

General Offices Selden Street, Berlin Connecticut

P.O.BOX 270 HARTFORD. CONNECTICUT 06414-0270 (203)665-5000

IE22

November 17, 1989 MP-13745

Re: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv) 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Facility Operating License No. DPR-21 Docket No. 50-245 Licensee Event Report 89-021-00

Gentlemen:

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report 89-021-00 required to be submitted within thirty (30) days pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v).

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

tenher leace

Station Superintendent Millstone Nuclear Power Station

SES/EA:tp

Attachment: LER 89-021-00

W. T. Russell, Region I Administrator
 W. J. Raymond, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3
 M. Boyle, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 1

	orm 366 1	LICENSE	U.S.		ER)	MMISSIQ	2	Estimated burg information co- comments regi and Reports M Repulatory Cor the Paperwork	PROVED OMB I EXPIRES 4 Jen per response lilection request anding burden es lanagement Brat minission. Wast Reduction Proje ind Budget. Wast	/30/92 to comply 50.0 nrs itimate to t hch (p=530) hington DC kot (3150-0	with this Forward he Recor U.S. N 20555 1 104) Off	ds uclea	0
FACIL	TY NAM		one Nuclear	Power St	ation Unit 1				0 5 0 0		15 1	OF	(3)
TITLE		tor Scram o	n Turbine Si	op Valve	Closure								
EVI	DAY	YEAR YEAR	LER NUMBER		REPORT DAT	E (7)		OTHER FACILITY NAM	FACILITIES INV	OLVED (8)			
INCOMPT		TEAN TEAN	NUMBER	NUMBER	INCIGINA DAT	TEAD				0 5 0	1010		1
1 0	1 9	8 9 8 9	0 2 1	00	1 1 1 7	8 9				0   5   0	1010		1
	RATING	1 management	APORT IS BEING	SUBMITTE	20.402(c)	THE REC		50.73(4)(2)(IV)		or more of		wing)	(11)
POW	ER	20	405(a)(1)(i)	E	50/36(c)(1)		X	50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71			
LEV (10	- [0]		405(a)(1)(ii)	-	50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(i)		H	50.73.(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(v)	0.000	OTHE Abstr Text	R (Spec	ify in v and m 36	in SEA)
			405(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a) (2) (ii)		H	50.73(a)(2)(vii					
		75	405(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(11)	OT FOR 1		50.73(a)(2)(x)					
NAME							MIC LEN	(12)	Party and the second day of the second day	TELEPHON	E NUMB	IR	
	Ehot	Abolafia, S	enior Engine	er Tel:	203-447-179	91			AREA CODE	4 4 4 7	1-11	171	91
	1	c	OMPLETE ONE L		1	FAILURE	DESCRI	BED IN THIS RE					
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC-	TO NINOS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC-	TO NOR	BLE >s		
X	S   .1	FICIVI	C161315	Y			1	111					
В	SJJ	VIII	C161615	Y			1						
			SUPPLEMENTA	L REPORT &	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECTE	0	NTH D	AY	YEAR
			PECTED SUBMIS		X NO				DATE 115	5			
a ch Ti or de	full rea osure). he high ben pos screase	The Main reactor wa attion which which follo	9 at 1515 ho occurred as a Turbine Trij ter condition occurred wi wed the scrat- tted. No safe	a result of was the was the nile retur m, Stand	f a Main Tu result of a result of "A" ning "B" Fe by Gas Trea	rbine T high rea " Feedy edwater tment s	rip (T) actor w vater F Regul vstem	urbine Stop ater level to Regulating V ating Valve initiated as	Valves great urbine trip s alve becom to service.	ater than signal (+ ing stuck During	10% 48"). ( in th the let	e	

