 0 0 0 4 2	3 819	- 01215 -	010	013	OF	014	
TEXT (If more apape	is required use additional NRC Form Ser	54 E) (17)							

III. Analysis of Event (Cont'd)

Like valve S/N 102, valve S/N 59 had not been exercised in over three years. The initial lift pressure for S/N 59 was also higher than the nominal pressure of 2500 psia. However, it was higher than S/N 102 at 2.1 percent above its nominal setpoint. Also, the subsequent lift pressures were not consistent, and two of the three remaining tests had lift pressures outside the one percent tolerance (one at 1.2 percent and the other at 1.7 percent above 2500 psia). The one test that did meet the tolerance lifted at a pressure of 2512 psia. While these values are not substantially above the nominal pressure of 2500 psia, the relatively wide differences in lift pressures that occurred in the three tests following the initial lift indicate there is a mechanical problem. For example, the last three lift pressures for valve S/N 102 differed by 4 psi, while those tor S/N 59 differed by 30 psi.

This event posed no significant threat to plant safety during normal or accident conditions. Pressurizer code safety valves are sized to prevent the Reactor Coolant System from being pressurized above its safety limit of 2750 psia. Specifically, the combined relief capacity of all valves is greater than the maximum surge rate resulting from a 100 percent load rejection, assuming no reactor trip until the first Reactor Trip System trip setpoint is reached. The plots and data tables delineated in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and supporting safety documents were reviewed to determine the maximum pressure which would occur. The maximum pressure was found to be 2613 psia. An increase in a safety valve setpoint from 2525 psia (nominal value plus one percent) to 2553 psia (highest lift pressure identified during Wyle Laboratory's testing) is conservatively assumed to raise the peak pressure by the difference in lift pressures. Therefore, the peak transient pressure would be 2641 psia (2613 psia plus 28 psi). This is well below 2750 psia, the faulted limit of 110% design pressure. Thus, there is no safety impact on the FSAR results or conclusions.

IV. Corrective Action

The disc insert for Pressurizer Safety S/N 59 was replaced with one specifically inspected to assure there was a flat, polished mating surface for the disc holder. Also, parts considered critical to the proper operation of safety S/N 59 were thoroughly inspected for proper fit and function.

V. Additional Information

Pressurizer safety valves are Crosby Model Number HB-BP-86 6M6 safety valves. A search of the NPRDS indicates the problem of setpoint drift is a common problem in the industry. Information from this search indicates the setpoints may drift high or low. No specific failure mechanism was identified in any of the reports. Millstone 3's original safety valves, which were removed from the system in November, 1985, and lested at Crosby in June, 1986, also failed their set pressure test. Whether these valves failed high or low cannot be determined from the vendor test records. Sin 2 these valves were removed from the pressurizer prior to issuance of the facility Operating License, their failure was not reportable.

LERs 87-036 and 89-010, "Setpoint Drift on Main Steam Safety Valves Due to Unknown Causes", discuss drift problems associated with Main Steam Safety Valves. No failure mechanism was identified for the drift. The corrective action for both events was to reset the subject valves and test or replace the other Main Steam Safeties on the established frequency.

NRC Form 366A (6+89)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)		APPROVED OMB ND. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 Estimated burden per response to pompty with this information collection request 50.0 hrs. Forward comments reparding burden estimate to the Reports and Reports. Management Resonance (California)					
			Repulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 and to the Paperwork Republic Project (3150-0104). Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOOKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) FAGE (3)					
Millstone Unit 3	Nuclear Power Station	0 5 0 0 0 4 1	2 3 8 9 0 2 5 0 0 0 4 OF 0 4					
TEX7 (If more space is	required, use additional NRC Form	366A s) (17)						
V. Addit	ional Information (Cont'd)							
LER during valves when chron chron	87-009-02 describes previo g bench testing at Wyle Lat were reset to their pressur leak tested with air. The ology which covers events ological update from Febru	bus setpoint drift problems boratories. The reasons f re setpoint, and reworked LER also provides inform from November, 1985 thr lary, 1988 to the present.	with three Pressurizer Safety Valves or the drift were und termined. The such that no leakage was experienced ation about the valves including a ough February, 1988. The following is a					
2/88	2/88 Valve S/N 101 lifts during plant heatup. This valve was replaced by valve S/N 59. Valves 60, 61, and 101 were sent to Wyle for testing/refurbishment. Valve S/N 61 was found to be lifting outside its acceptance criteria. Valves S/N 60 and S/N 101 were found to be lifting within acceptable limit.							
3/88	Valves S/N 60, 61, and	Valves S/N 60, 61, and 101 were received back from Wyle.						
4/88	Noted that valve S/N 103 was leaking. During a Unit shutdown, S/N 103 was replaced with S/N 101. S/N 103 was sent to Wyle.							
9/88	LER 87-009-02, update report submitted.							
12/88	Received valve \$/N 103	Received valve S/N 103 back from Wyle.						
5/88 10 7/89	During refueling, valve S/N 59, 101, and 102 were replaced with S/N 60, 61, and 103. During startup, it was noted that S/N 103 was leaking a minor amount. S/N 101 was shipped immediately to Wyle for refurbishment and resetting in case replacement of S/N 103 was required.							
9/89	Valves S/N 59 and 102 were sent to Wyle.							
10/89	Valves S/N 59 and 102 reported to be lifting higher than the allowed tolerance. Received valves S/N 101 and 102 back from Wyle retested and certified.							
EIIS (CODES							
System	٩	Components						
Reacto	or Coolant System - AB	Rehef Valve - RV Pressurizer - PZR						

NRC Form SECA (6-89)