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REG (6-80) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION		NLATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NC: 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 Estimated burden per response to comply with this information collection request 50.0 hrs. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and Reports Management Branch (p-530). U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Washington, DC 20555 and to the Faperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104). Office of								
FACILITY	NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)								
and the second se	Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 0 2 4	5 8 9 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 2 OF 0 3								
TEXT (II m	hore space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A s	) (17)									
Ι.	Description of Event										
	a result of a Main Turbine Trip (Turb Turbine Trip was the result of a high reactor water condition was the result open position which occurred while re	bine Stop Valves greate reactor water level turl of "A" Feedwater Rep sturning "B" Feedwater of followed the scram. So y executing ONP-502.	bine trip signal (+48"). The high gulating Valve becoming stuck in the r Regulating Valve to service. During standby Gas Treatment system initiated Scram Recovery. All systems								
П.	Cause of Event										
	History plots of the event provided fro with the post trip logs and sequence of regarding the event. Disassembly of a resulting discovery of a bolt wedged in	om the plant process of of events data. Operati he "A" Feedwater Reg h the "A" valve's disk- er regulating valve itsel . It is postulated that	culating valve was undertaken with the cage-seat ring internal assembly. No f. The bolt was too large to pass freely while returning the "B" Feedwater								
	1573) which is used in the Feed Pum	p Discharge Check Val cold shutdown and to c lves. The bolt was fou lve. Investigation reve "A" Feed Pump Disch	aled that one bolt and retaining arge Check Valve. Additionally, the								
111.	Analysis of Event										
	The turbine trip which resulted from t the actuation of a reactor protection s 10CFR50.73(A)(2)(iv). The decrease the reactor scram caused the initiation 10CFR50.73(A)(2)(iv).	ystem, and therefore is a in reactor water level	as a result of the normal response to								
	The Feedwater Regulating Valve stuck	in the open position a	and the misalignment of the "A"								

The Feedwater Regulating Valve stuck in the open position and the misalignment of the "A" Feedpump Check Valve assembly could have caused the Feedwater Coolant Injection (FWCI) to be untvallable if needed. Other systems (Isolation Condenser, Auto Depressurization and Low Pressure Emergency Core Cooling) continued to be available to perform the emergency core cooling functions. This condition is reportable under 10CFR50.73(A)(2)(v).

## IV. Corrective Action

The immediate cause of the event was failure of the Feedwater Regulating Valve in the open position. The root cause is attributable to a flaw in the design of the Feed Pump Discharge Check Valve retaining assemblies. A similar event occurred on December 5, 1984 (PIR 50-84) when the "B" Feedwater Regulating Valve failed in the intermediate position due to a bolt which originated from the "C" Feed Pump Discharge Check Valve. Since previous corrective action did not correct the problem, several failure mechanisms were considered to be possible and the Unit therefore determined that a complete redesign was warranted.

PACULTY NAME (1)       POCKET HUMBER (2)       The number (1)       PEAGE (2)         Millistone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1       Image: Comparison (1)       PEAGE (2)	NRC Fbrm (6-89)	366A U.S. NUCLEAR REG LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	R)	APPROVED OMB NO 3150 EXPIRES 4/30/92 Estimated burden per response to pomp information collection request 50.0 hrs comments regarding burden estimate to and Reports Management Branch (p=53 Regulatory Commission, Washington, D the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150- Management and Budget Washington,							by with this Forward the Records (0) U.S. Nuclear 02 20555, and to -0104). Office of				
<ul> <li>Text if more space is required, use additional bifle form seek is (17)</li> <li>The unit committed to a redesign effort for the retaining assembly before commencing reassembly of the valves and unit start up. A full plant design change was implemented using the guidance of the EPRI Check Valve Application Guidelines and concurrence of the check valve vendor. The redesigned configuration increased the design stress safety margins of the original design by several orders of magnitude. The unit also committed to disassembly of the valves and units set up. A full plant design change was implemented using the guidance of the event retaining assemblies during the next scheduled refueling outage.</li> <li>A loose parts analysis was undertaken after the October 19th event to identify whether the failure could cause unidentified parts to enter and have an adverse effect on the reactor internals. The result of the analysis concluded that the parts would be retained upstream of the high pressure feedwater heaters and therefore would not close past the 50% position. Upon disassembly of the valve, the original retaining block belonging to the "A" Feedwater Regulating Valve would not close past the 50% position. Upon disassembly of the valve, the original retaining block belonging to the "A" Feedwater Regulatory Valve during the downpower maneuver.</li> <li>The loose parts analysis was subsequently updated after the November 9th event to reflect the discovery of the retaining block. All parts which have the potential to affect Feedwater Regulating Valve operability have been accounted for.</li> <li>V. Additional Information</li> <li>V. Additional Information</li> <li>A review was also performed to identify similar applications of Crane check valves in other important applications. It was found that this particular retention design was used frequently in check valves through out the Reactor Water Cleanup System. Records indicate that these valves were of a smaller size and have proven to b</li></ul>	M	lilistone Nuclear Power Station	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)								PAGE (3		
<text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>				15	819		0	21	1	01	0	01	3 OF	013	
<ul> <li>Maintained without reliance upon safety systems.</li> <li>V. Additional Information</li> <li>A review was also performed to identify similar applications of Crane check valves in other important applications. It was found that this particular retention design was used frequently in check valves through out the Reactor Water Cleanup System. Records indicate that these valves were of a smaller size and have proven to be more reliable (as there were no previous failures) than the valves installed in the feed system. However, maintenance will be evaluated for cleanup</li> </ul>		of the valves and unit start up. A fut the EPRI Check Valve Application G redesigned configuration increased th orders of magnitude. The unit also of new retaining assemblies during the r A loose parts analysis was undertaken could cause unidentified parts to enter result of the analysis concluded that feedwater heaters and therefore would On November 9, 1989 with the Unit Feedwater Regulating Valve would not the original retaining block belonging The retaining block had become wed downpower maneuver. The loose parts analysis was subsequed discovery of the retaining block. All Valve operability have been accounter	ill plant design change iuidelines and concurr e design stress safety committed to disasser next scheduled refuelin n after the October 19 er and have an advers the parts would be refu- d not enter the reactor at 80% power, while of close past the 50% to the "A" Feedpum ged in the "A" Feedpum ged in the "A" Feedpum ently updated after the parts which have the of for.	with end main bly ng ( th e e ain or c red pos p I vate N poi	is imp ce of th outage event ffect of ed up oolan ucing ition. Discha er Reg ovemitential	to i to i to i to i to i streat up read up read up t sys	entiche ne co nive ider he am sten ctor ory 9th affe	ed to bok voriging s an ntify reac of to n nc dist eck Va eve ect 1	using valve nal o id in whictor he l bor ca wer, asset valve o r t u Feec	the even design spect ether interr high p iuse a the mbly e was during o refl iwater	gui don h thior thior thior any "A of s fc g the ect	dance T T y seven of e fai s. T ssure dan " the bund he the tegula	ce of he veral the hage. valve,		
A review was also performed to identify similar applications of Crane check valves in other important applications. It was found that this particular retention design was used frequently in check valves through out the Reactor Water Cleanup System. Records indicate that these valves were of a smaller size and have proven to be more reliable (as there were no previous failures) than the valves installed in the feed system. However, maintenance will be evaluated for cleanup															
important applications. It was found that this particular retention design was used frequently in check valves through out the Reactor Water Cleanup System. Records indicate that these valves were of a smaller size and have proven to be more reliable (as there were no previous failures) than the valves installed in the feed system. However, maintenance will be evaluated for cleanup	V.	Additional Information													
		important applications. It was found check valves through out the Reactor were of a smaller size and have prov- than the valves installed in the feed s	that this particular re Water Cleanup Syste en to be more reliable	ten m. (a	Reco s ther	esign ords e wi	n w ind ere	licat no	e th	frequ at the rious	ier ese fai	uly i valv lures	(es		

.